THE SPECIAL COURT FOR SIERRA LEONE

CASE NO. SCSL-2004-16-T TRIAL CHAMBER I

THE PROSECUTOR
OF THE SPECIAL COURT

٧.

ALEX TAMBA BRIMA BRIMA KAMARA SANTIGIE KANU

TUESDAY, 1 MARCH 2005 9.15 A.M. STATUS CONFERENCE

Before the Judges:

Teresa Doherty, Presiding

For Chambers:

Ms Caitlin Reiger Mr Simon Meisenberg

For the Registry:

Ms Maureen Edmonds

For the Prosecution:

Mr Luc Cote Ms Boi-Tia Stevens Ms Jennifer Beckley Mr Mark Wallbridge (Case Manager)

For the Principal Defender:

Ms Simone Monasebian

For the accused Alex Tamba Brima:

Mr Kevin Metzeger Ms Glenna Thompson

For the accused Brima Kamara:

Mr Mohamed Pa-Momo Fofanah Mr Wilbert Harris

For the accused Santigie Kanu:

Mr Geert-Jan Alexander Knoops Ms Carry J Knoops-Hamburger Ms Karlijn Van Der Voort

1	[TB010305A - JM]					
2	Tuesday, 1 March 2005					
3	[Open session]					
4	[The accused not present]					
5	[On commencing at 9.15 a.m.]					
6	PRESIDING JUDGE: Good morning, counsel.					
7	Thank you. Appearances, please, counsel.					
8	MS STEVENS: Good morning, Your Honour. The Prosecution this					
9	morning is represented by my learned senior, Mr Luc Cote;					
10	Ms Jennifer Beckley. Mark Wallsbridge is our case					
11	manager, and I am Boi-Tia Stevens.					
12	PRESIDING JUDGE: Thank you. It would appear there are no					
13	accused present in Court. Has anybody heard any reason					
14	why? Madam registrar.					
15	MS EDMONDS: Mr Wallace is outside, My Lady. Apparently they					
16	don't intend to come over today.					
17	PRESIDING JUDGE: Is Mr Wallace available to give us an					
18	explanation?					
19	MS EDMONDS: Yes, I'll get him.					
20	PRESIDING JUDGE: I also notice there appears to be no Defence					
21	counsel present. Has anybody heard any explanation for					
22	that?					
23	Ms Stevens, we'll proceed. Yes, Ms Stevens, please					
24	proceed. I see Mr Wallace is here. Please take a seat.					
25	Mr Wallace, I'm informed that you have an					
26	explanation as to the nonappearance of the accused.					
27	MR WALLACE: That is correct, Your Honour.					
28	PRESIDING JUDGE: Please advise me what the situation is.					

MR WALLACE: The accused were advised yesterday evening that

29

- 1 they were required for Court this morning. When my staff
- 2 went to advise them this morning to be ready for ten to
- 3 9.00 a.m. for transport, they said they had no clothes
- because they hadn't been given enough time to prepare for
- 5 the Court today.
- 6 PRESIDING JUDGE: They were actually standing naked, were
- 7 they, Mr Wallace?
- 8 MR WALLACE: Sorry, Your Honour. Could you repeat that,
- 9 please.
- 10 PRESIDING JUDGE: I'm saying were they actually standing
- 11 naked?
- 12 MR WALLACE: No, that is not correct, Your Honour, no. They
- 13 do have clothing.
- PRESIDING JUDGE: Thank you, Mr Wallace. So I will note that 14
- 15 they have voluntarily absented themselves. Thank you.
- 16 MR WALLACE: Thank you, Your Honour.
- PRESIDING JUDGE: It would appear we have some Defence 17
- counsel. 18
- MR METZEGER: I rise, Your Honour. I appear for Brima. I do 19
- 20 apologise for our tardy attendance this morning, and I
- 21 feel perhaps in the course of the day, when we've had the
- opportunity to speak with our client --22
- 23 PRESIDING JUDGE: Sorry, I didn't get your name.
- MR METZEGER: Kevin Metzeger, counsel for Brima. 24
- PRESIDING JUDGE: Thank you, Mr Metzeger. 25
- 26 MR METZEGER: I think all teams are duly represented. I'm
- 27 appearing this morning with Ms Glenna Thompson. Mr Osman
- Kamara, Mr Knoops is here. And Mr Fofanah for Kamara. 28
- 29 PRESIDING JUDGE: Mr Knoops is for Kanu. Isn't that correct?

- 1 MR KNOOPS: Yes, Your Honour. I'm appearing for Mr Kanu.
- 2 PRESIDING JUDGE: Who is with you?
- 3 MR KNOOPS: With me is Ms Carrie Knoops-Hamburger and our
- 4 legal assistant, Ms Karlijn Van der Voort.
- 5 PRESIDING JUDGE: Mr Knoops, I can only see one female counsel
- 6 at the bar. So who is with you?
- 7 MR KNOOPS: Currently, nobody.
- 8 PRESIDING JUDGE: Thank you.
- 9 MR FOFANAH: Your Honours, Mohamed Pa-Momo Fofanah appears for
- 10 Kamara as co-counsel.
- 11 PRESIDING JUDGE: Counsel for the Defence is aware that the
- 12 accused have voluntarily absented themselves? Are you
- 13 aware of this?
- MR METZEGER: Bear with me just one moment, Your Honour. 14
- 15 PRESIDING JUDGE: Mr Knoops, are you aware that your client
- 16 has voluntarily absented himself this morning?
- 17 MR KNOOPS: I am aware, Your Honour, yes.
- MR FOFANAH: I'm aware, Your Honour. 18
- 19 MR KNOOPS: Your Honour --
- 20 [Trial Chamber and Registrar confer]
- PRESIDING JUDGE: It would appear that the three detainees, I 21
- 22 am informed, wish to come to court. This court is run as
- a court; it is not for when and where detainees feel like 23
- it they are at liberty to come in. 24
- 25 Mr Wallace, you may arrange for them to come in, but
- 26 there's going to be no adjournment. In future, they will
- come when they`re supposed to. 27
- 28 MR METZEGER: Will Your Honour hear me on the point?
- 29 PRESIDING JUDGE: Which point is that? There are three of you

1

Page 5

on your feet. Mr Metzeger, is it you who wishes --

2	MR METZEGER: It's Metzeger, yes.					
3	PRESIDING JUDGE: Thank you, Mr Metzeger. Please proceed.					
4	MR METZEGER: I arrived in the early hours of this morning. I					
5	haven't had the opportunity of speaking with my lay					
6	client. We had a pre-status conference meeting this					
7	morning at which point in time I was informed that there					
8	was a certain reluctance on behalf of certainly my lay					
9	client to come to Court because he was concerned about					
10	proceedings that were purported he says to have issued on					
11	him. That would, of course, refer to the further					
12	consolidated amended indictment.					
13	And of course, certainly my lay client has operated					
14	under the laws of Sierra Leone for a while. Sometimes it					
15	takes a little explanation. I don't think at this					
16	particular point in time we are looking at an unwilling					
17	participant in this process. He is anxious for his side					
18	of the story to be told in this process and, I believe,					
19	will cooperate. I do crave the Court's indulgence,					
20	certainly in the beginning, not, perhaps, to be too					
21	stringent so that we can work from this time forth in a					
22	cooperative manner. Because it will assist all parties					
23	if we were able to achieve the cooperation of our lay					
24	clients.					
25	PRESIDING JUDGE: Thank you, Mr Metzeger. As it happens, I					
26	directed that the consolidated amended indictment be					
27	served on each of the accused in accordance with the					
28	Rules. And I think I went a little beyond what is the					
29	tolerance level of our interpretation section by ordering					

- 1 that they both be read out, written, and put on cassette.
- 2 I think they got more than enough notice. But I will
- 3 note your late arrival and the need to take instructions.
- And I will bear that in mind in the course of this status
- 5 conference.
- 6 MR METZEGER: I'm, of course, as always much obliged,
- 7 Your Honour.
- PRESIDING JUDGE: Thank you. I had in the absence of Defence 8
- 9 counsel invited the Prosecution to proceed. However, now
- 10 that Defence counsel are here present, I will first
- 11 clarify one issue. I have yesterday received a piece of
- paper which appears to have been sent to my Chambers by 12
- 13 counsel for Kanu; which counsel, I do not know. There's
- no cover sheet. There's no backing sheet. It's not 14
- signed, and I do not know the status of this piece of 15
- 16 paper.
- 17 Mr Knoops, could you please advise me what this is
- all about. 18
- 19 MR KNOOPS: Your Honour, could you perhaps refer to the
- 20 specificities of the document.
- PRESIDING JUDGE: I wish I could, Mr Knoops. It is headed as 21
- 22 follows: On the side at the right it says, "Prosecutor
- and Santigie Borbor Kanu, issues to be dealt with at the 23
- status conference, 1st of March, Trial Chamber II." It's 24
- not dated. It's not signed. It doesn't have a backing 25
- sheet, and it doesn't have a front cover. What exactly 26
- is it? That appears to be it. It has not been filed in 27
- the Court Management and, therefore, has not been served 28
- on the Prosecution. 29

Page 7

Т	MR KNOOPS: Your Honour, this document was filed by our						
2	Defence team to the Defence office in order to inform the						
3	Honourable Trial Chamber with the potential issues to be						
4	put on the agenda of the status conference. As						
5	Your Honour is aware of, we were asked to file the issues						
6	before the 18th of February. So this is probably an						
7	attachment of the email we sent to the Defence office in						
8	order to present it to the Trial Chamber.						
9	PRESIDING JUDGE: Mr Knoops, an order was made in this Court						
10	on the 20th of January setting a date for filing of any						
11	submissions or any precedents and authorities. That time						
12	limit was the 18th of February, a month almost. I got						
13	this yesterday morning. It was filed on Friday						
14	afternoon. That is well outside the time limit.						
15	Secondly, it's headed, number 1, "pending motions".						
16	One of those motions was dealt with and a decision made						
17	on the 7th of February and the other on the 15th of						
18	February. Those two motions are not pending.						
19	Thirdly, I don't understand the reference to an						
20	obligation imposed on the Prosecutor on the 3rd of						
21	February 2004. We have no record of such a matter. And						
22	you were asked to cite authorities that you intended to						
23	rely on. I have not do not know Rashid case (UK).						
24	And as a matter of interest, I've gone and tried to find						
25	Rashid case, Rashid and the UK. There are, in fact, nine						
26	cases with the name Rashid in them in the All England Law						
27	Reports. Only one of them is a criminal case, and it`s						
28	nothing to do with an international court; it`s to do						
29	with a larceny act. So, I do not know the status of this						

- 1 piece of paper. And in future, if you intend to put
- 2 things before this Court, they will be put in a proper
- 3 manner and at the proper time.
- 4 Is there any reason why I should consider this
- 5 document?
- 6 MR KNOOPS: Not any more, Your Honour, because the issues have
- 7 been dealt with.
- 8 PRESIDING JUDGE: Thank you. Ms Stevens, I'll again invite
- 9 you to -- we prepared a brief agenda -- sorry,
- 10 Mr Metzeger.
- 11 MR METZEGER: May I also address you in relation to the
- 12 question of the Defence provision of issues and motions,
- as it were, pre-trial because you will, of course, have 13
- received no documentation from us. 14
- 15 PRESIDING JUDGE: That is correct.
- 16 MR METZEGER: Yes. I apologise wholeheartedly and, again,
- shall be craving the indulgence of the Court. It has 17
- been professionally almost impossible for some of my team 18
- 19 to schedule themselves here. Now, I understand that this
- 20 process has been going on for a long time. But my
- 21 current team as assembled, with one member now missing at
- 22 this point in time, has only been together in rough form
- 23 since about August or September of last year. It has
- 24 met --
- PRESIDING JUDGE: [Microphone not activated] 25
- 26 MR METZEGER: I will seek the Court's indulgence. We do have
- 27 and have drafted in draft form some preliminary motions
- 28 which it appears to me would be of great assistance to
- the Court if -- well, to all concerned if we could 29

- 1 clarify certain matters in relation to the current
- 2 Prosecution. One of those things, I only say it
- 3 generally at this point, accepting, of course, that the
- Court has not given me the indulgence, but so that you
- 5 have the flavour of that which it is that we hope to try
- and clarify. Even the -- I pause for a moment because 6
- 7 Your Honour has noticed a gentleman come into Court.
- That would be Mr Wilbert Harris. 8
- 9 PRESIDING JUDGE: Mr Wilbert Harris, you're 14 minutes late.
- 10 MR HARRIS: Yes, ma'am. [Microphone not activated]
- 11 THE INTERPRETER: My Lord, could counsel switch on his mic.
- 12 PRESIDING JUDGE: Mr Metzeger, if you have motions to file in
- 13 this Court, you're at liberty to file them. The Rules
- are clear. However, they are to be served on the 14
- 15 Prosecution in the proper manner and to be dealt with in
- 16 the proper manner. I can indicate to you that you have
- been ably represented by Ms Thompson in your absence, and 17
- I have no doubt that counsel of her experience would have 18
- put anything that was required to be put before this 19
- 20 Court.
- MR METZEGER: There were certain issues that needed to be 21
- 22 dealt with, and I thought -- but in terms, what I am
- 23 proposing is that any outstanding motions we have will be
- filed today, and we will seek the indulgence of the 24
- 25 Court.
- 26 PRESIDING JUDGE: Mr Metzeger - I'll get it right - perhaps it
- would be easier if I just referred and said "counsel". 27
- 28 Counsel, this case will start on the 7th of March.
- 29 MR METZEGER: I intend for that to be the case. I merely

- 1 crave the Court's indulgence to deal with matters which,
- 2 in my respectful submission, as far as the further
- 3 consolidated indictment is concerned leaves a little
- 4 cause for concern as to particularity.
- 5 PRESIDING JUDGE: Ms Stevens, have you had notice of these
- motions? 6
- 7 MS STEVENS: Not yet, Your Honour.
- 8 PRESIDING JUDGE: They are not before the Court.
- 9 MR METZEGER: Do I, therefore, have permission to file those
- 10 proceedings? This is really what I am seeking.
- 11 PRESIDING JUDGE: The Rules are the rules. You conform with
- 12 the Rules. If you've motions, file them. But bear in
- 13 mind there is a period of notice for the Prosecution to
- file a reply, unless there's some application to expedite 14
- 15 which I will have to hear separately, and for your reply
- 16 to that response.
- MR METZEGER: The Court is, of course, able to, as I say, 17
- expedite matters, and it needn't stop the trial. I'm 18
- 19 sure that there are sessions which are set out for any
- 20 arguments that arise. I hope that it will be something
- that will assist rather than detract from the process. 21
- 22 PRESIDING JUDGE: So do I.
- Ms Stevens. I had prepared a brief agenda. It's 23
- not intended to be biblical. It's just to assist us all 24
- to know where we stand. Unless counsel agree 25
- differently, I'll just go through the items as they are 26
- 27 listed. I've had the names given of Defence teams, but
- 28 it would be helpful if I had them printed out. Could
- 29 that be done so that I have the names.

- 1 MR KNOOPS: Your Honour.
- 2 MS KNOOPS-HAMBURGER: I apologise, too, for being late in your
- 3 Court due to an unfortunate matter that I had to deal
- 4 with. And I would like to introduce myself. I am the
- 5 co-counsel of Mr Kanu, the defendant Mr Kanu, and my name
- 6 is Carry Knoops-Hamburger.
- 7 PRESIDING JUDGE: Thank you, Ms. Knoops-Hamburger.
- 8 Yes, counsel.
- 9 MS MONASEBIAN: Yes, Your Honour. My name is
- 10 Simone Monasebian, and I'm the Principal Defender of the
- 11 Special Court. I just wanted to clarify something as a
- point of information if I may, Your Honour. 12
- 13 PRESIDING JUDGE: Thank you.
- MS MONASEBIAN: First, the Defence office will provide within 14
- 15 the next hour the particulars Your Honour seeks with
- 16 regard to the composition of the Defence teams. I
- suppose it would be easier for us to do that in one fell 17
- swoop than to receive separate submissions. 18
- 19 Secondly, I completely agree, of course, with
- 20 Your Honour's expectation that counsel arrives on time.
- 21 And I would just like to say in this instance, it was
- 22 indeed the Transport Section that was notified last night
- 23 of the proceedings this morning. And not to cast
- aspersion on them they have a lot of difficulties with 24
- the limited resources they have but counsel did 25
- endeavour, all of them, to appear on time today. And I'm 26
- sure that I will have a discussion with Transport as to 27
- 28 the importance of these proceedings and to make this the
- 29 priority in picking up counsel rather than other matters

Page 12 BRIMA ET AL

1	related to the Court.				
2	And the only third matter I would like to bring to				
3	Your Honour's attention is that with regard to the				
4	submission of Professor Knoops in the Kanu matter, I'm				
5	sure Professor Knoops understands about the manner in				
6	which things ought to be filed and appreciates that.				
7	What I can say is just from reviews of emails that I've				
8	seen, that somebody in Chambers was good enough and wis				
9	enough to on behalf of Your Honour forward that to the				
10	Prosecution, so they've had notice in that respect,				
11	although I agree with Your Honour, of course, that it				
12	should have been simultaneous to begin with.				
13	Thank you, Your Honour.				
14	PRESIDING JUDGE: Yes, we did arrange to have that done.				
15	MS MONASEBIAN: Thank you.				
16	PRESIDING JUDGE: Thank you, Ms Monasebian. So I now have				
17	counsel's undertaking to file the Defence names.				
18	Ms Stevens, your team?				
19	MS STEVENS: Boi-Tia Stevens, Your Honour.				
20	PRESIDING JUDGE: Ms Boi-Tia Stevens. I can foresee long				
21	weeks of struggling with these names. The first one that				
22	pronounces my name right will get a prize, too. Yes.				
23	MS STEVENS: The Prosecution team is composed of other members				
24	who are not currently present in Court, but we would also				
25	file the names in due course. But I wish to apprise the				
26	Court that the same attorneys handling the AFRC case are				
27	also handling the RUF case. Therefore, the attorneys				
28	would be appearing in this Court on a rotational basis.				

And as to the state of readiness of the

29

- 1 Prosecution's case, the Prosecution is ready to go.
- 2 PRESIDING JUDGE: I've had one indication of the need of
- 3 motions of yourself, Mr Metzeger.
- MR METZEGER: That is correct. 4
- 5 PRESIDING JUDGE: What about other Defence counsel? Are there
- 6 any outstanding issues? Or are you ready to go?
- 7 MR KNOOPS: No, thank you, Your Honour.
- 8 PRESIDING JUDGE: So Kanu's team is ready to go.
- 9 MR HARRIS: [Microphone not activated]
- PRESIDING JUDGE: Very good. That's for Mr Kamara, is it? 10
- 11 MR HARRIS: Yes.
- 12 PRESIDING JUDGE: On that point, I note that there appears not
- 13 to have been a pre-trial brief filed by Mr Kamara. Is
- that correct? 14
- 15 MR HARRIS: [Microphone not activated]
- 16 PRESIDING JUDGE: Which year was that, please, Mr Harris?
- MR HARRIS: 2005, ma'am. 17
- 18 PRESIDING JUDGE: Thank you. I'll clarify that in due course.
- 19 I have noted that. Thank you.
- 20 Item number 2, any outstanding issues, Ms Stevens.
- MS STEVENS: None, Your Honour. 21
- PRESIDING JUDGE: Counsel for the Defence? 22
- MR METZEGER: Save that which has already been indicated. 23
- PRESIDING JUDGE: Any other Defence counsel? Any other 24
- 25 issues?
- MR KNOOPS: Thank you, Your Honour. 26
- 27 PRESIDING JUDGE: Mr Harris?
- MR HARRIS: [Microphone not activated] 28
- 29 PRESIDING JUDGE: Outstanding motions. Ms Stevens, I have one

- 1 outstanding motion, isn't that correct?
- 2 MS STEVENS: Yes, that's correct.
- 3 PRESIDING JUDGE: I can indicate to the counsel, both counsel,
- that the Trial Chamber II is aware of that motion. We 4
- 5 are very keen to dispose of it, and we have discussed it
- at some length. However, there is an appeal pending on 6
- 7 the same issues in the Court of Appeal, and we've come to
- 8 the decision that it is proper to await the ruling of the
- 9 Court of Appeal on the issues prior to making a decision
- 10 on ourselves. We are, of course, conscious of the need
- 11 for both Prosecution and Defence to have a ruling on
- that, and we are actively following it up. 12
- 13 The witnesses -- Ms Stevens, you have, in fact,
- given us a revised list of witnesses? 14
- 15 MS STEVENS: Yes, Your Honour. There's a list of 63 core
- 16 witnesses, and 196 backup witnesses.
- PRESIDING JUDGE: Any other issues I've listed under 17
- paragraph 4, Ms Stevens, that you wish to address Court 18
- 19 on?
- 20 MS STEVENS: Yes, Your Honour. Paragraph 4.2, Rule 92 bis
- 21 evidence, the Prosecution has given consideration to the
- introduction of evidence under this rule. In particular 22
- we're considering evidence of witnesses in the RUF trial. 23
- At the appropriate time, we may come before Your Honour 24
- 25 requesting admission of their transcripts, transcripts of
- the testimonies given in Court. 26
- PRESIDING JUDGE: Will that application be made on a 27
- one-by-one witness basis, Ms Stevens? 28
- MS STEVENS: At this point in time, Your Honour, it is 29

- 1 difficult to state because we don't know yet what the
- 2 pace is and how the two trials are going to work
- 3 together. So we will give due consideration when the
- 4 appropriate time comes.
- 5 And as to paragraph 4.3, at this point in time, it
- is not our intention to call -- or to seek the admission 6
- 7 of the evidence of any expert witness under Rule 92 bis.
- 8 All evidence from expert witnesses will come under
- 9 Rule 94 bis.
- 10 PRESIDING JUDGE: Thank you, Ms Stevens. I will not invite
- 11 Defence to comment on the matter concerning the admission
- 12 of transcripts because it will have to be dealt with on a
- 13 legal and procedural basis when it arises from time to
- time. It's a little premature to seek your views on it 14
- 15 when it's an unknown.
- 16 MR METZEGER: In any event, I would be happy to give my view
- on it, and I think Your Honour knows what it is. 17
- PRESIDING JUDGE: In light of Mr Knoops's piece of paper, I 18
- 19 can only guess. And possibly, the Defence counsels may
- 20 be at variance.
- 21 MR METZEGER: It may or may not.
- 22 PRESIDING JUDGE: Has counsel for the Defence any matters to
- 23 raise under paragraph 4 in light of Ms Stevens'
- submission? 24
- 25 MR KNOOPS: Just, Your Honour, that indeed the reference to
- the Rashid case may be at least some -- have some 26
- anticipatory value for this case. 27
- 28 PRESIDING JUDGE: Not the one to do with the Larceny Act, it
- won't. Incidentally, we are still looking for a proper 29

- 1 citation, Mr Knoops, on that case.
- 2 MR KNOOPS: We will make sure when the time comes.
- 3 PRESIDING JUDGE: I added in handwriting a 4.6, to clarify one
- 4 point in the light of the protective measures motion that
- 5 came before the Court earlier. If there's going to be
- any variation of the protective measures already provided 6
- to witnesses in Trial Court Number I when they are called 7
- 8 in this Court, you may recall that followed from your
- 9 motion and the fact that there has been variations in
- 10 relation to witnesses in Trial Chamber Number I,
- 11 Ms Stevens. Will there be any variation in protective
- measures? 12
- 13 MS STEVENS: At this point, Your Honour, we don't envisage
- any. But then again, sometimes when witnesses come, 14
- 15 things change. And if that should happen, we will
- 16 address the matter at that time.
- PRESIDING JUDGE: I have noted that, Ms Stevens. I would 17
- again stress the duty on the Prosecution to inform the 18
- 19 Defence. I will be quite emphatic on that. They must
- 20 have given as much notice as possible.
- MS STEVENS: We'll certainly do that, Your Honour. 21
- 22 PRESIDING JUDGE: Number 5, I have seen some exhibits matters
- filed. Have they been served? 23
- MS STEVENS: When the Prosecution filed the materials which it 24
- was ordered to file in connection with the witness 25
- statements, a list was provided to the Defence. As to 26
- the actual exhibits, that has not been disclosed to the 27
- Defence. But they do have a list of exhibits. 28
- 29 PRESIDING JUDGE: I see.

- 1 Defence, anything on the exhibits? 2 MR METZEGER: In due course, once we've seen the exhibits, we
- 3 might be in a better position to address Your Honour. I
- 4 have had the opportunity, I suppose, of looking at
- 5 something that appears to be a list of references to
- articles, things written by people generally, and 6
- 7 reports. And of course, we would take the initial view
- 8 that insofar as it assists the speedy jurisprudence, we
- 9 would not be opposing anything. However, in relation to
- 10 any matters, opinions, or otherwise which go to the
- 11 ultimate issue, of course by necessity we would seek to
- either argue their relevance or admissibility. 12
- 13 MR KNOOPS: No comments, Your Honour. Thank you.
- MR HARRIS: Ma'am, I agree. 14
- 15 PRESIDING JUDGE: I put in paragraph 6, agreed facts. I know
- 16 it's not the terminology we use in the Rules; it's just a
- common terminology that has built up. It would 17
- appear -- maybe this is where I mixed up one of 18
- 19 Mr Kamara's documents, Mr Harris. I couldn't find his
- 20 reply to the notice to admit. That's what I couldn't
- 21 find. I've misled you inadvertently. I apologise.
- MR HARRIS: All right, I understand. I'll pay some attention 22
- to that as soon as we rise. 23
- 24 PRESIDING JUDGE: Yes. The others were filed last year. It's
- Kamara's notice to admit. 25
- I haven't seen any indication of either matters to 26
- be agreed of fact or law. Is that still the situation, 27
- 28 Ms Stevens?
- MS STEVENS: Yes, Your Honour. 29

Page 18

- 1 MR METZEGER: We will have a document ready for the Court by
- 2 the close of business today. And of course, my learned
- 3 friends for the Prosecution.
- 4 PRESIDING JUDGE: This is of agreed law or agreed fact, or
- 5 both, Mr Metzeger?
- 6 MR METZEGER: Certainly, in relation to agreed fact, the
- 7 matters that we believe we can admit without let or
- 8 hindrance.
- 9 PRESIDING JUDGE: Thank you. Mr Knoops.
- 10 MR KNOOPS: Your Honour, as the Honourable Trial Chamber may
- 11 have seen, we tried to make a start with the disputed
- facts in our Section III of our pre-trial brief --12
- 13 PRESIDING JUDGE: Yes, I've read that.
- MR KNOOPS: -- which was filed last year. We are, of course, 14
- 15 conscious that it's far from complete, so we will
- 16 endeavour also to file an additional list.
- PRESIDING JUDGE: Yes. On my reading of that, Mr Knoops, it 17
- would appear that some of the bulk of it related to 18
- 19 indictments which have now been withdrawn by the
- 20 Prosecution.
- 21 MR KNOOPS: That's correct, Your Honour.
- 22 PRESIDING JUDGE: So there would appear to be still issues of
- identification, but a lot of -- some of it now is no 23
- longer necessary. Is that correct? 24
- MR KNOOPS: That's correct. So we'll file an updated version. 25
- PRESIDING JUDGE: Very well. Again, I would remind counsel of 26
- the need to let the Prosecution know. 27
- MR KNOOPS: Yes, Your Honour. Thank you. 28
- MR HARRIS: Your Honour, on the issue of agreed facts, I find 29

Page 19 BRIMA ET AL

1	difficulty in understanding why it is we can't reach a					
2	consensus, save to say that the Prosecution seem to					
3	approach the matter in a way which suggests that we must					
4	agree matters of substance, which is a question for the					
5	Tribunal. I would endeavour to discuss a basis upon					
6	which one so far as the Defence team is concerned, one					
7	which we could submit to the Prosecution for their					
8	consideration. I take on board the observations made by					
9	my learned friend, Mr Metzeger, about the issue relating					
10	to what the what are matters at issue before the Trial					
11	Court or Chamber.					
12	There is a difference between matters of real issue					
13	which determine the question of guilt or innocence and					
14	other surrounding issues. I see no difficulty, so far as					
15	I'm concerned, in arriving at a happy medium relating to					
16	those issues, but the real issue of, for example,					
17	identification, participation, and being in the hierarchy					
18	are matters which we won't agree. But I shall endeavour					
19	to do something.					
20	PRESIDING JUDGE: I wish to assure all counsel it's not the					
21	intention of this Court to force any agreed facts upon					
22	them. The issues are always at large. It's a matter					
23	more of expeditious hearing.					
24	If there's no other issues under that subheading, I					
25	will move on to the next one, which is trial preparation.					
26	Ms Stevens, how are you on your trial preparation?					
27	MS STEVENS: Your Honour, my learned senior, Mr Luc Cote, will					
28	address you on this issue.					

MR COTE: Your Honour, I think basically point 7.2 and 7.3, we

29

1	are ready to proceed with the opening on the 7th of					
2	March. We would like to put everyone on notice that as					
3	in the previous trial, we would like to separate the					
4	opening statement in two parts. One part will be given					
5	by the Prosecutor, David Crane. The second part will be					
6	done by my colleague, Ms Stevens. It should all					
7	together, I think both of them together, should last le					
8	than two hours. So it should be completed, the two part					
9	should be completed in two hours.					
10	As to the matter of the estimate of length of time					
11	we will require to present our case, of course it depends					
12	also on my colleagues from the Defence and the length of					
13	cross-examination. But it's always difficult to give a					
14	precise estimation, but let's say that we are very					
15	hopeful and we believe that this the Prosecution case					
16	will be finished before summer recess.					
17	PRESIDING JUDGE: Thank you, Mr Cote. I am assuming that					
18	Defence will want to hear the Prosecution case before					
19	they make any commitment. But if any Defence counsel has					
20	a comment on that, I'm quite happy to listen.					
21	MR METZEGER: I'm grateful for my learned friend's optimism					
22	because it seems to me that I understand the difficulties					
23	when one is presenting a case in trying to estimate time,					
24	particularly when you've got, I think, 63 core witnesses					
25	and 196 backup. Once the Prosecution are able to decide					
26	exactly how many witnesses they intend to call, then					
27	there will be more of an air of, shall we say, accuracy					
28	about any estimates that we are likely to have.					
29	PRESIDING JUDGE: Mr Metzeger, never seen an accurate estimate					

```
1
         of a length of a trial in my life.
```

- 2 MR METZEGER: Your Honour hasn't been in the Court I have been
- 3 in.
- PRESIDING JUDGE: Possibly. 4
- 5 MR METZEGER: However, there is one thing that I know if my
- 6 lay client were here he would like me to say: I have had
- 7 the opportunity of hearing some of and seeing some of the
- 8 words used in the opening in the RUF trial. Something
- 9 which caused a little bit of perhaps furor is putting it
- 10 highly, but certainly an emotionally charged - what is
- 11 the phrase - "boxed and ready" for media attention.
- 12 We are dealing with serious issues in this case, and
- 13 we lay our concerns on the table, as it were, now in the
- hope that the Prosecution will open the case, of course, 14
- 15 as they wish to, but perhaps leaving out too many
- 16 allegorical statements. Otherwise, we shall have to then
- make our objections after the opening, and we shall lose 17
- time. Not a lot, but we will obliged, almost indeed 18
- 19 forced, to do that. It needn't be as emotive as some of
- 20 the statements we've seen in prior openings.
- 21 PRESIDING JUDGE: Thank you, Mr Metzeger.
- 22 Mr Knoops.
- 23 Are they here? Bring them in, please.
- [The accused Kanu and Kamara entered court] 24
- 25 PRESIDING JUDGE: I see two. Is there a third?
- 26 MR METZEGER: It would appear that my lay client isn't here.
- Obviously, I don't have his instructions. I don't know 27
- what the situation is. It would ill befit us to ask --28
- 29 PRESIDING JUDGE: It wouldn't be proper. I will therefore

```
1
         proceed, knowing that there are two accused in the Court.
```

- 2 Now, Mr Metzeger. Mr Knoops -- sorry, did you wish to
- 3 say something else?
- 4 MR METZEGER: You did say "Mr Metzeger," and I rose to your
- 5 bidding.
- 6 PRESIDING JUDGE: I was going to say Mr Metzeger has addressed
- 7 on the issue, and I was going to invite other counsel --
- 8 i was going to ask whether there's anything else you wish
- 9 to say, Mr Metzeger.
- 10 MR METZEGER: I think I've occupied the Court's time enough
- 11 this morning.
- PRESIDING JUDGE: Thank you. 12
- 13 Yes, Mr Knoops, any matters you wish to raise?
- MR KNOOPS: Thank you, Your Honour. Only that we share the 14
- 15 same concern as my learned colleague Mr Metzeger just
- 16 explained to the Honourable Trial Chamber.
- MR HARRIS: Ma'am, it's quite clear that the Prosecution have 17
- applied their mind to their opening. There's absolutely 18
- 19 no reason why they can't disclose their opening to us.
- 20 They can give us a transcript, a copy of the -- of their
- 21 opening. And we can see what they have to say. It's not
- 22 unusual in courts for the Prosecution opening to be
- served on the Defence. I'm not asking for time. They 23
- obviously have it in their system. Print a copy off. 24
- 25 PRESIDING JUDGE: Mr Harris, off the top of my head, is there
- a rule that empowers me to make a directive? 26
- MR HARRIS: No, no, I'm not asking you to make a directive. 27
- 28 I'm simply discussing the issue. If we are looking at
- the way in which this could be expedited, there's 29

- 1 absolutely no reason why we can't see what they have to
- 2 say about those we represent.
- 3 PRESIDING JUDGE: Thank you, Mr Harris.
- 4 Mr Cote, there's two matters that arise from Defence
- 5 responses. One is to say I do not think I have a power
- to direct you to serve an opening statement, and 6
- 7 therefore I will merely leave Mr Harris's comments on the
- 8 table for Prosecution to act as they see fit and within
- 9 their own prerogative.
- 10 And secondly, on the question as to -- you've heard
- 11 Mr Metzger's "boxed and ready," he said. And merely just
- to refer counsel to the provisions of Rule 84 of the 12
- 13 Rules which provide that an opening statement is confined
- to the evidence he intends to present in support of his 14
- case. I will merely allude to that, and I will say 15
- 16 nothing further on the point.
- MR COTE: Mm-hmm. 17
- PRESIDING JUDGE: And I will leave it up to counsel. If 18
- 19 there's an agreement reached, well be it. If there is
- 20 not, I can do nothing about it.
- MR COTE: Thank you, Your Honour. 21
- PRESIDING JUDGE: Well, counsel, that's the list I had. Has 22
- counsel any other issues they wish to bring to the 23
- attention of the Court? Any problems, other than, as 24
- 25 Ms Monasebian, the transport, which we will do our best
- 26 to control? I've been even known to be caught in traffic
- myself. 27
- 28 Anything else?
- MS STEVENS: Nothing further, Your Honour. 29

Page 24

```
1
     PRESIDING JUDGE: Thank you, Ms Stevens.
2
              Counsel for the Defence?
3
     MR METZEGER: At this particular point in time, we will
4
          endeavour to live up to that which we have promised this
 5
         morning.
6
     PRESIDING JUDGE: Mr Knoops.
7
    MR KNOOPS: Nothing further, Your Honour. Thank you.
8
     PRESIDING JUDGE: Mr Harris.
9
    MR HARRIS: Nothing further.
10
     PRESIDING JUDGE: If there's no other matters, we'll adjourn
          the Court until the 7th of March. Madam Registrar,
11
          please adjourn the Court.
12
13
          [Whereupon the hearing adjourned at 9.59 a.m., to be
          reconvened on Tuesday, the 7th day of March, 2005]
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
```