

Case No. SCSL-2004-16-T
THE PROSECUTOR OF
THE SPECIAL COURT
V.
ALEX TAMBA BRIMA
BRIMA BAZZY KAMARA
SANTIGIE BORBOR KANU

WEDNESDAY, 26 JULY 2006
9.18 A.M.
STATUS CONFERENCE

TRIAL CHAMBER II

Before the Judges:	Richard Lussick, Presiding Teresa Doherty Julia Sebutinde
For Chambers:	Mr Simon Meisenberg Ms Carolyn Buff
For the Registry:	Mr Thomas George
For the Prosecution:	Mr Karim Agha Mr Charles Hardaway Ms Maja Dimitrova (Case Manager)
For the Principal Defender:	No appearance
For the accused Alex Tamba Brima:	Mr Kojo Graham Ms Glenna Thompson Mr Ibrahim Foday Mansaray (legal assistant)
For the accused Brima Bazy Kamara:	Mr Andrew William Kodwo Daniels
For the accused Santigie Borbor Kanu:	Mr Ajibola E Manly-Spain Mr Silas Chekera

1 [AFRC26JUL06A - CR]

2 Wednesday, 26 July 2006

3 [The accused present]

4 [Open session]

5 [Status conference]

6 [Upon resuming at 9.18 a.m.]

7 PRESIDING JUDGE: Yes, I believe there may have been some
8 developments with the Defence witnesses. Is there anything the
9 Defence has to tell the Court?

09:20:19 10 MS THOMPSON: Your Honour, yes. Your Honour, we have made
11 some progress, in fact, a lot of progress since we broke off
12 yesterday. My learned friend Mr Graham will deal with that.
13 Before we get to that, Your Honour, may I refer to something
14 which was said yesterday by Mr Graham, and that was the issue of
09:20:37 15 the Freetown-based witnesses wanting to visit the detainees.

16 Your Honour, our instructions are that since the disclosure
17 of the details of the 49 witnesses, those witnesses, who one
18 might call insider witnesses, have endured certain pressures
19 since inquiries were made about them at their organisation. I'm
09:21:01 20 going to be very careful here, because I know we're in open session.

21 Those inquiries appear to have led others to believe that
22 they will be coming to the Special Court as Defence witnesses and
23 that has effectively defeated the purpose of their protected
24 witness status. We are also told that the same fate met certain
09:21:24 25 persons who were referred to by the first accused, whilst giving
26 evidence, when those inquiries were made at that organisation,
27 presumably to verify their existence. Those protected witnesses
28 now fear giving evidence, as they're unsure what their fate will
29 be after that. Our considered legal opinion at the moment is

1 that it would be counterproductive to the Defence's case if we
2 were to apply for subpoenas to be issued against these potential
3 witnesses.

4 The idea behind visiting the accused persons is, one, to
09:21:56 5 explain their fate, their predicament, and, two, a summons of
6 confidence posting. It was not to get their stories to coincide.
7 We have, however, dispatched an investigator today -- he should
8 have left this morning -- to speak to those who have now been
9 relocated to the provinces and those who are in Freetown. We
09:22:24 10 hope to be able to persuade them to be here for Monday. We hope
11 to be able to have enough time with them to go over their
12 statements, verify their accounts and get them trial ready.
13 We're optimistic that this we can do and Mr Graham will elucidate
14 on that.

09:22:46 15 I seek no orders as to what I have said. Merely, I ask
16 that those who carry out these investigations - and one
17 anticipates or one imagines those investigations may be necessary
18 in view of the other side - should make sure they conduct these
19 investigations with due sensitivity to the circumstances of those
09:23:08 20 about whom they're seeking information, and the circumstances of
21 this case. Like I said, we seek no orders, I merely ask for
22 caution. It does defeat the whole purpose of protective measures
23 and gives us a lot of problems, which is what we have endured.

24 PRESIDING JUDGE: Thank you, Ms Thompson. Mr Graham, you
09:23:31 25 have something to add?

26 MR GRAHAM: Yes, sir. Good morning, Your Honours.

27 PRESIDING JUDGE: Good morning.

28 MR GRAHAM: Consequent to what my learned friend has just
29 told the Court, indeed --

1 JUDGE SEBUTINDE: Would you mind speaking up, please.

2 MR GRAHAM: Sorry, Your Honours. We have worked together
3 as a team and, also yesterday, had meetings with WVS to work
4 towards bringing in some of our witnesses from Kono. Indeed,
09:23:57 5 they did leave this morning, and we are expecting them back in
6 tomorrow with at least four of our witnesses from Kono. By way
7 of pseudonyms, I do inform the Court that they are DAB-043,
8 DAB-025, DAB-023, and DAB-018. We have made contact prior to the
9 WVS team arriving in Kono today and we are hopeful that once they
09:24:39 10 come in tomorrow, we would work hard with them to, hopefully, if
11 not on Friday, definitely on Monday, depending on their arrival,
12 I'm sure we'll have them ready to testify before this Court.

13 Regarding Freetown, my learned friend, as well, informed
14 this Court about the present circumstances of the Freetown
09:25:04 15 witnesses. We are also working to ensure that we also get some
16 witnesses from Freetown in the midst of the circumstances.
17 Your Honour, that is what I have to humbly inform this Court in
18 respect of the efforts we've made yesterday and where we are
19 heading to.

09:25:31 20 PRESIDING JUDGE: You may know more about this than we do,
21 but we've heard from WVS that they were going up to Makeni
22 yesterday.

23 MR GRAHAM: Yes.

24 PRESIDING JUDGE: It turns out that they were originally
09:25:42 25 looking at bringing three witnesses down and now it may even be
26 seven, and they anticipated that they would have some witnesses
27 ready, not today, but tomorrow morning.

28 MR GRAHAM: Your Honour, to the best of my recollection,
29 yesterday we did discuss the issue of the Makeni witnesses. I

1 think it is true that there were three witnesses in Makeni who
2 are available, but the issue is that we don't have them in the
3 first -- in the list of 49 names that we filed with the Court. I
4 believe, as at yesterday, that is how come the issue of the
09:26:26 5 Makeni witnesses is actually out of the picture, at least for the
6 moment. There is one, however, who is in Makeni, who falls
7 within the category of the 49 names that we filed with the Court,
8 on their way to Kono. Our understanding is that they are also
9 going to contact him as well and, if need be, ask him to head to
09:26:50 10 West Freetown. If the event he does that, I believe we will have
11 him available to testify before the Court.

12 JUDGE SEBUTINDE: What about this witness you told us about
13 yesterday? Is that the Makeni witness?

14 MR GRAHAM: No, that is different. In regard of that
09:27:04 15 witness, we also did discuss with WVS. We also reached him
16 yesterday and we reached some understanding, because he needed
17 medical attention, that he was going to get into Freetown, I
18 believe, this evening and WVS has agreed to give him the
19 immediate medical attention. In addition to that, once he
09:27:25 20 arrives today, as agreed, I believe we will also have him on the
21 list as well. His pseudonym is --

22 JUDGE DOHERTY: Is that DAB-079?

23 MR GRAHAM: DAB-079, yes that is right, Your Honour.

24 PRESIDING JUDGE: So he may be available tomorrow to give
09:27:39 25 evidence?

26 MR GRAHAM: He's coming this evening. I believe he needs
27 some form of medical treatment. I don't know how soon that can
28 be given to him by the WVS. We have worked with him already
29 prior to his leaving Freetown last week. If he gets in -- I

1 believe tomorrow afternoon, if he comes in tomorrow afternoon, we
2 should be able to have him before this Court.

3 PRESIDING JUDGE: Well, if he's getting in tonight, what's
4 the problem with tomorrow morning?

09:28:12 5 MR GRAHAM: Your Honour, as I said, he had requested some
6 form of medical treatment. He was here and he left because of
7 that. Our understanding with WVS is that once he gets in, he
8 will get medical treatment, depending on what time he comes in
9 today and within that time frame, but he said he was going to get
09:28:30 10 in this evening.

11 PRESIDING JUDGE: What type of medical treatment do you
12 think he'd need?

13 MR GRAHAM: I don't know. I understand he has a problem
14 with his foot. I don't know exactly the nature. He was in the
09:28:42 15 witness house and left some time last week because of that.

16 PRESIDING JUDGE: How do you know, then, that his medical
17 treatment will extend into tomorrow morning so that he can't be
18 here until the afternoon?

19 MR GRAHAM: I don't know, apart from the medical treatment
09:28:59 20 and probably the time we would also need to work with him. I
21 believe we should be able to do that.

22 PRESIDING JUDGE: I'm under the impression you've already
23 worked with him. Wasn't he on the witness list ready to come and
24 give evidence?

09:29:14 25 MR GRAHAM: Yes, that is so, Your Honour.

26 PRESIDING JUDGE: Really, rather than assuming he won't be
27 ready until the afternoon when you have no idea what's wrong with
28 him, why don't you assume he'll be ready for the morning, and if
29 you need to make an application then, you can do it.

1 MR GRAHAM: That is so, Your Honour. We would oblige on
2 that basis.

3 PRESIDING JUDGE: I think also, Mr Graham, it may pay
4 dividends to keep in touch with WVS, because they may have some
09:29:47 5 other people ready. I don't have full details myself. I
6 understood they were going to bring some witnesses down. It may
7 well be the ones that you've mentioned that aren't on the list,
8 but it may not be, either. I'd keep in touch with them as well.
9 It may prove fruitful.

09:30:07 10 MR GRAHAM: Yes, Your Honour. We will continue. Thank you
11 for your advice, Your Honour.

12 MR MANLY-SPAIN: May it please Your Honour. I just wish to
13 clarify the position of the subpoenas for witnesses that I
14 referred to yesterday. I wasn't referring to Kono and Freetown
09:30:28 15 witnesses, or other witnesses that would be on behalf of the
16 third accused, as we had noted before in previous communication
17 to the Court. Moreover, with regard to the expat witnesses,
18 there is one that has been engaged on child soldiers but our
19 request for him to be heard is still pending with the Defence
09:31:04 20 office; it has not been settled.

21 PRESIDING JUDGE: Thanks for that, Mr Manly-Spain.

22 MR DANIELS: Good morning, Your Honours.

23 PRESIDING JUDGE: Good morning.

24 MR DANIELS: Yesterday, when we were talking about a status
09:31:24 25 conference, mention was made about the date for the final witness
26 list. Indeed, yesterday we met with our investigators and the
27 Court is fully aware that, over the recess period, the
28 investigators will be carrying out their investigations. So we
29 are respectfully requesting that the date for the final witness

1 list be extended closer to the beginning of the recess period.
2 That is not to say that we will not supply the Prosecution with a
3 list of at least 15 witnesses who will start next term session.
4 So, we are just asking that the date for the submission of the
09:32:12 5 final witness list be submitted to as close as possible to the
6 beginning of the legal term.

7 PRESIDING JUDGE: You note that this Court will be resuming
8 the trial on Monday, 4 September.

9 MR DANIELS: I am aware.

09:32:34 10 PRESIDING JUDGE: That's not very far off 21st August. If
11 we bring it closer to the resumption date, 4th September, it's
12 not giving the Prosecution much notice at all.

13 MR DANIELS: Respectfully, it's a practical consideration
14 because of the fact that our investigators will still be in the
09:32:58 15 provinces right up until we commence, and there is every chance
16 that they could stumble across more witnesses. I recall Your
17 Lordship ordering that we use the recess period to complete our
18 investigative work. We think that this is just a humble request.
19 It's not to slow down things in compensation. We say we will
09:33:31 20 supply a list of witnesses to the Prosecution so that they will
21 not be taken by surprise.

22 PRESIDING JUDGE: Yes. Do the Prosecution have any reply?

23 MR AGHA: Yes, we would like to make a reply, Your Honour.
24 Firstly dealing with the points raised by learned Defence counsel
09:34:14 25 Ms Thompson. We, on the Prosecution, only received the actual
26 identifying data of the witnesses for Freetown about a week ago.
27 I'd have thought it would be highly unlikely the period before
28 that there would have been any pressure coming from anyone from
29 the Office of the Prosecution, since we've only just learnt their

1 identities. Furthermore, so far as I'm aware, our investigators
2 do carry out their investigations with sensitivity. Regarding
3 the fact that these witnesses wanted to meet with the accused to
4 explain their fate and predicament, the Prosecution can't really
09:34:59 5 see how the accused can help them on that.

6 Now, if we refer to the Kono witnesses mentioned by
7 Mr Graham, he gave us a list of four witnesses, and there may be
8 another one from Makeni. The Prosecution will be grateful to
9 have confirmation that these will be the witnesses in order of
09:35:21 10 call, or, at least, as soon as they're able to tell us when
11 they're in order of call, together with the Makeni witness so
12 that we can prepare.

13 Now, coming to the point about the witness list raised by
14 my learned colleague Mr Daniels. The Prosecution's submission is
09:35:43 15 that this date has already been extended once, and that was well
16 beyond, I believe, the 10th May period to give an additional two
17 and a half months. The Prosecution submits that there should be
18 some kind of finality to this date as to when witnesses should be
19 added. This should be the same as was applicable to the
09:36:07 20 Prosecution, namely that we were given a time period in which to
21 file a witness list and, thereafter, show good cause for the
22 addition of any further witnesses and, indeed, a motion has
23 recently been filed by the Prosecution on that basis.

24 Now, the Prosecution does believe that to delay the final
09:36:39 25 witness list without good cause for additional witnesses will
26 more than likely cause a potential delay in the trial since it
27 will limit the Prosecution's time for preparation. So we would
28 submit to the Court that the date of 21st August remain firm, but
29 with the ability for the Defence to apply for a witness to be

1 added to the list upon showing good cause.

2 Returning to yesterday's proceedings, the Prosecution had
3 asked for two particular orders. The first application was that
4 the Defence be ordered to subpoena those witnesses bearing
09:37:19 5 numbers 32 to 49 who it wants to call and who refuse to come by
6 2nd August, or otherwise indicate they're dropped.

7 PRESIDING JUDGE: You didn't actually give numbers
8 yesterday.

9 MR AGHA: Not particular numbers. In particular,
09:37:35 10 yesterday, Mr Graham, my learned colleague, indicated that the
11 serving SLA in the list may need to be subpoenaed. The
12 Prosecution would therefore, at a minimum, seek an order that
13 this group of witnesses be subpoenaed or dropped by 2nd August.
14 In fact, I believe there are five of them still serving.

09:37:57 15 In addition, a point the Prosecution didn't raise yesterday
16 is that it notes from the Defence disclosure on 10 May that there
17 are potentially six or seven additional witnesses, which are not
18 a part of the listed 49 known as TRC witnesses, who may need to
19 be subpoenaed. This was actually raised in their brief, and
09:38:26 20 these are serving military men, or no longer serving, largely
21 from the TRC. The Prosecution would request that these witnesses
22 either be dropped or added, or, sorry, subpoenaed. I beg your
23 pardon.

24 The reason why the Prosecution is pressing on this issue of
09:38:47 25 subpoenas before the recess is because, potentially, we could
26 have another five more witnesses in this case heard before the
27 recess. That may leave the Prosecution only with about 15
28 witnesses and witness summaries and these summaries will,
29 obviously pursuant to the order of the Court, be padded out by

1 2nd August. So, additional witnesses may be filed on 21st August
2 with summaries, as indicated by Mr Daniels, but this may, in
3 fact, be pushed back.

4 Even if additional witnesses are filed by 21st August, the
09:39:27 5 15 or so witnesses who remain will, pursuant to this order of the
6 Court, be first in order of call and, naturally so, since the
7 Prosecution would have had time to prepare for them. It is
8 therefore possible that, out of a total of 19 witnesses -- 15
9 witnesses, indeed, which would include the five serving SLA
09:39:49 10 soldiers for which a subpoena may be required -- these 15 or so
11 witnesses' evidence could be completed within two or three weeks
12 of the recess. So we may, therefore, be back to the same
13 position of running out of witnesses shortly after the recess.
14 If subpoenas were then required at that time, that would further
09:40:12 15 delay the process.

16 Furthermore, if subpoenas are considered necessary for
17 serving SLAs, then this may be quite a lengthy process, as I
18 suspect the involvement of the Ministry of Defence may be
19 necessary to grant the permission for them to come. It is not
09:40:31 20 going to be an issue which will be resolved, one feels,
21 overnight. So to avoid potential further delay in the trial, the
22 Prosecution still seeks an order for subpoenas, at least
23 regarding the serving SLAs on the list, who I believe there are
24 five in number, or others, who, from now until the recess, the
09:40:50 25 Defence can conclude that they will not be coming to give
26 evidence, but they would want to call them. And some subpoenas,
27 if they choose to call them, in respect of the TRC witnesses who
28 are serving military men and who, Mr Knoops had already
29 indicated, subpoenas would be required for. So that is an order

1 which we still would press for.

2 PRESIDING JUDGE: I find that a rather bizarre order. I'm
3 not making any rulings, because we haven't discussed it as a
4 Trial Chamber yet. I find it strange for the Prosecution to be
09:41:31 5 asking for orders that the opponents serve subpoenas. It's
6 usually left to the party that wants the witnesses to apply or
7 not apply for subpoenas, not for the opposing party to insist
8 they issue subpoenas. That's just a comment in passing, Mr Agha.

9 MR AGHA: I make the application, simply so we can move
09:41:54 10 along with the trial. It may be --

11 PRESIDING JUDGE: I see that, but I'm just questioning
12 whether it's the Prosecution's place to be telling the Defence
13 who they should be subpoenaing and who they shouldn't.

14 MR AGHA: Your Honour, the other application we made
09:42:12 15 yesterday was for an order that the Defence, by 21 August,
16 provide the Prosecution with a list of the first 10 witnesses in
17 order of call, who will come up after the recess. I believe
18 there is no objection to that from my learned friends.

19 PRESIDING JUDGE: No, that was my impression too, Mr Agha.
09:42:32 20 I find the figure 10 a little conservative, myself.

21 MR AGHA: I would prefer more. Fifteen would be better.

22 PRESIDING JUDGE: Look at what has happened today; we have
23 run out of witnesses.

24 MR AGHA: If the Defence could agree to provide us with the
09:42:52 25 order of call for the next 35 witnesses or the next 30 witnesses,
26 we would be very much grateful, but this is going to depend on
27 when they file their final witness list as well. According to
28 the schedule at the moment, if they deal with, let us say, five
29 witnesses before this session, there is only going to be 15 left,

1 some of which may or may not require subpoenas. Then we need to
2 hear who the new witnesses will be by 21st August and then
3 prepare for those witnesses. So it may be, by 21 August, the
4 Defence will not even be in a position to give us more names than
09:43:25 5 10, 15 or 20. That's the difficulty which the Prosecution faces.
6 Obviously the more names in order of call we receive, the better.

7 PRESIDING JUDGE: I seem to remember in the Prosecution
8 case there was an order for a final witness list, which the
9 Prosecution complied with, and then found it necessary to bring
09:43:51 10 several motions to add witnesses to that list. That would seem
11 to be the way to proceed for the Defence as well. In any event,
12 do you have any further submission?

13 MR AGHA: Only that it would also tie into the question of
14 witnesses, a motion pending regarding alibi witnesses which is
09:44:16 15 before the Court, and I don't intend to go into detail into that
16 today, as we are awaiting orders, but that may also impinge on
17 the witnesses. Just really to seek to confirmation from the
18 other side regarding the position of experts, because I
19 understand from their disclosure on 10th May that there were
09:44:38 20 going to be potentially two military experts, and to seek
21 confirmation whether it is going to be one, and that was going to
22 be Mr Prins, and with regard to, I believe, child soldiers, and
23 forced marriage, there were two names in the list, whether that
24 now is also going to be one, and who they might be.

09:45:09 25 PRESIDING JUDGE: Yes.

26 MR AGHA: I would just like to hear from the Defence what
27 that position is on those experts.

28 PRESIDING JUDGE: All right. I will call on the Defence,
29 in any event. They may wish to reply to some of the other

1 matters Mr Agha has raised.

2 MS THOMPSON: Yes, Your Honour. Regarding the issue which
3 I raised at the beginning, these people for whom this information
4 had come from are part of the 49. Those names were disclosed a
09:45:47 5 while ago. They are part of the 49 of some serving people. I
6 put it in that way. It's not to say that those are the people
7 who have been recently disclosed. It stands to reason that one
8 question to one person might trigger off something. This is a
9 small community.

09:46:07 10 As far as the order for subpoenas is concerned,
11 Your Honour, I see no reason why we should be effectively
12 dictated to by the Prosecution to tell us that we should subpoena
13 people who we have decided, at least for now, we should not
14 subpoena. Those are the serving SLAs. When Mr Knoops raised the
09:46:36 15 issue of subpoena, it wasn't as regards those people. We, at
16 this moment, deem it counterproductive to our case if we were to
17 subpoena those people.

18 PRESIDING JUDGE: Yes, I appreciate what you're saying,
19 Ms Thompson. I'm sure the Defence realises, too, whether you
09:46:55 20 issue subpoenas or not, and I think that's a matter to be left in
21 your own discretion because you know the witnesses better than
22 anybody else, but I think the Defence would realise there may
23 come a point in time where the Trial Chamber will simply say,
24 "We've given enough time and the witnesses have not materialised
09:47:16 25 and, therefore, they will not take part in the trial."

26 MS THOMPSON: We're quite aware of that, Your Honour.
27 That's why work is being done to see if there is another way.
28 Subpoenas sometimes have a very harsh reality on the people,
29 forcing someone to come to Court may be counterproductive.

1 PRESIDING JUDGE: We appreciate that, Ms Thompson.

2 MS THOMPSON: We're trying to see if there is an
3 alternative. If there is an alternative, we'll work with that.
4 If there isn't an alternative, we'll come back to the Court. As
09:47:48 5 regards subpoenas for the TRC witnesses who were the original
6 people who were the focus of the subpoenas -- in any event,
7 Mr Manly-Spain will deal with that. Mr Daniels has mentioned
8 that we would supply a list of 15 by 21st August, so I don't
9 think I need to go over that as well.

09:48:07 10 As far as the experts are concerned, I think we have
11 actually mentioned what is happening with these experts. There
12 is a process -- we will identify and there is a process and that
13 process is not necessarily an easy process to go through. We
14 don't pay it out of our funds, they come out of the Defence
09:48:25 15 office funds and then there are certain documents to be raised,
16 certain contracts to be signed. We have identified, like we've
17 said, child soldiers. We have one international military expert.
18 Forced marriages, demograph we have already identified. Forced
19 marriages, that is still something subject to discussion. I know
09:48:49 20 there are discussions going on with one expert at the moment, as
21 are discussions going on with another military expert. At the
22 moment, we have only one military expert, but there are
23 discussions with another one. That is as far as I can say now.

24 PRESIDING JUDGE: Thank you, Ms Thompson. I'll just have a
09:49:13 25 brief discussion with my colleagues.

26 [Trial Chamber conferred]

27 PRESIDING JUDGE: We thank the parties for their
28 submissions this morning. We're going to wait and see what
29 tomorrow brings. We'd expect that there would be at least one

1 witness, and hopefully more, to give evidence tomorrow. Again,
2 hopefully that will be in the morning rather than the
3 afternoon. I would urge the Defence to keep in touch with WVS
4 and see what developments are happening.

09:50:37 5 We'll hand down decisions on all of these matters tomorrow.
6 Until then, we'll reserve. We'll adjourn this Court until
7 9.15 a.m. tomorrow morning.

8 THE INTERPRETER: Your Honours, before we adjourn, I would
9 like to put in a word for the language unit.

09:51:02 10 PRESIDING JUDGE: Just one minute. Things are never that
11 easy in the Special Court. We now have got the interpreter
12 wishing to say something on behalf of the language unit. Is this
13 something that must be said in open Court, Mr Interpreter?

14 THE INTERPRETER: Well, as Your Honour pleases.

09:51:17 15 PRESIDING JUDGE: No, I'm simply asking you a question.

16 THE INTERPRETER: Yes, Your Honour, I can say it in the
17 booth, but if you want me to come down in open court, I can. I
18 just want to make a gentle appeal to Defence counsel.

19 PRESIDING JUDGE: What is that?

09:51:32 20 THE INTERPRETER: That when, once they have confirmed their
21 witnesses before we next convene, we would like to be informed
22 about their language requirement. The reason is that there are
23 some languages for which we do not have interpreters among the
24 permanent staff. We would need to inform such interpreters in
09:51:53 25 good time so as to get them here as soon as we need them, because
26 they are employees, too, in some other places and would not like
27 to hold up the proceedings.

28 PRESIDING JUDGE: That's reasonable, Mr Interpreter. I
29 trust the Defence have taken note of what the interpreter has said.

1 MR GRAHAM: That is so, Your Honours. Except to say that
2 there has been a working relationship between us and the
3 translators' unit. I think, with regard to Madam Rebekka. We
4 have actually been working on a daily basis. I have heard she
09:52:27 5 has left. In the circumstances, it would be good if we are put
6 in the know as to who the new contact is so we can pick up our
7 working relationship from there. We will make that contact after
8 this session. We are grateful for the caveat.

9 MR AGHA: Your Honour, may I raise one other brief matter
09:52:43 10 before we rise?

11 PRESIDING JUDGE: Is that going to call for a reply from
12 the Defence?

13 MR AGHA: I hope not. We have been given four names of
14 people the Defence hope to go out and find, four pseudonyms, at
09:52:55 15 least. Could we call upon the Defence to let us have the revised
16 summaries of those witnesses by the end of today, even, if
17 possible, so if they do come, we are in a position to be
18 prepared, as far as possible.

19 PRESIDING JUDGE: That doesn't seem an unreasonable
09:53:12 20 request. Can the Defence attend to that?

21 MR GRAHAM: That is so, Your Honour. It will be done. If
22 there are any, I think we should do that before 5 o'clock today.
23 We will let them have it.

24 PRESIDING JUDGE: We will note the Defence undertaking to
09:53:31 25 provide revised summaries, if any, before 5.00 o'clock today.
26 Thank you once again to the parties.

27 [Whereupon the status conference adjourned at
28 9.53 a.m., to be reconvened on Thursday, 27th
29 day of July, at 9.15 a.m.]

