CASE NO. SCSL-2004-14-T TRIAL CHAMBER I THE PROSECUTOR OF THE SPECIAL COURT V. SAM HINGA NORMAN MOININA FOFANA ALLIEU KONDEWA

## MONDAY, 15 MARCH 2005 9.42 a.m. TRIAL

Before the Judges:

Benjamin Mutanga Itoe, Presiding Bankole Thompson Pierre Boutet

For Chambers:

Ms Sharelle Aitchison

For the Registry:

Ms Maureen Edmonds Mr Geoff Walker

For the Prosecution:

Mr Mohamed Bangura Mr Kevin Tavener Mr Mohamed Stevens

For the Principal Defender:

Mr Ibrahim Yillah Mr Kingsley Belle

For the Accused Sam Hinga Norman:

Dr Bu-Buakei Jabbi

For the Accused Moinina Fofana:

Mr Arrow Bockarie Mr Andrew Ianuzzi

For the Accused Allieu Kondewa:

Mr Charles Margai Mr Yada Williams Mr Ansu Lansana Mr Martin Michael Ms Susan Wright

[Monday, 14 March 2005] 1 2 [The accused Kondewa and Fofana present] 3 [Open session] [Upon commencing at 9.42 a.m.] 4 09:21:28 5 WITNESS: TF2-014 [Continued] PRESIDING JUDGE: Learned counsel, good morning. Mr 6 Witness, good morning. 7 THE WITNESS: Good morning, sir. 8 9 PRESIDING JUDGE: We are resuming the session. 09:44:20 10 JUDGE BOUTET: Mr Yillah, you are ready? PRESIDING JUDGE: I would like to reiterate what I said 11 12 last week. That is, we must wrap up with this witness by 13 tomorrow. If we can do it by tomorrow morning, that will be 14 fine. It will assist the bench a lot to do a number of things 09:44:40 15 before we announce the Easter break. Mr Yillah. 16 MR YILLAH: As My Lord pleases. 17 JUDGE BOUTET: So, you are moving into a different area. 18 MR YILLAH: Yes, we are heading to the War Council. 19 JUDGE BOUTET: So you are ready to proceed now? 09:45:03 20 MR YILLAH: We are. 21 PRESIDING JUDGE: And you said you would spend some 45 22 minutes on the War Council. 23 MR YILLAH: No, I said I should be done by quarter to 11. PRESIDING JUDGE: Proceed, Mr Yillah. 24 09:45:16 25 MR YILLAH: As My Lord pleases. 26 CROSS-EXAMINED BY MR YILLAH: [Continued] 27 Q. Mr Witness --28 Α. Yes, My Lord. 29 Do you know when the War Council was formed? Q.

1 Α. Yes, My Lord, but I would not be able to say the date and 2 the month. 3 Q. Can you assist us with the month and year? I would not be able to know the month. 4 Α. 09:45:45 5 THE INTERPRETER: My Lords, would the witness speak a little bit louder. 6 JUDGE BOUTET: Mr Witness, can you bring your mic closer to 7 8 you? 9 THE WITNESS: Towards the end of 1997. 09:46:04 10 MR YILLAH: Sorry, My Lord, I am having some difficulty. PRESIDING JUDGE: Are you right? 11 MR YILLAH: Yes. 12 13 Q. Mr Witness --14 Yes, My Lord. Α. 09:46:31 15 Would I be right to say that the War Council was Q. 16 responsible for formulating strategies for prosecuting the war? 17 Α. No, My Lord, the War Council recommended. PRESIDING JUDGE: He said "formulate" -- to formulate. 18 19 When you say they recommend, they must recommend something. When 09:47:07 20 you say the War Council recommended, it means that they recommended something. The word is formulate -- to formulate; to 21 22 give suggestions; to give ideas as to how the war was to be 23 executed. Mr Yillah, is that correct? Very well, My Lord. 24 Q. 09:47:35 25 Well, this question, I would like to give some explanation. Α. 26 War Council alone did not formulate something. Because Chief 27 Hinga Norman was also a member of the War Council. 28 PRESIDING JUDGE: Wait, wait. 29 THE WITNESS: Moinina Fofana was a member of the War

1	Council and Dr Allieu Kondewa. So anything that happened which
2	was within the War Council, they all decide together and whatever
3	they said was final.
4	PRESIDING JUDGE: That is going into details.
09:49:03 5	JUDGE THOMPSON: I would like to say that your question
6	related to the functions of the War Council as distinct from the
7	membership. I think it needs to be clearly stated or simplified
8	for the purpose of the answer you are trying to elicit, and the
9	witness should appreciate that the distinction is between
09:49:24 10	functions and membership at this stage.
11	MR YILLAH: As My Lord pleases. Taking the cue, should I
12	put the question again?
13	PRESIDING JUDGE: Yes.
14	MR YILLAH: As My Lord pleases.
09:49:41 15	Q. Mr Witness
16	A. Yes, My Lord.
17	Q. Would I be correct to say it was the function of the War
18	Council to make strategies or recommend strategies for
19	prosecuting the war?
09:49:53 20	A. Yes, My Lord.
21	Q. Mr Witness
22	A. Yes, My Lord.
23	Q. Would I be correct to state that the War Council was the
24	highest decision-making body in the CDF?
09:50:50 25	A. Yes, My Lord, but explanation.
26	PRESIDING JUDGE: Yes, but you will explain it. Just give
27	direct answers to questions put to you.
28	MR YILLAH: As My Lord pleases.
20	DESTRING JUDGE. You said that the Way Council was a

29 PRESIDING JUDGE: You said that the War Council was a

OPEN SESSION

	1	decision-making body
	2	MR YILLAH: Within the CDF.
	3	Q. Mr Witness
	4	A. Yes, My Lord.
09:51:29	5	Q. Would I be correct to also state that the War Council was
	6	the body charged with responsibility for the discipline of
	7	Kamajor commanders who misconducted themselves?
	8	PRESIDING JUDGE: I know when you are taking time to answer
	9	you want to say you will explain, but answer the question first.
09:51:57	10	Is that not true?
	11	THE WITNESS: Yes, My Lord.
	12	MR YILLAH: Thank you, Mr Witness.
	13	JUDGE BOUTET: But you could explain.
	14	THE WITNESS: Yes, My Lord.
09:52:10	15	PRESIDING JUDGE: Indeed, you will. There are points which
	16	are in here which you will come back to explain.
	17	MR YILLAH: As My Lord pleases.
	18	PRESIDING JUDGE: It was a question to do with discipline.
	19	How is the scenario again.?
09:52:35	20	MR YILLAH: Yes, it was the body charged with the
	21	discipline of Kamajors who misconducted themselves.
	22	Q. Mr Witness
	23	A. Yes, My Lord.
	24	Q. Would I be correct to say that on at least one occasion on
	25	which you were present at Base Zero the War Council tried the
	26	commander of the Death Squad, Jegbeyama, for attempting to kill
	27	Commander James Kaillie? Would I be correct to say that?
	28	A. I want you to repeat that. You called Jim Samura.
	29	Q. No, I didn't say "Jim Samura", Mr Witness. Let me put it

NORMAN ET AL 14 MARCH 2005

OPEN SESSION

this way: Were you sent by the War Council to arrest Jegbeyama 1 2 for attempting to murder another Kamajor commander by the name of 3 James Kaillie? Were you or were you not? No, My Lord. 4 Α. 09:54:39 5 PRESIDING JUDGE: To arrest whom? 6 MR YILLAH: Jegbeyama, My Lord. PRESIDING JUDGE: For doing what? 7 MR YILLAH: For attempting to murder Commander James 8 9 Kaillie. 09:55:01 10 THE WITNESS: I was the overall boss for the southern 11 region, and James was not answerable to me. It had nothing to do with me. 12 13 JUDGE THOMPSON: Mr Witness, the question was whether you 14 were sent to arrest him, and you have answered the question that 09:55:29 15 you were not sent to arrest him on the grounds which counsel was 16 suggesting. Don't complicate the issue. 17 MR YILLAH: Mr Witness --18 Α. Yes, My Lord. 19 In your evidence-in-chief --Q. 09:55:49 20 PRESIDING JUDGE: Mr Yillah, Mr Witness, there were certain questions he was answering with some interjections to explain. 21 22 MR YILLAH: Yes, My Lord. 23 PRESIDING JUDGE: I think he should be given the opportunity to explain. The first was that the War Council was 24 09:56:11 25 responsible for planning the strategies for the war and that it 26 was the highest decision-making body and also the disciplinary 27 organ for defaulting or offending Kamajor commanders. He had an explanation to make. Can you --28 29 MR YILLAH: As My Lord pleases.

THE WITNESS: Shall I explain? 1 2 PRESIDING JUDGE: Yes. 3 THE WITNESS: I will start with the War Council structure. PRESIDING JUDGE: Don't take us for a ride. Yes, you said 4 09:56:58 5 you had certain things to explain. THE WITNESS: Yes, My Lord. The head of the War Council 6 was Chief Hinga Norman, the national co-ordinator, and the 7 chairman was answerable to him. So, anything that was decided by 8 9 the War Council -- Norman had no deputy; he had been using Moinina Fofana and Dr Allieu Kondewa. They would decide and give 09:57:48 10 11 the final report, what the War Council recommended. 12 PRESIDING JUDGE: Repeat that again. Repeat that. Say 13 that again please. 14 THE WITNESS: The national co-ordinator, Chief Hinga 09:58:20 15 Norman, had no deputy. So when the War Council sat down and 16 decided anything he would call his two men -- Allieu Kondewa and Moinina Fofana -- and after hanging heads -- and any they 17 18 decision they took after hanging heads, that was the decision 19 they would go by. So, in fact, one time at Base Zero the War 09:59:08 20 Council's lives were in jeopardy. When they said to Norman that their lives were not safe, he said, "I told you you should stop 21 22 every man and these boys. So, if you continue you have nobody no blame." 23 PRESIDING JUDGE: No, no. Okay. Yes, Mr Yillah, proceed 24 10:00:46 25 please. 26 MR YILLAH: Thank you, My Lord. 27 Q. Mr Witness --28 Α. Yes, My Lord. 29 Do you recall making a statement to the investigators for Q.

	1	the Prosecutor on the 26th of November 2002?
	2	A. Yes, My Lord.
	3	Q. In what language did you make that statement?
	4	A. It was in Krio.
10:01:16	5	PRESIDING JUDGE: What was the date of the statement?
	6	MR YILLAH: The 26th of November 2002, My Lord.
	7	Q. Was it recorded?
	8	JUDGE BOUTET: He spoke in what language?
	9	MR YILLAH: Krio, My Lord.
10:01:37	10	Q. Was that statement recorded?
	11	A. Yes, My Lord.
	12	Q. At the end of the recording was it explained to you or did
	13	you read it yourself?
	14	A. Yes, My Lord. They read it and they explained to me and I
10:02:08	15	read it also.
	16	Q. And you admitted it to be true and correct?
	17	A. Yes, My Lord.
	18	Q. And do you recall signing that statement as well?
	19	A. Yes, My Lord.
10:02:46	20	Q. Mr Witness, with the leave of the Chamber, I will read a
	21	portion page 6 of that statement to you and to refresh your
	22	memory, and the question I have will follow.
	23	JUDGE BOUTET: What is the purpose of doing that, just to
	24	refresh the memory of the witness?
10:03:10	25	MR YILLAH: My Lord, it depends, it is about inconsistency.
	26	It is based on the explanation that was just given.
	27	JUDGE BOUTET: It is not to refresh the memory, it is just
	28	to
	29	MR YILLAH: I will read the portion to him and ask him

1 whether he agrees with the submission.

2 JUDGE BOUTET: I am asking you the question because the 3 procedure may be a bit different. If you are asking a question 4 to refresh the memory of the witness you have to give him the 10:03:32 5 statement to refresh his memory. If the purpose of your question is not that but to show inconsistencies, your approach might be 6 7 the right one. But that is why I am trying to find out from you 8 what it is you are attempting to do -- refresh his memory or to 9 show inconsistency. 10:03:53 10 MR YILLAH: I will take the cue from the Bench and I --11 PRESIDING JUDGE: You have the statement and I am sure you 12 have made up your mind as to whether you want to use that 13 statement for the purposes of seeing whether there is 14 inconsistency or otherwise. It is for you to make the option. 10:04:10 15 MR YILLAH: Yes, My Lord, I will give the statement to the 16 witness to refresh his memory. I now apply to the Bench that the statement --17 18 JUDGE BOUTET: I see you have a view with all sorts of 19 writing on it. So presumably there is some of your writing or 10:04:30 20 underlining. Am I right? MR YILLAH: Very well, My Lord. 21 JUDGE BOUTET: If you are showing him the statement it has 22 to be one that you have not written on. 23 MR YILLAH: Okay, My Lord. I will simplify the method so 24 10:04:46 25 that we do not waste time. 26 Q. Mr Witness --27 Α. Yes, My Lord.

28 Q. If I may attempt to -- did you say to the Prosecutors in

29 paragraph 2 of the statement at page 6:

"We left Njendema for Base Zero in 1997 and made it our 1 2 headquarters. This was located at Talia Yawbeko. At Base Zero 3 the War Council was established. It was the decision-making body. The members of the War Council were Alhaji Daramy Rogers; 4 5 Chief Quee, Chairman of the War Council; PC Charles Caulker; Charlie Tucker; RP Kombe Kajue; IMF Kanneh; DC Ngajeh Aruna 6 [phon], Vandy Sorka (Dama Chiefdom), Kandeh Samai (Juijuima 7 [phon] Chiefdom) and Hinga Norman." 8 9 Do you recall making that statement to the investigators, 10:05:40 10 saying that? 11 Α. Yes, I talked about that. 12 PRESIDING JUDGE: You talked about that; that is what you 13 said. THE WITNESS: Yes. 14 10:05:53 15 MR YILLAH: 16 Q. Mr Witness, in light of the statement that you made that I have just refreshed your memory about, do you still stand by your 17 18 explanation that the War Council was not the highest 19 decision-making body? 10:06:16 20 PRESIDING JUDGE: He has not said so. 21 JUDGE BOUTET: That is not what the statement says. MR YILLAH: Based on his own explanation, the addendum that 22 you made at Your Lordships request, trying to say with 23 qualification that the War Council is the body responsible for 24 10:06:36 25 qualifications --26 JUDGE THOMPSON: In other words, are you saying that the 27 qualification that he has entered into the general answer which 28 he gave, that indeed the War Council was the highest 29 decision-making body, amounts to detracting or derogating from

	1	that general statement? Because his testimony this morning here
	2	in answer to your question was that he agrees that the War
	3	Council was the highest decision-making body in the CDF
	4	hierarchy. But then he went on to explain that there was a
10:07:19	5	triumvirate in the War Council that seemed to be wielding so much
	6	authority, probably to render the War Council ineffectual or
	7	ineffective. Is that what you were suggesting, that that
	8	qualification derogates significantly from the earlier statement?
	9	MR YILLAH: That is the view that I took. I will find
10:07:42	10	another way to progress.
	11	PRESIDING JUDGE: Following from where my learned brother
	12	has left it, are you saying that because what he has said now is
	13	not in that statement that it is necessarily inconsistent to what
	14	he has said or that
10:08:05	15	MR YILLAH: Absolutely, My Lord; that is the view that I
	16	take, subject to Your Lordships
	17	PRESIDING JUDGE: Mr Yillah, you may proceed.
	18	MR YILLAH: As My Lord pleases.
	19	Q. I put it to you that the War Council was an entity
10:08:28	20	comprising of all the members that you have named in this Court
	21	and was the highest decision-making body within the CDF
	22	structure.
	23	A. Yes, My Lord.
	24	Q. Mr Witness
10:09:12	25	A. Yes, My Lord.
	26	Q. Do you know whether the War Council continued its functions
	27	when the members had left Base Zero? Do you know whether it
	28	continued carrying out its function?
	29	A. No, My Lord.

PRESIDING JUDGE: What is "No"; is it that --1 2 THE WITNESS: They did not continue to do their work. 3 PRESIDING JUDGE: They did not continue? THE WITNESS: Yes, My Lord, with explanation. 4 10:10:13 5 PRESIDING JUDGE: What explanation? THE WITNESS: Yes, My Lord. 6 PRESIDING JUDGE: Why do you say that they did not continue 7 to perform their functions after they left Base Zero? 8 9 THE WITNESS: The first meeting that they held at Kenema 10:10:35 10 they committed that I should be reinstated, which the national co-ordinator did not honour. 11 12 PRESIDING JUDGE: Is your answer therefore correct? Is 13 your answer correct? It means that when they went to Kenema and 14 they had left Talia Yawbeko they continued to function. Is that 10:10:57 15 not true? Otherwise, by virtue of what did they meet to decide 16 that you be reinstated? And by virtue of what are you saying 17 that --18 THE WITNESS: They recommended. 19 PRESIDING JUDGE: -- the recommendation was not implemented 10:11:12 20 by the national co-ordinator. You have to put things in the right perspective. Do you understand? 21 22 THE WITNESS: Yes, My Lord. 23 MR YILLAH: Shall I put the question again? 24 PRESIDING JUDGE: I have made my remarks; you may proceed 10:11:34 25 the way you want to, please. 26 MR YILLAH: Yes, as My Lord pleases. My Lord, I will put 27 the question again. 28 Q. Mr Witness --29 Yes, My Lord. Α.

	1	Q. So far as you know, did the War Council continue to perform
	2	its function after its members had left Base Zero?
	3	A. Yes, My Lord.
	4	JUDGE THOMPSON: So that answer negates the first answer.
10:12:18	5	MR YILLAH: Absolutely, My Lord.
	6	MR TAVENER: I object to that question. It does not
	7	negate. I am sorry, I will make a comment.
	8	JUDGE THOMPSON: Are you objecting to my comment that it
	9	negates the first answer?
	10	PRESIDING JUDGE: It negates it.
	11	MR TAVENER: "Object" is the wrong word.
	12	JUDGE THOMPSON: I beg your pardon.
	13	MR TAVENER: It is the wrong word to say an objection. I
	14	would say that the Court is not
10:12:35	15	JUDGE THOMPSON: No, I made a comment. I am asking whether
	16	the second answer is a negation of the first answer or a
	17	retraction. Are you suggesting are you objecting to my
	18	comment?
	19	MR TAVENER: As I said, that is the wrong word; I cannot
10:12:51	20	object to Your Honour's comment.
	21	JUDGE THOMPSON: Quite.
	22	MR TAVENER: I am merely making the point that the witness
	23	was asked a very specific question did it function and he
	24	said "Yes." But he had previously given an explanation saying
10:13:06	25	that if there was a meeting, recommendations were made but not
	26	followed. If the witness is asked to confine himself to a very
	27	correct, very detailed answer, one then cannot make an assumption
	28	as to what he was saying.
	29	JUDGE THOMPSON: No, I was asking a question for the

1 witness to answer whether what he is now saying is a negation or 2 a retraction of what he said. Because I cannot have both on my 3 record. MR TAVENER: I accept that, Your Honour. I understand --4 10:13:41 5 JUDGE THOMPSON: I do not know how to evaluate these kinds 6 of things. MR TAVENER: I accept. I also was confused. 7 JUDGE THOMPSON: All right. Thank you. 8 9 JUDGE BOUTET: So, this is a question to the witness. Mr 10:13:48 10 Witness, what is your answer to that question by Justice 11 Thompson? 12 THE WITNESS: Please repeat. 13 JUDGE THOMPSON: In other words, are you now saying that 14 after the members of the War Council had left Base Zero they did 10:14:11 15 continue their work. Because the first answer I got, except that 16 I misrecorded your answer, was that I agree that -- or the War Council members -- the War Council did not function after the 17 members had left Base Zero. 18 19 PRESIDING JUDGE: That is what I have. 10:14:34 20 JUDGE THOMPSON: That is what I have. I may have reflected wrongly your previous answer. I am being charitable in making 21 22 that statement. And if I did not, then it stands to reason and commonsense that your second answer would not harmonise with your 23 first answer. So, which is which -- except if counsel feels that 24 10:15:00 25 I am putting two concepts to you that are not mutually exclusive? 26 But it seems to me that they are two different, opposite answers. 27 THE WITNESS: My Lord, I was confused. 28 JUDGE THOMPSON: The members left Base Zero, or did they

29 not?

THE WITNESS: The members left Base Zero and the War 1 2 Council never functioned. 3 JUDGE THOMPSON: I am satisfied with the clarification. PRESIDING JUDGE: Are you saying now that your first answer 4 10:15:34 5 was that the War Council never functioned after they left Base 6 Zero? 7 THE WITNESS: When they left Base Zero, My Lord. PRESIDING JUDGE: I made certain remarks to you because you 8 9 went into trying to explain how they took a decision to reinstate 10:15:58 10 you and that a resolution was taken but it was not carried out by 11 Mr Norman. Then you came back and said that the War Council did 12 that after they left Base Zero. Are you now going back to the 13 first answer -- that the War Council never functioned after they 14 left Base Zero? Otherwise you will have to explain how the 10:16:24 15 resolution was taken to reinstate you, because it was taken, 16 according to your evidence, in Kenema, and that was after the War Council had left Base Zero. 17 18 THE WITNESS: My Lord, what I wanted to explain is that 19 when we left Base Zero, after some months the War Council had not 10:16:54 20 been functioning and after some months they suspended me because of indiscipline. The members came back -- they were summoned by 21 resident minister. So they came back and went to Kenema. That 22 was the time that they took a decision. They submitted to Chief 23

24 Norman. Chief Norman never allowed it and that was the time that 10:17:22 25 the War Council died down. It never functioned again. That is 26 what I wanted to explain, My Lord.

JUDGE THOMPSON: I appreciate your explanation, because
that leads me now to say for the purposes of clarification, what
is your final answer?

THE WITNESS: My final answer is that the War Council held 1 2 a meeting to discipline me but that was never honoured by Hinga 3 Norman. PRESIDING JUDGE: What is the name of this resident 4 10:18:58 5 minister? 6 THE WITNESS: Foday Sesay. 7 PRESIDING JUDGE: Mr Yillah, please, you may proceed. 8 MR YILLAH: As My Lord pleases. At this stage may I apply 9 that Exhibit 28 be shown to the witness? I will not stay long 10:20:23 10 with it. PRESIDING JUDGE: This is okay. I wonder if we have had 11 the presence of mind perchance to have it here? 12 13 MS EDMONDS: Yes, sir, I've got it. 14 [Exhibit No. 28 shown to witness] 10:20:45 15 PRESIDING JUDGE: Thank you. Mr Yillah, are you referring 16 to the entire document? 17 MR YILLAH: No, not the entire document. I just want the 18 witness to read the heading; that is all. 19 PRESIDING JUDGE: Okay. Mr Witness, it is not for you to 10:21:23 20 read the entire document. Have you read the heading? MR YILLAH: Mr Witness --21 PRESIDING JUDGE: You have read the heading, have you? 22 23 MR YILLAH: Please read the heading of that document. Yes, My Lord. 24 Α. 10:21:40 25 MR YILLAH: 26 Mr Witness, the document you have in front of you is titled Q. 27 "Conclusions of the CDF S/L National War Council (NWACO) meeting held in Kenema on Monday 20th April and Tuesday 21st April 1998". 28 29 Were those meetings held after the members had left Base Zero?

1 Α. Yes, My Lord. I will stand to explain something connected 2 with Kenema. 3 MR YILLAH: I am finished with that document. I am moving -- My Lord I am moving to a new topic. 4 10:22:54 5 PRESIDING JUDGE: Mr Yillah, move on. 6 MR YILLAH: Mr Witness, as XXXXX XXXXXX XXXXXXXX XXXXXXXX for 7 Q. CDF, do you recognise that there is a difference between the 8 9 leadership of the CDF and the commander and command? Do you 10:23:23 10 recognise that there is that difference? 11 JUDGE BOUTET: Can you repeat that question? 12 MR YILLAH: I will put it again. 13 Q. Mr Witness, in your capacity in the office you held, do you 14 recognise that there is a difference between the leadership of 10:23:46 15 the CDF and the command of the CDF. Do you or do you not 16 recognise that? 17 Yes, My Lord. Α. 18 Q. Mr Witness --19 Α. Yes, My Lord. 10:24:36 20 Would I be correct to suggest that you were deliberately Q. appointed by virtue of your level of education to provide 21 22 guidance to your fighters during combat? 23 MR TAVENER: I object to that question. It just doesn't make sense. If he could be more specific as to what he means by 24 10:25:08 25 "education", about what my learned friend means by "guidance". 26 If the witness gives an answer, it could meaning anything. And 27 from there you may go on to issues which would be founded on improper assumptions. Perhaps my learned friend could identify 28 29 what he means by "education" and what he means by "guidance".

JUDGE BOUTET: I am not sure that your objection that it 1 2 does not make sense is appropriate, but certainly there was some 3 confusion in the manner the question was asked. It may be almost impossible for the witness to answer that question the way you 4 10:25:35 5 have framed it. MR YILLAH: I will put it --6 JUDGE THOMPSON: I concur in that. I think the formulation 7 is a little intriguing. 8 9 MR YILLAH: I will take the cue from the Bench, My Lord. 10:25:52 10 Mr Witness --Q. 11 Α. Yes, My Lord. 12 Q. Would I be correct to suggest that it was your 13 responsibility as a commander on the ground to prevent your 14 fighters from committing atrocities? Would I be correct to 10:26:12 15 suggest that? 16 Α. No, My Lord. I was working under instructions to which I 17 should abide strictly. JUDGE THOMPSON: Counsel, is the answer that it is not 18 19 correct to suggest that it was his responsibility to prevent his 10:27:14 20 subordinates from committing atrocities; he was working under strict instructions by which he should abide? 21 MR YILLAH: That is his answer, My Lord. 22 23 Q. Mr Witness --24 Yes, My Lord. Α. 10:27:33 25 You told this Court in your evidence-in-chief and in Q. 26 answers to my previous questions there were occasions when you 27 chose not to carry out those strict instructions. Am I correct? PRESIDING JUDGE: And he explained. 28 29 MR YILLAH: He explained.

PRESIDING JUDGE: We are going back. 1 2 JUDGE BOUTET: I thought we had been through that with you, 3 Mr Yillah -- all of these questions. Are we revisiting all of 4 this again? 10:27:59 5 PRESIDING JUDGE: He explained. Mr Yillah, you have visited that. 6 7 MR YILLAH: Yes, My Lord. PRESIDING JUDGE: In fact, you have visited it quite 8 9 extensively and he gave explanations. 10:28:12 10 MR YILLAH: As My Lord pleases. 11 Q. Mr Witness --12 Yes, My Lord. Α. 13 PRESIDING JUDGE: I will give you an example. For 14 instance, they captured two soldiers in Koribundu. The 10:28:27 15 instructions, according to him, were that he should kill 16 soldiers, collaborators and what have you. 17 MR YILLAH: Yes, My Lord. 18 PRESIDING JUDGE: But ECOMOG stepped in at the time they 19 were in command and said they must be handed over and he handed 10:28:43 20 them over. MR YILLAH: Yes, as My Lord pleases. 21 22 JUDGE BOUTET: And you also had a question about Hota, I think is the name. 23 PRESIDING JUDGE: Hota as well. 24 10:28:49 25 MR YILLAH: I will take the cue; I am grateful, My Lords. 26 Q. Mr Witness --27 Yes, My Lord. Α. Do you know approximately what was the numerical strength 28 Q. 29 of the Kamajor's fighting force?

In the whole country? 1 Α. 2 Q. Yes, Mr Witness. 3 No, I was not in charge of the Eastern Province or the Α. Northern Province. I don't know. 4 10:29:21 5 0. What about the south that you were in charge of? Even that, I would not be able to show the numerical 6 Α. 7 strength. PRESIDING JUDGE: But you have told the Court that there 8 9 were about 15,000 at Base Zero. 10:30:03 10 THE WITNESS: That was in Base Zero; it was not all the 11 Kamajors that went to Base Zero. PRESIDING JUDGE: [Microphone not activated] 12 13 THE WITNESS: Yes, My Lord. MR YILLAH: 14 10:30:55 15 Q. Mr Witness, would I be correct to suggest that because of 16 the numerical strength of the Kamajors it was very difficult for you as head of the southern operations to exercise strict control 17 18 over them? Would I be correct to suggest that? 19 Α. My Lord, I had commanders on the ground. 10:31:27 20 PRESIDING JUDGE: Mr Yillah, put the question to him again. MR YILLAH: 21 22 Would I be correct, Mr Witness, to suggest that because of Q. the numerical of the Kamajor fighting forces --23 24 PRESIDING JUDGE: Because the Kamajor were many, put it 10:31:44 25 that way. MR YILLAH: 26 27 Q. Because the Kamajors were so many, it was difficult for you as head of operations south to exercise strict control over these 28 29 fighting forces?

1 Α. Yes, My Lord. 2 Q. Mr Witness --3 Α. Yes, My Lord. Would I be correct if I suggested that the Kamajors were on 4 Q. 10:32:52 5 many occasions walking in different groups according to the chiefdoms they hailed from? 6 7 Α. At first, yes, My Lord. PRESIDING JUDGE: When you say "at first", what do you 8 9 mean? 10:33:47 10 THE WITNESS: At the time when we were under chiefdoms, 11 when we did not come under Chief Hinga Norman's jurisdiction, we 12 were in groups fighting. When Chief Hinga Norman took over, all 13 the instructions that were coming from Base Zero were the ones 14 that everybody abided by. 10:34:37 15 MR YILLAH: 16 Q. Mr Witness --17 Yes, My Lord. Α. 18 Would I be correct to say as the director for operations Q. 19 south you did not, or you were unable, to visit all the villages 10:34:59 20 and chiefdoms in Southern Province in which the Kamajors operated? Would I be correct to say that? 21 Yes, My Lord. I had commanders that I used to talk to and 22 Α. 23 these people were under their command structure. PRESIDING JUDGE: You said that all the villages in the 24 10:35:23 25 southern zone command where Kamajors were based -- that is the 26 question. 27 THE WITNESS: No, My Lord. JUDGE BOUTET: Mr Witness, when you say "No", you mean you 28

29 are unable to.

	1	THE WITNESS: I did not visit all the villages where
	2	Kamajors were.
	3	MR YILLAH:
	4	Q. Mr Witness, in your evidence-in-chief you spoke about a
10:36:34	5	list, or alleged list, given to you by the first accused of
	6	persons who were to be killed in Bo upon taking over. Is that
	7	correct?
	8	A. Repeat the question.
	9	Q. You said in evidence-in-chief to this Court that the first
10:36:59	10	accused gave you a list of persons to be killed when you took
	11	control of Bo. Is that correct?
	12	A. Yes, My Lord, it is quite correct. Those were the specific
	13	instructions that he gave.
	14	Q. Mr Witness, I earlier asked you about a statement you made
10:37:28	15	on the 26th of November 2002 and you had spoken that that was
	16	indeed your statement. Is that correct?
	17	A. Yes, My Lord.
	18	Q. At this stage may I apply that a certain portion of the
	19	statement be put to the witness?
10:37:56	20	JUDGE BOUTET: You want to show inconsistency?
	21	MR YILLAH: Yes.
	22	JUDGE BOUTET: Maybe you should ask the witness I don't
	23	know how many pages the statement has, but it appears to have
	24	more than a few pages.
10:38:10	25	MR YILLAH: My Lord, it has 15 pages.
	26	JUDGE BOUTET: 15 1-5?
	27	MR YILLAH: Yes, My Lord.
	28	Q. Mr Witness, this is a statement of the 26th of November

29 2002 containing 15 pages. I would invite you to look at the last

1 page -- page 15.

	2	JUDGE BOUTET: If you are going with inconsistency you will
	3	have to show him the statement. Ask him the very question, did
	4	you or didn't you say whatever, and we will see from his answer.
10:38:43	5	MR YILLAH: As your Lordship pleases.

Q. Mr Witness, in the last paragraph of the statement of the
26th, page 15, do you recall telling the investigators, and I
quote:

9 "In 1998, I was not involved directly in the taking of Bo 10:39:00 10 from the AFRC soldiers. I went to Koribundu. My second in 11 command Mustapha Gobeh led the troops to take Bo. When the 12 looting was rampant, I was called to come and control the 13 Kamajors. I met them looting all shop and houses and burning 14 down houses. I was able to put them under control. The 15 commander on the ground told me that I deceived them by telling 16 them the police were in our camp. They were surprised to see the 17 police shooting at them. I told him that MS Dumbuya gave me that 18 assurance, especially the SSD.

19Do you recall making this statement to the investigators?10:39:48 20A.That is the statement I made.

Q. Mr Witness, would I be correct to say from this statement that you have made that you were not directly responsible for the taking of Bo?

A. Yes, My Lord, but explanation. My Lord, we held a general
10:40:36 25 meeting. In that meeting I was supposed to capture Koribundu
26 first. From there I would adjourn, meeting at Bumpe where we
27 were supposed to take Bo on Sunday. But it was not Sunday and I
28 captured it already --

29 THE INTERPRETER: Talk slowly.

1	PRESIDING JUDGE: Slowly. Okay.
2	THE WITNESS: Okay. I was supposed to lead the two
3	operations for Koribundu and Bo. But before we left high priest
4	Dr Allieu Kondewa and Moinina Fofana said Mustafa Ngobea should
10:42:08 5	lead the operation to Bo. Dr Allieu Kondewa said anything that
6	you get in that war front I should bring it to him. So Mustafa
7	Ngobea went. Sunday we were supposed to take Bo, so I took
8	Koribundu and went to them at Bumpe.
9	PRESIDING JUDGE: Slow. Wait, wait.
10:42:57 10	THE WITNESS: When this announcement was made by Dr Allieu
11	Kondewa, by then I had got this information from my national
12	co-ordinator about what I should do. So when they gave these
13	instructions to Mustafa Ngobea, they said, "When you go, go
14	directly to Bo."
10:43:33 15	PRESIDING JUDGE: [Microphone not activated]
16	THE INTERPRETER: Your Honour's mic is not on.
17	PRESIDING JUDGE: Sorry. You were talking about receiving
18	instructions. Allieu Kondewa and Moinina Fofana said Mustafa
19	Ngobea should go and lead the attack in Bo and you should come
10:43:53 20	and start with Koribundu. Now you are going back to now you
21	are saying that you received special instructions and you have
22	gone back to Hinga Norman. Can you be consistent, please.
23	[Multiple speakers - transcript incomplete]
24	JUDGE THOMPSON: Perhaps I should say that from what you
10:44:14 25	are telling us is, I think, counsel's complaint or observation is
26	that you have said in this Court that you took over Bo, but then
27	your statement says that you were not directly involved in that,
28	and that is what he is putting to you as being at variance with
29	one another. I was following your explanation with a view to

1	seeing how that explanation sheds light on this problem. I am
2	not sure how at this stage if it is shedding light on this
3	allegation of a discrepancy between your statement alleged
4	discrepancy between your statement to the investigators and
10:45:03 5	your testimony in this Court. That was the trend I was following
6	and I look forward to being taken along that path.
7	THE WITNESS: Okay. We were supposed to capture Bo on
8	Sunday. When I had taken over Koribundu I should have led the
9	operation to Bo. Friday, that was the time Mustafa Ngobea
10:46:08 10	entered Bo. He was not able to capture Bo. At that time I had
11	myself to attack Koribundu before Saturday.
12	PRESIDING JUDGE: On what day?
13	THE WITNESS: On Saturday. So, Sunday Mustapha Ngobea
14	returned to Bo. On that very Sunday, I received information that
10:47:29 15	I should join Mustafa Ngobea because he was not able to capture
16	Bo.
17	PRESIDING JUDGE: You received information from whom?
18	THE WITNESS: From Chief Hinga Norman.
19	PRESIDING JUDGE: That Mustafa Ngobea was not able to
10:47:49 20	capture Bo?
21	THE WITNESS: When he went there on Friday it was just
22	looting as we know. They were not able to capture the ground.
23	So I took my bike and joined them in Bo. When I joined them in
24	Bo we were able to put the situation under control, even though
10:48:36 25	fighting was still going on.
26	PRESIDING JUDGE: Is that street fighting, or fighting was
27	still going on?
28	

29 Monday that we were able to flush out the forces, that they were

1 able to go out, to pull out.

MR YTLLAH:

2 PRESIDING JUDGE: Which forces were these?
 3 THE WITNESS: RUF-AFRC junta. It was during that time when

4 John Hota started bringing them to me. That is all, My Lord.

## 10:50:24 5

6 Q. Mr Witness --

7 A. Yes, My Lord.

8 Q. From this portion of the statement that I have read to you, 9 you also stated in your evidence-in-chief that you were looting 10:50:40 10 on the basis of the specific instructions you had. But your 11 statement says, "I was called to control the Kamajors when the 12 looting was rampant. I met them looting all shop and houses and 13 burning down houses. I was able to put them under control." So 14 you were in fact preventing looting rather than looting?

10:51:07 15 MR TAVENER: I object to that question. That is not what 16 the witness said in his evidence. His evidence was that he was 17 sent to Bo in order to control the town before looting could take 18 place. That is what his evidence was in chief. They wanted to 19 secure the town first. That is my recollection of his evidence, 10:51:34 20 not that he was sent to Bo to start looting straight away. First 21 of all, he had to achieve a military objective.

22 MR YILLAH: My Lords, my recollection of the evidence is 23 how I have put it, but the record should clarify that point. The 24 witness had said that he had specific instructions from the first 25 accused that they should pay themselves from this operation, and 26 he was looting.

27 PRESIDING JUDGE: He said so.

28 MR YILLAH: My Lord, I am putting it to him that, based on
29 his statement --

	1	PRESIDING JUDGE: Like looting the southern pharmacy and
	2	bringing everything to Base Zero?
	3	MR YILLAH: Yes, My Lord.
	4	PRESIDING JUDGE: Those are the instructions.
10:52:18	5	MR YILLAH: But what I am just doing is making an inference
	6	from this statement now and putting it to him that he was in fact
	7	called upon to control looting in Bo, contrary to what he has
	8	told this Court. That is my question.
	9	MR TAVENER: And that is the difficulty. His instructions
10:52:37	10	were when he went from Koribundu to Bo to first of all control
	11	Bo. I am not disputing there were instructions to loot and so
	12	on. But at that time his instructions were first to control and
	13	secure Bo. That is the issue.
	14	JUDGE THOMPSON: I think we are losing sight of the issue
10:52:55	15	that he is highlighting what he perceives to be some
	16	inconsistency in his statement to the investigators and what he
	17	told the Court. Isn't that what he is trying to do?
	18	MR TAVENER: Yes, he is.
	19	JUDGE THOMPSON: If that is what he is trying to do, it
10:53:18	20	would seem to me that that is the context in which we should
	21	proceed.
	22	MR TAVENER: As long as the evidence is correct.
	23	JUDGE THOMPSON: Yes, quite right. I am not suggesting
	24	that counsel is entitled to misrepresent the evidence of this
10:53:33	25	witness from the witness stand, but he certainly is entitled to
	26	highlight in the statement to the investigators what he perceives
	27	to be at variance with what he has told us.
	28	MR TAVENER: To that is correct, all I am asking
	29	JUDGE THOMPSON: And I hope he does that, because that is

1 the way I think that is proper to proceed. 2 MR TAVENER: I agree, as long as he is accurate. I am 3 asking that that he be accurate. JUDGE THOMPSON: Quite right, then I am with you. 4 10:54:06 5 JUDGE BOUTET: Mr Yillah. 6 MR YILLAH: I will put the question again. 7 JUDGE BOUTET: And the question being from the statement. Make it clear. 8 MR YILLAH: Yes, from the statement. 9 10:54:16 10 0. Mr Witness, from the portion of your statement that I 11 earlier read to you would I be correct to say that you were 12 called upon to prevent the looting by Kamajor fighters in Bo? 13 JUDGE THOMPSON: And not only that but that was what he 14 told the investigators. 10:54:39 15 MR YILLAH: Yes, My Lord. 16 JUDGE THOMPSON: Is that what you are alleging? MR YILLAH: Yes, I am saying based on this statement --17 18 [Multiple speakers - transcript incomplete] 19 THE WITNESS: My Lord, but an explanation. Kamajors have 10:54:57 20 been looting in Bo and they were not able to hold the ground. Everybody who looted would go to this village. 21 JUDGE THOMPSON: But again, you see, that is where the 22 complication is setting in. Did he tell the investigators that? 23 MR YILLAH: I will read the portion again. 24 10:55:13 25 JUDGE THOMPSON: That is very important to me because 26 otherwise we are entering upon an exercise in futility if your 27 purpose is to seek to establish prior inconsistent statement. We 28 must know whether he told the investigators that, or did not, not 29 his explanation as to the scenario that was happening on the

1 ground. Otherwise we multiply the issues. 2 MR YILLAH: I will read the statement again with the leave 3 of the Chamber, My Lord. Page 15, the last paragraph reads: 4 "In 1998 I was not involved directly in the taking of Bo 10:39:00 5 from the AFRC soldiers. I went to Koribundo. My second in command Mustapha Gobeh led the troops to take Bo. When the 6 7 looting was rampant, I was called to come and control the 8 Kamajors. I met them looting all shop and houses and burning 9 down houses. I was able to put them under control. The 10 commander on the ground told me that I deceived them by telling them the police were in our camp. They were surprised to see the 11 12 police shooting at them. I told him that MS Dumbuya gave me that 13 assurance, especially the SSD." 14 So, My Lord, there is a portion which says "I was called to 10:56:25 15 come and control the Kamajors --16 -- when the looting was rampant --"I met them looting all shop and houses and burning down 17 houses." 18 19 JUDGE THOMPSON: And the proper question: Did he tell the 10:56:37 20 investigators that? THE WITNESS: That was the time my national co-ordinator 21 told me --22 23 JUDGE THOMPSON: Do not talk. We are getting into very confused waters. The important thing at this stage for the 24 10:56:52 25 purposes of establishing or not establishing prior inconsistent 26 statement is preliminarily to find out whether he told the 27 investigators that. 28 MR YILLAH: I will take the cue, My Lord, and put it that 29 way.

	1	JUDGE BOUTET: Isn't it the same statement you put to him,
	2	I don't know, 15 minutes ago?
	3	MR YILLAH: Yes, that was from the same paragraph.
	4	JUDGE BOUTET: And he admitted making that statement to the
10:57:27	5	police then. So why again do you put the same question? I'm
	6	getting lost, because he has admitted making that statement.
	7	JUDGE THOMPSON: I do not think it is proper to put
	8	inferences for the purposes of prior inconsistent statement.
	9	Because you are calling upon the Court do a comparative
10:57:46	10	evaluation, look at the out-of-court statement and look at what
	11	he said here on the particular and determine whether there is in
	12	fact inconsistency, material or otherwise. Therefore, we should
	13	not go outside those parameters.
	14	MR YILLAH: As My Lords please.
10:58:00	15	JUDGE BOUTET: As I just stated, it is my recollection that
	16	you have asked that very portion of the statement. You have put
	17	it to the witness and asked him: Did you make that statement or
	18	not? And he said "Yes", so he is not denying making that
	19	statement.
10:58:13	20	MR YILLAH: Very well, My Lord.
	21	JUDGE BOUTET: Unless you are bringing in something new
	22	which I do not see. Perhaps I am wrong in saying this. Is it
	23	the very same part and portion of the statement?
	24	MR YILLAH: Yes, My Lord.
10:58:29	25	PRESIDING JUDGE: Let me say this: I would like to come
	26	back to what this Court has said. A statement may have been made
	27	to the investigators, but the witness in his oral testimony could
	28	expound on that statement, and what he says in that respect is
	29	admissible for the records.

1 MR YILLAH: As My Lords please. 2 PRESIDING JUDGE: And this witness, if I may follow on 3 that, has said that he went to -- I don't think he is disputing that statement. But he went there because there was a lot of 4 10:59:04 5 looting. And he has said that the Kamajors were more concerned with looting than holding the ground. 6 MR YILLAH: That is what he said now. 7 PRESIDING JUDGE: Yes, that is what he said in his oral 8 9 testimony now. And that they were looting and carrying the 10:59:21 10 property to their homes, and that is the situation he brought 11 under control. 12 MR YILLAH: That is now, My Lord. What I was submitting is 13 that in his evidence-in-chief he stated, if I recollect properly, 14 he stated that he was also looting because of the specific 10:59:42 15 instructions that he had received from the first accused. 16 JUDGE BOUTET: He is not denying that. MR YILLAH: Based on this statement it could not have been 17 18 so. 19 JUDGE THOMPSON: In other words, you are saying that there 10:59:56 20 is a variance --MR YILLAH: Absolutely, My Lord. 21 JUDGE THOMPSON: -- between what he has told the 22 23 investigators and what he has told this Court. And that is the focus we must not lose. Otherwise, we are not in the game of 24 11:00:04 25 prior inconsistent statements. 26 MR YILLAH: Especially having admitted this paragraph 27 saying that he was called upon to prevent the looting. JUDGE BOUTET: But, again, you have to look at it in the 28 29 larger perspective because it is my recollection that in his

evidence-in-chief he has said he had specific instructions to,
 indeed, loot the southern pharmacy or whatever it is and at the
 same time. So, that was part of his specific instructions, but
 over and above that, he was sent to do something else. We have
 11:00:38 5 to be very careful as to what issue he had instructions to do, to
 make sure they were to loot the pharmacy but at the same time
 control all the forms of looting.

8 MR YILLAH: I will move forward. The witness having 9 admitted making that paragraph of the statement earlier, we will 11:01:00 10 address Your Lordships on that appropriately.

11 Q. Mr Witness --

12 A. Yes, My Lord.

Q. I put to you that these specific instructions in respect of
Bo would not have been given to you by the first accused because
11:01:15 15 you were not in charge of Bo operations.

A. He gave these instruction because I was in charge of Bo
operations. He said that statement in the presence of Mr Arrow
Bockarie, where he said -- he said everything that Kamajors did
he was going to give directives.

11:02:12 20 PRESIDING JUDGE: He said what?

THE WITNESS: The national co-ordinator did agree when we left the bush that the Bo people and the southern province as a whole would hold a meeting at the Coronation Field in the presence of Mr Arrow Bockarie. When he announced that, anything that the Kamajors did he was going to send them to the [Inaudible]. For anything that the Kamajors did, he should be

27 held responsible.

28 Q. Mr Witness --

29 A. Yes, My Lord.

OPEN SESSION

	1	Q. Were you told by the commander on the ground at Bo
	2	Mustafa Ngobea that the police were shooting at them? Were
	3	you told that?
	4	A. Yes, My Lord.
11:04:42	5	Q. Mr Witness
	6	A. Yes, My Lord.
	7	Q. Is it true that it was you who told the commander on the
	8	ground Mustafa Ngobea that the police where on your side
	9	I mean the side of the CDF?
11:05:04	10	A. Yes, My Lord, it was Mr Dumbuya who told me. He said he
	11	had spoken to them secretly and that we should not killed them.
	12	It was the national co-ordinator who said we should kill them.
	13	That was what killed them.
	14	PRESIDING JUDGE: Did you say that Mr Dumbuya told you this
11:05:35	15	secretly?
	16	THE WITNESS: Yes, My Lord. I want to get rid of nature.
	17	PRESIDING JUDGE: Mr Yillah, how much more time.
	18	MR YILLAH: Not more than 30 minutes, if he does not give
	19	these lengthy explanations. That is the difficulty.
11:06:47	20	PRESIDING JUDGE: The Court will rise. As soon as he is
	21	ready, let us in.
	22	[Break taken at 11.05 a.m.]
	23	[Upon resuming at 11.10 a.m.]
	24	JUDGE BOUTET: So, Mr Yillah, are you ready to proceed
11:13:40	25	ahead.
	26	MR YILLAH: Yes, My Lord.
	27	JUDGE BOUTET: Please do so.
	28	MR YILLAH:
	29	Q. Mr Witness, is it true that you expressed remorse at what

29

live with.

1 you said about the police to the commander on the ground, Mustafa 2 Ngobea? 3 Α. Not to my knowledge. PRESIDING JUDGE: How can you say not to your knowledge? 4 11:13:40 5 You should be able to remember that. Did you or did you not express remorse? Did you or did you not? You can't say you 6 7 cannot remember. That not a very credible reply, is it? Did you regret -- I mean, not remorse -- tell him -- put the question 8 9 back to him. 11:13:49 10 MR YILLAH: As My Lord pleases. 11 Q. Mr Witness, did you tell the commander on the ground 12 Mustafa Ngobea that you regretted the information you gave him 13 about this support of the police to the CDF in Bo? 14 Α. No, I did not tell him. 11:14:14 15 Mr Witness, would I be correct to suggest to you that one Q. 16 of the reasons why you did not carry out the instructions given 17 to you by the first accused was because of the intervention of 18 certain War Council members like Daramy Rogers? Would I be 19 correct to say that? 11:15:09 20 Α. You are correct. Mr Witness, would I also be correct to say that 21 Q. specifically in respect of Bo, Alhaji Daramy Rogers as a War 22 23 Council member called you personally and told you not to carry out these instructions? Would I be correct? 24 11:16:12 25 Α. Yes, My Lord, with explanation. 26 MR YILLAH: This is what is time consuming, My Lord. As 27 My Lord pleases. JUDGE BOUTET: That's the nature of the evidence we have to 28

1 MR YILLAH: As My Lord pleases. 2 JUDGE BOUTET: But, please, if you give explanation keep it 3 as brief as you can, please. That has to do with instructions 4 you would have received from Rogers. 11:16:56 5 THE WITNESS: Alhaji Daramy Rogers told me that -- said, "Now, look, you come from a ruling house. I know that you're in 6 a difficult situation. If you don't carry out your coordinator's 7 instructions that shows that you are insubordinate." And he 8 9 said, "So it is not all the orders that he gave you that should 11:18:57 10 be carried out, because they are dangerous orders. So what I'm tell you is that" -- [translation interrupted] 11 12 PRESIDING JUDGE: Wait. 13 THE WITNESS: "Those people whose names have been written on the list, do not kill them." He said, "Because from 1939 to 14 11:20:10 15 1944/45, there was war in this country, Second World War." He 16 said, "Up to now they have been trying these people." Said, "I am pleading with you, so let that be in your mind." That was 17 18 what made me not to kill the ones whose names were on the list, 19 so I burnt their houses. So at least I did what my commander 11:21:25 20 asked me to do. MR YILLAH: 21 22 Q. Mr Witness, would I be correct to suggest to you that you did not carry out the instructions as well because you had a 23 discretion to choose between orders that were bad and orders that 24 11:22:13 25 were good? Would I be correct to suggest that? 26 PRESIDING JUDGE: You are still translating it? 27 JUDGE THOMPSON: Learned counsel --MR YILLAH: Yes, My Lord. 28

29 JUDGE THOMPSON: -- couldn't that question be put in two

1	stages. Whether first of all he recognised that he had a
2	discretion.
3	MR YILLAH: I take the cue.
4	JUDGE THOMPSON: Because it sounds as if it is two
11:23:01 5	questions rolled up in one, from my perspective.
6	MR YILLAH: As My Lord pleases.
7	Q. Mr Witness, in your capacity as operations director do you
8	recognise that you have a discretion to choose between commands
9	that were unlawful - that were bad, if I can put it that way -
11:23:25 10	and commands that were good?
11	A. My Lord, in war I did not know what law is good and what
12	law is bad. In normal times, yes, I know that.
13	Q. Mr Witness, following from that answer I will just refer
14	you to one specific incident so we cannot waste the time of the
11:24:11 15	Court. When you handed the two captured prisoners of war to
16	ECOMOG Alhaji Daramy Rogers was not there, was he?
17	A. He wasn't there.
18	Q. And on that occasion would I be correct to say that it was
19	your discretion that you used not to kill those men, contrary to
11:25:04 20	the instructions?
21	PRESIDING JUDGE: But the witness has said he was ordered
22	to hand them over. We've come back to this.
23	JUDGE BOUTET: [Overlapping speakers]
24	PRESIDING JUDGE: [Overlapping speakers]
11:25:18 25	MR YILLAH: My Lord, on the question of discretion I don't
26	recall what I will take the cue from the Bench.
27	JUDGE BOUTET: You asked about the two captured soldiers
28	involved. When you handed them over to ECOMOG you did so
29	contrary to the orders you have received and then you followed up

with some more questions. So unless you want to explore a new 1 2 avenue about these soldiers --3 MR YILLAH: I only had one question on the discretionary 4 aspect. 11:25:45 5 JUDGE BOUTET: Go ahead. I thought you had already done 6 that but you are in cross-examination and therefore my purpose is 7 not to restrict you, it is only that we don't want to go over terrain that has already been covered, I would say, quite 8 9 exhaustively. MR YILLAH: Just one question on that, My Lord. 11:25:58 10 11 Q. Mr Witness, on that occasion was it your discretion that 12 you used not to execute those prisoners of war contrary to the 13 instructions? 14 It was ECOMOG that intervened on behalf of these prisoners Α. 11:26:20 15 and during that time they had more firing power than we did. So 16 automatically I was under them. So because my [inaudible] coordinator was there, so even when I tried to explain to them --17 18 the order that I had with me, I was not able to carry it out. 19 That's the answer. 11:26:41 20 JUDGE THOMPSON: It was not an exercise of a discretionary power at all. 21 MR YILLAH: I'm satisfied with that. 22 23 JUDGE BOUTET: He has explained to you the time they were 24 in the same headquarters in Bo, and so he was explaining why he 11:26:58 25 had much capability to carry on. 26 PRESIDING JUDGE: And it was there that he received an 27 education as to how prisoners of war were treated. If you revisit the evidence that's what he said. 28 29 MR YILLAH: My Lord, his evidence is very convoluted.

	1	PRESIDING JUDGE: Anyway we have all the time for this in
	2	addresses.
	3	MR YILLAH: As My Lord pleases.
	4	Q. Mr Witness, let me ask you this: Whenever as XXXXXXX XXXXXXX
11:27:30	5	XXXXXXX you were working alongside ECOMOG, would I be correct
	6	to suggest that ECOMOG was in command?
	7	A. Yes. Yes, we are under them directly.
	8	Q. Mr Witness, would I be correct to say that on those
	9	occasions where you were working with ECOMOG you took
11:28:34	10	instructions from ECOMOG contrary to the instructions that you
	11	had received from Base Zero? Would I be correct to say that?
	12	A. Yes, My Lord, when we were in town. That was one of the
	13	reasons for which I was sacked.
	14	Q. Mr Witness, you spoke about instructions given to you by
11:29:39	15	the first accused regarding Pipor, Sorgia and another village; is
	16	that correct?
	17	A. Yes, My Lord.
	18	Q. Now, Mr Witness, would I be correct to say that those
	19	villages, Pipor, Sorgia and the other village, they're found in
11:30:21	20	Bonthe District? Would I be correct to say that?
	21	A. Yes, My Lord.
	22	Q. Mr Witness, were paramount chiefs from Bonthe District
	23	present in Base Zero when you carried out these orders on their
	24	villages?
11:30:59	25	A. No, My Lord. We did not have any regard for paramount
	26	chief as much.
	27	MR YILLAH: That's not the question.
	28	PRESIDING JUDGE: That's not the question.

29 THE WITNESS: No, My Lord, they were not there at all. It

OPEN SESSION

was in Base Zero, in Base Zero at all. 1 2 PRESIDING JUDGE: I'm not clear. 3 MR YILLAH: Okay, let me put it again to him. Mr Witness, was there any paramount chief representing 4 Q. 11:31:32 5 Bonthe District at Base Zero when you carried out these 6 operations? Yes, My Lord, paramount chief of Nongoba Bullom Chiefdom. 7 Α. PRESIDING JUDGE: Of? 8 9 THE WITNESS: Nongoba Bullom Chiefdom. PC Charlie Tucker. 11:31:57 10 He was the deputy chairman War Council. PC Charles Caulker, 11 Bumpe Chiefdom. He was a member of the War Council. They were 12 all there. 13 JUDGE BOUTET: Yes, Mr Yillah. 14 MR YILLAH: 11:33:19 15 Q. Mr Witness, did these paramount chiefs - the two Bonthe 16 paramount chiefs that you have just mentioned - did they 17 intervene to prevent the loss of lives and properties in their district? 18 19 Α. No, My Lord. The time that instructions were given to me, 11:33:46 20 they were not there at all. PRESIDING JUDGE: That's not the answer. That's not your 21 question, is it? 22 23 MR YILLAH: Absolutely, My Lord. My question was: Did these paramount chiefs who were 24 Q. 11:34:05 25 representing Bonthe District, did they intervene to prevent you 26 from carrying out the instructions relating to those villages? 27 Α. No, they did not intervene. JUDGE BOUTET: I don't think the evidence was that they 28 29 were representing Bonthe. They were from Bonthe, but his

1 evidence is there were more than these two paramount chiefs in 2 Bonthe but these were the two that were at Base Zero. So there 3 is no confusion there. MR YILLAH: Yes, My Lord. I will just ask him to clarify 4 11:34:44 5 that point. 6 Do you know whether they were representing Bonthe District, Q. 7 those two paramount chiefs? One was representing Bonthe District, PC Charlie Tucker of 8 Α. 9 Nongoba Bullom Chiefdom. 11:35:28 10 Q. Mr Witness, just one question on that front. Do you know 11 what the role of a paramount chief is in his chiefdom? 12 Α. Not in war time, My Lord. 13 Mr Witness, do you know or don't you know? Q. 14 I don't know, I'm not a paramount chief. I'm not a Α. 11:36:00 15 paramount chief, I don't know. 16 PRESIDING JUDGE: But you're from a ruling house. THE WITNESS: Yes, but I don't have a staff. Yes, I come 17 18 from a ruling house but I have never held a staff. 19 PRESIDING JUDGE: [Microphone not activated] 11:36:16 20 THE WITNESS: I'm a priest. PRESIDING JUDGE: You can even become -- you're eligible to 21 a throne, aren't you? 22 23 THE WITNESS: Yes, I have the right to a throne. I can only have that right when I would have held the staff. See, when 24 11:36:29 25 I would have held the staff then they would tell you what and 26 what to do. 27 PRESIDING JUDGE: I don't want to go further into this. JUDGE THOMPSON: So the final answer is that I don't know. 28 29 MR YILLAH: As My Lord pleases.

THE WITNESS: I don't know at all. 1 2 MR YILLAH: 3 Q. That notwithstanding the fact that you're from a ruling house? 4 11:36:47 5 Α. Yes, yes. 6 Q. Mr Witness, I put it to you that commands in respect of those villages --7 PRESIDING JUDGE: Mr Yillah, please let me ask him one 8 9 question. Was Paramount Chief Charles Tucker of Nongoba Bullom 11:37:37 10 Chiefdom, was he aware of these instructions that were given to 11 you? 12 THE WITNESS: No, My Lord. 13 PRESIDING JUDGE: He was not aware of the instructions 14 given to you about those three villages? 11:37:51 15 THE WITNESS: At all. 16 PRESIDING JUDGE: Yes, Mr Yillah, you may proceed. 17 MR YILLAH: 18 Mr Witness, let me ask you this: Did Paramount Chief Q. 19 Tucker, vice chairman of War Council, did he object or raise any 11:38:32 20 misgivings after you had carried out the operations in these three villages? I'm talking about after, now. 21 22 We did not have much to discuss, he did not tell me Α. 23 anything about that. 24 No, do you know whether he raised objections at Base Zero Q. 11:38:57 25 regarding your execution of the operations in those three 26 villages in Bonthe? 27 Α. I don't know, because I am not a member of the War Council. But you were an important officeholder, Mr Witness. You 28 Q. 29 held a very high office?

That did not qualify me that I was a member of the War 1 Α. 2 Council. See where they used to meet, I was not there at times. 3 Mr Witness, you spoke about the Death Squad in your Q. evidence-in-chief; is that correct? 4 11:40:14 5 Α. Yes, My Lord. 6 Mr Witness, I put it to you that, contrary to what you said Q. 7 to this Court, the duty of the Death Squad and its leader Jegbeyama was to provide security in and around Base Zero? 8 9 Α. Repeat your question. 11:40:48 10 Q. Mr Witness, I am putting it to you that the function of the 11 Death Squad and its leader Jegbeyama was limited to providing 12 security in and around Base Zero? 13 Α. Yes, My Lord, with explanation. 14 JUDGE BOUTET: The question is their duties were limited to provide security --11:41:27 15 16 THE WITNESS: Yes, My Lord. JUDGE BOUTET: -- in and around Base Zero. 17 18 MR YILLAH: He has agreed but he is wishing to qualify it 19 by explanation. 11:41:38 20 JUDGE BOUTET: I know. That is why I was repeating the question because I thought the question was quite clear. 21 THE WITNESS: I said yes, with explanation. Our National 22 Coordinator said that they were for security, but that was not 23 the work they were doing. They were the ones that used to 24 11:42:44 25 torture the captives. They were the ones that used to molest the 26 War Council members whom they thought were very active. They 27 threatened the War Council, the lives of War Council members. 28 They used to loot and bring all the looted property to the 29 National Coordinator.

1	MR YILLAH:
2	Q. Mr Witness, I also put it to you that the name Death Squad
3	was chosen by the leader and his members to give a name to to
4	give such a name to their group. It was not given by any other
11:44:31 5	person but by the leader Jegbeyama?
6	A. I don't know about that. He was not answerable to me so I
7	don't know much about him. I am only explaining about their
8	behaviour.
9	Q. Mr Witness, you also spoke about Special Forces in your
11:45:29 10	evidence-in-chief. I put it to you, Mr Witness, that the
11	fighters you referred to as Special Forces were Nigerian ECOMOG
12	soldiers who were based at Base Zero?
13	A. No, My Lord, we did not have any ECOMOG soldier that was
14	based in Base Zero. We had Liberian fighters.
11:46:11 15	Q. Mr Witness, I further put it to you that no killing in Base
16	Zero no killings in Base Zero took place in respect of
17	Mustapha Fallon and Dauda Kanu as you stated in your
18	evidence-in-chief?
19	A. I that am sitting here, the National Coordinator who is
11:47:25 20	Chief Hinga Norman, the high priest Kondewa and Moinina Fofana we
21	did that action. Mustapha Fallon, we killed him in the Pora
22	bush. Other people were there with us. Mr Amara Sengay was with
23	us, German was with us
24	PRESIDING JUDGE: Who?
11:49:09 25	THE WITNESS: German, German. [Inaudible] was with us.
26	Dr Jigbao was with us. The two brothers were with us, the
27	brothers of Mustapha Fallon to whom Chief Hinga Norman gave
28	300,000.
29	MR YILLAH: I just observed that probably, because of the

1	exigencies of time, most of the explanations that the witness has
2	given are already in evidence-in-chief so if he may just limit
3	them. All of these issues have already been stated by him in his
4	evidence, just to caution him.
11:50:11 5	Q. Mr Witness, I put it to you that it was Joe Tamidey and not
6	you who was directly responsible for the Koribundu operations?
7	A. I led the Koribundu operation, My Lord. Joe Tamidey was
8	just with me, with other commanders that were with me.
9	JUDGE BOUTET: Yes, Mr Yillah.
11:50:59 10	MR YILLAH: Yes, My Lord.
11	Q. Mr Witness, you said there were many commanders in
12	Koribundu. Would I be correct to suggest that these many
13	commanders were operating with different groups when the attack
14	took place?
11:51:19 15	A. Yes, My Lord, but all of them were under my command. All
16	the commands that I took from Base Zero are the ones that they
17	had to go by for my National Coordinator so as to burn all the
18	house in Koribundu. That they shouldn't have left even a fowl.
19	Q. Mr Witness, would I be correct to suggest that ECOMOG
11:52:18 20	subsequently took over control took over command of Koribundu?
21	A. Yes, later on when ECOMOG had settled in Bo, they were the
22	ones that were controlling us. At the same time they were
23	controlling CDF also. But they were not based in Koribundu.
24	Q. But would I be correct to say nevertheless you were taking
11:53:23 25	instructions from ECOMOG when they settled in Bo, even though
26	they were not based in Koribundu? You were taking instructions
27	from them, were you not?
28	PRESIDING JUDGE: I think he said he has, he did, he was

28 PRESIDING JUDGE: I think he said he has, he did, he was29 taking instructions from them. When they came they were taking

- 1 instructions directly from ECOMOG.
- 2 MR YILLAH: And then he subsequently went later to qualify 3 it that they were not based in Koribundu. So that is what I am 4 putting to him now, that aspect.
- 11:53:58 5 Q. I am putting it --
  - 6 A. I am ready to answer.
  - 7 Q. Notwithstanding the fact that ECOMOG were not based in Base
  - 8 Zero, but were in Bo, you took instructions from them regarding
  - 9 operations in Koribundu?
- 11:54:16 10 A. Yes, My Lord. When ECOMOG [inaudible] Southern Province,
  - 11 we were under them, when they had based there.
  - 12 Q. Thank you, Mr Witness.
  - 13 A. Thank you too.
  - 14 Q. Mr Witness.
- 11:54:56 15 A. Yes, My Lord.
  - 16 Q. Let me ask you this.
  - 17 A. I'm ready to answer.
  - 18 Q. Are you proud of your track record as XXXXXXX
  - 19 XXXXXXX for the southern province in your role during the war?
- 11:55:16 20 Are you proud of your track record?
  - 21 A. I am not somebody that's proud.
  - 22 Q. No, the question is specific.
  - 23 A. No, I'm not proud.
- 24 Q. So Mr Witness, you're not proud of your track record as 11:55:52 25 XXXXXXX XXXXXXX south CDF?
  - JUDGE THOMPSON: Learned counsel, is it that you're
     suggesting to him or asking him whether he takes credit, because
    - 28 the word proud here might create some nuances of language.
    - 29 PRESIDING JUDGE: Because this witness is not a proud man.

JUDGE THOMPSON: Because he had probably -- counsel Jabbi 1 2 might want to help us. Are we using -- are we on the same 3 wavelength? Is it credit for what he has done in his role as XXXXXXX XXXXXXX or is it proud which of course can mean 4 11:56:34 5 haughtiness, that kind of thing? MR YILLAH: As My Lord please. My learned senior Dr Jabbi 6 has just corrected me in that respect, that the witness seemed to 7 understand my question only in light of his character. 8 9 JUDGE THOMPSON: Yes, haughtiness. 11:56:53 10 MR YILLAH: As My Lord pleases. 11 Q. Mr Witness. 12 Α. Yes, My Lord. 13 Q. Are you happy with your track record, your role as XXXXXXX XXXXXXX south within the CDF during the war? 14 11:57:10 15 I am not happy. Α. 16 Q. Mr Witness. 17 Α. Yes, My Lord. How long did you spend with the first accused? How long 18 Q. 19 did you interact with him during the war years? How long? 11:58:03 20 I don't remember. I cannot remember how long. If I say Δ 21 anything I would lie. 22 Q. May I suggest to you two years, three years or one? 23 Α. We are together up to -- I don't want to lie. I cannot 24 remember how long we have been together. 11:58:44 25 0. Okay, let me make a suggestion. You came to Base Zero at 26 the end of 1997; right? Is that correct? 27 Α. Yes, towards 1997. '97, '98, '99, that's two years. Would I be correct if I 28 Q. 29 suggested two years, that you interacted with him for two years?

SCSL - TRIAL CHAMBER I

1 Α. Even 2001 we are together, up to 2000. 2 Q. So that's about three -- you interacted with him for about 3 three, four years? 4 Α. Yes, My Lord. 11:59:37 5 0. Mr Witness, would I be correct to say that your dislike for the first accused -- you were known to dislike the first accused 6 7 south? 8 9 JUDGE THOMPSON: Why not keep the two separate? Put the 12:00:02 10 first premise first and then the second premise if it becomes 11 necessary. 12 MR YILLAH: As My Lord pleases. 13 Q. Mr Witness, I put it to you that as a result of your 14 dismissal from your office --12:00:23 15 JUDGE THOMPSON: No. Why not put the theory of dislike 16 first and then probably canvass the reason or put it as another 17 theory. 18 MR YILLAH: As My Lord pleases. 19 0. Mr Witness. 12:00:39 20 Yes, My Lord. Α. Okay, let me ask you this: Mr Witness, I find it very 21 Q. strange that you have nothing good to say about the first accused 22 23 to this Court having interacted with him for three, four years. Do you find it strange as well? 24 12:01:02 25 JUDGE BOUTET: What's the question? 26 THE WITNESS: My Lord, here that I am sitting here is to 27 say what had happened in the bush directly. I had told you that in fact I would not leave one stone unturned, because this 28 29 country in which we are, it belongs to all of us, and I want

1 there to be peace and I am saying the truth and nothing but the 2 truth.

3 MR YILLAH:

4 Q. Mr Witness.

12:01:34 5 A. Yes, My Lord.

6 Q. Do you have anything good to say about the first accused,7 having interacted with him for four years?

8 MR TAVENER: I object to this question. Is my friend 9 attempting to lead character evidence now? Is that the purpose, 12:01:47 10 character evidence?

JUDGE THOMPSON: That is why I thought I was guiding 11 12 counsel. Of course, counsel has the liberty not to take the 13 guidance of the Bench, but I thought if there was a theory of 14 dislike it could be specifically put and if there was reason also 12:02:09 15 for the dislike it could also be put as a separate theory. It 16 seemed to me that this line of cross-examination, the way you 17 have decided to go, can be argumentative and probably highly speculative. I don't know whether this Court is interested in 18 19 getting enmeshed in argumentative kinds of guestions and answers. 12:02:32 20 I wouldn't know how to evaluate it. MR YILLAH: I take the cue, My Lord. 21 22 Q. Mr Witness. 23 Α. Yes, My Lord. After your dismissal from office in 1998 --24 Q. 12:02:57 25 PRESIDING JUDGE: Were you happy? 26 MR YILLAH:

27 Q. -- were you happy with the first accused?

28 A. I was not happy with him to [inaudible] facts, but --

29 PRESIDING JUDGE: Wait. Dismissal was in 1998?

	1	MR YILLAH: Yes, My Lord.
	2	PRESIDING JUDGE: The dismissal was by the first accused?
	3	MR YILLAH: Yes, My Lord.
	4	PRESIDING JUDGE: According to you?
12:03:49	5	THE WITNESS: It was made by Vice President AJ Demby in
	6	town. Former Vice President AJ Demby.
	7	MR YILLAH:
	8	Q. Mr Witness, I finally put it to you - this is the last
	9	question, Mr Witness - that the first accused did not give
12:04:39	10	specific and general instructions to you because you were not
	11	next to him in the line of command?
	12	A. My Lord
	13	PRESIDING JUDGE: Did he or did he not?
	14	THE WITNESS: He did. I refer you to the Bo meeting where
12:05:03	15	Arrow Bockarie was.
	16	JUDGE BOUTET: What was the last part of your answer?
	17	THE WITNESS: I refer him to the Bo Town meeting that we
	18	held, where Chief Hinga Norman declared that
	19	JUDGE BOUTET: But you added something about you were
12:05:30	20	THE WITNESS: The one that I added, I said Arrow Bockarie
	21	was present in that meeting.
	22	JUDGE BOUTET: So that concludes your cross-examination?
	23	MR YILLAH: That will be all for this witness, My Lord.
	24	JUDGE BOUTET: Thank you.
12:05:49	25	PRESIDING JUDGE: Just a moment, please. Learned counsel,
	26	we will rise for just about 10 minutes and we'll resume
	27	thereafter.
	28	[Break taken at 12.05 p.m.]
	29	[Upon resuming at 12.35 p.m.]

	1	PRESIDING JUDGE: We are resuming the session. Mr
	2	Bockarie, you are ready?
	3	MR BOCKARIE: Yes, Your Honour.
	4	PRESIDING JUDGE: Good. I just wanted to say this before
12:36:51	5	we proceed. I think we have had a very nice gallery, a very nice
	6	audience in our proceedings all this while. It has been very
	7	nice having the gallery which has been following very attentively
	8	what has been happening. They have been doing it in total
	9	discipline and in total respect of this Court. We encourage you
12:37:15	10	to continue that way and you're always welcome on the
	11	understanding that you will keep the decorum of this Court.
	12	Thank you very much.
	13	JUDGE BOUTET: Yes, Mr Bockarie, please proceed.
	14	CROSS-EXAMINED BY MR BOCKARIE:
12:37:29	15	MR BOCKARIE:
	16	Q. Mr Nallo.
	17	A. Yes, My Lord.
	18	Q. Yesterday in answer to Mr Yillah you said some of the
	19	responsibilities of the Director of War were assigned to you; am
12:37:46	20	I correct?
	21	A. Yes, My Lord.
	22	Q. Can you please tell this Court those responsibilities that
	23	were delegated to you?
	24	A. Yes, My Lord.
12:38:18	25	Q. Go ahead.
	26	A. I used to go to the war front to collect reports, compile
	27	them, submit them to National Coordinator through the war
	28	director; general and specific instructions were given to me by
	29	the National Coordinator, Chief Hinga Norman. I used to take

arms and ammunition to the war front for the fighters. I used to 1 2 frequently visit the front line to ascertain the positions of 3 troops and to report that is given to me. I was interested --4 [translation interrupted] 12:40:52 5 PRESIDING JUDGE: [Overlapping speakers] with the enumeration let us know. 6 MR BOCKARIE: Let him just go ahead, My Lord. 7 PRESIDING JUDGE: Because we have had these explanations 8 9 before, that is what I'm saying, about what he is detailing. We 12:41:03 10 had it extensively during --11 THE WITNESS: I and the war director had been sitting 12 together to plan the war. 13 MR BOCKARIE: 14 I will come to that, Mr Witness. Mr Witness, would you Q. 12:41:17 15 agree with me that these responsibilities you have just stated 16 constituted the core functions of the office of the Director of 17 War? Well, I did not know his roles and responsibilities. 18 Α. 19 0. But you'd agree with me that the functions you have just 12:41:45 20 highlighted were very cardinal in carrying out the functions of the Director of War? 21 22 Yes, My Lord, but because he was not educated that is why I Α. did them. 23 24 Q. Thank you, Mr Witness. 12:42:09 25 Α. Okav. 26 Q. Mr Witness. 27 Α. Yes, My Lord. 28 You were the architect of the Black December operation, Q. 29 weren't you?

## OPEN SESSION

Yes, My Lord, with the Director of War. 1 Α. 2 PRESIDING JUDGE: What, Mr Bockarie? You say what? 3 MR BOCKARIE: You were -- my question is specific in reference to you. 4 Q. 12:43:00 5 You were the architect of the Black December operations, weren't 6 you? 7 Α. Yes, My Lord, with explanation. We sat down meeting at Base Zero -- [translation interrupted] 8 9 PRESIDING JUDGE: [Overlapping speakers] what happened? 12:43:43 10 THE WITNESS: Chief had been telling us what were the 11 pillars that will make your enemy to run away from you. He said hunger was one and I said that chief -- I said that if hunger is 12 13 one then let's block all the roads. Food should not come from 14 our own operation area to go to the junta, should stop that 12:44:23 15 movement completely. 16 MR BOCKARIE: Mr Witness, I'll come into those details later. 17 Q. Mr Witness, you recall making statements to the investigators 18 dated 27 November 2002? 19 12:44:50 20 Yes, I made statement. People used to go to me. Α. JUDGE BOUTET: Is that the same statement that was --21 MR BOCKARIE: This one is on 27 November 2002. 22 23 THE WITNESS: I cannot remember the date, My Lord. MR BOCKARIE: This statement I am referring to, My Lord, is 24 27 November 2002. 12:45:11 25 26 Mr Witness, I'm reading on page --Q. 27 JUDGE BOUTET: The reason I was asking was it the same 28 statement, if it is a different statement you have to go through 29 the same background.

OPEN	SESSION

	1	MR BOCKARIE:
	2	Q. Mr Witness, while making the statement in which language
	3	did you speak?
	4	A. It was Krio.
12:45:46	5	Q. Was it recorded?
	6	A. Yes, My Lord.
	7	Q. Handwritten?
	8	A. Yes, My Lord.
	9	Q. And then it was read over to you, wasn't it?
12:46:12	10	A. Yes, My Lord.
	11	Q. And the statement was confirmed by appending your signature
	12	to be true and correct?
	13	A. Yes, My Lord. Yes, My Lord.
	14	Q. Mr Witness.
12:46:33	15	A. Yes, My Lord.
	16	Q. Just a minute. Mr Witness, did you tell the investigators
	17	this, on page 10198 starting on the first paragraph I will
	18	read slowly: "The Black December Operation was declared in
	19	December 1997 and lasted 'til February 1998. The rationale was
12:47:15	20	to paralyse the AFRC/RUF operations with the clear no vehicle
	21	were to be seen on the highway. This was planned at Base Zero.
	22	I was the architect of this plan and presented it to the War
	23	Council." The operative word here is "I was the architect of
	24	this plan". Did you tell this to the investigators, Mr Witness?
12:47:41	25	A. I want you to break that word up, architect.
	26	JUDGE BOUTET: Mr Witness, did you or did you not make that
	27	statement to the investigators?
	28	THE WITNESS: I have made statement that with explanation.
	29	JUDGE BOUTET: You made that statement?

	1	THE WITNESS: Yes, I made that statement.
	2	MR BOCKARIE:
	3	Q. Thank you, Mr Witness. Mr Witness, being the brain behind
	4	that operation, can you tell this Court what were the strategies
12:48:42	5	you put in place in furtherance of the objectives?
	6	A. That was done by the National Coordinator. I only made
	7	suggestions, that it went on the air.
	8	Q. So, Mr Witness, you're telling this Court you didn't put
	9	any strategies in place in respect of the Black December
12:49:27	10	Operation?
	11	PRESIDING JUDGE: He said he made suggestions.
	12	MR BOCKARIE:
	13	Q. Can you tell us what were the suggestions you made?
	14	A. I'm quite ready to do so.
12:49:38	15	Q. Go ahead, please.
	16	A. Chief Hinga Norman had been highlighting the way in which
	17	he would be able to eliminate the enemies. He said it's not just
	18	a question of going to war using guns. He said hunger is one
	19	strategy that we could use. So from there I said, "Chief, so
12:49:59	20	what you should do, let us block all the roads" [translation
	21	interrupted]
	22	PRESIDING JUDGE: Wait, wait, wait.
	23	JUDGE THOMPSON: You said that the National Coordinator
	24	suggested hunger as one strategy? As one strategy? Hunger as
12:50:30	25	one strategy. And what was your input?
	26	THE WITNESS: Yes, one weapon. My contribution was that we
	27	should implement it. He approved.
	28	PRESIDING JUDGE: Recommend it in what way? What was your
	29	idea on this issue of hunger?

	1	JUDGE THOMPSON: Implement it.
	2	PRESIDING JUDGE: Yes, implement it how? What was your
	3	input here?
	4	THE WITNESS: So that food should not come from our own
12:50:58	5	area to the area of the enemies. And roadblocks.
	6	PRESIDING JUDGE: You also recommended roadblocks?
	7	THE WITNESS: Yes, My Lord.
	8	PRESIDING JUDGE: Tell us all the suggestions you put into
	9	this operation.
12:51:48	10	THE WITNESS: Those are the suggestions that I gave.
	11	MR BOCKARIE:
	12	Q. Mr Witness.
	13	A. Yes, My Lord.
	14	Q. In your evidence-in-chief you also said that you planned
12:52:06	15	and formulated strategies in respect of the war together with
	16	Moinina Fofana? In your evidence-in-chief yesterday; am I
	17	correct?
	18	JUDGE THOMPSON: Not yesterday.
	19	MR BOCKARIE: On Friday. Sorry, sir.
12:52:23	20	PRESIDING JUDGE: And he has repeated it now during your
	21	cross-examination. Yes, he has. You should follow your witness.
	22	MR BOCKARIE: Yes, Your Honour.
	23	Q. Am I correct, Mr Witness?
	24	A. Yes, I have said it.
12:52:40	25	Q. Mr Witness, I would also like to refer you to your
	26	statement of the 26th of November 2002 at page 10186. I will
	27	read.
	28	PRESIDING JUDGE: Mr Bockarie, is that the same statement?
	29	MR BOCKARIE: This is of the 26th November. The previous

1 one was the 27th. 2 JUDGE BOUTET: This is the one that was canvassed by 3 counsel for the first accused. MR BOCKARIE: This one I am referring to is dated 26th 4 12:53:20 5 November 2002. JUDGE BOUTET: But did he give only one on the 26th? Is it 6 the same that Mr Yillah used before? 7 MR YILLAH: Yes, that's the one I used. 8 JUDGE BOUTET: Okay. 9 12:53:30 10 MR BOCKARIE: 11 Q. Mr Witness. 12 Yes, My Lord. Α. 13 Q. At page 10186 I will read second line from the top. "I planned strategy for the war and presented it to the War 14 12:53:45 15 Council." Did you tell the investigators this? 16 Α. Yes, My Lord. I used to plan because I was the one writing it, because the Director of War was not educated. I was the one 17 18 that was doing everything. He was saying it in Mende and I was 19 writing. 12:54:34 20 PRESIDING JUDGE: He would talk to you in Mende and you would write it? 21 22 THE WITNESS: Yes, My Lord, both of us. 23 MR BOCKARIE: Mr Witness, I am sure in the course of your planning, your 24 Q. 12:54:56 25 planning was completely devoid of killing of innocent civilians; 26 am I correct? Whilst planning and strategising the war together 27 with the Director of War, Moinina Fofana, your planning was completely devoid of killing of innocent civilians; am I correct? 28 29 PRESIDING JUDGE: Was it part of your plan?

	SESSION
UPEN	2E22TON

	1		MR BOCKARIE:
	2	Q.	It was not part of your planning to kill innocent
	3	civil	ians; was it?
	4	Α.	I cannot get you clearly.
12:55:29	5	Q.	Okay. Whilst planning together with the Director of War
	6		PRESIDING JUDGE: Did you include the killing of innocent
	7	civil	ians in that plan?
	8		THE WITNESS: No, My Lord. No, My Lord.
	9		MR BOCKARIE:
12:56:04	10	Q.	Neither did you include the looting of civilians' property?
	11	Α.	No, My Lord.
	12	Q.	Mr Witness, neither did it include the raping of women?
	13	Α.	Not at all, My Lord.
	14		PRESIDING JUDGE: Are they charged with rape? Please,
12:56:57	15	limit	your cross-examination to relevant issues.
	16		MR BOCKARIE:
	17	Q.	Mr Witness.
	18	Α.	Yes, My Lord.
	19	Q.	Am I correct that you had information regarding the Tongo
12:57:22	20	attac	k that civilians fought alongside the juntas armed with
	21	stick	s with nails attached and machetes against the Kamajors?
	22	You w	ere told that? You had information regarding that?
	23	Α.	Yes, My Lord.
	24		PRESIDING JUDGE: You say information about what?
12:57:41	25		MR BOCKARIE: Regarding civilians fighting alongside juntas
	26	armed	with machetes and sticks with nails attached.
	27		PRESIDING JUDGE: Had information about civilians
	28		MR BOCKARIE: Sorry, I mean the Kamajors.
	29		PRESIDING JUDGE: Yes, fighting alongside?

	1	MR BOCKARIE: The juntas armed with sticks with nails
	2	THE WITNESS: This is correct, My Lord.
	3	PRESIDING JUDGE: Armed with?
	4	MR BOCKARIE: Sticks with nails attached and machetes.
12:58:36	5	PRESIDING JUDGE: This was in Tongo?
	6	MR BOCKARIE: Yes, in respect of Tongo, My Lord.
	7	THE WITNESS: Yes, My Lord.
	8	PRESIDING JUDGE: And they were fighting against the
	9	Kamajors?
12:58:54	10	MR BOCKARIE: Yes, My Lord.
	11	THE WITNESS: Yes, My Lord.
	12	MR BOCKARIE:
	13	Q. Mr Witness, will I be correct to say that before February
	14	1998 the relationship between the civilians in Koribundu and the
12:59:17	15	soldiers had been very cordial? They had very good relationship,
	16	the civilians in Koribundu and the soldiers. I am talking of
	17	Koribundu now.
	18	A. Yes, My Lord. They gave their children in marriage to
	19	soldiers.
13:00:20	20	Q. These civilians were strong supporters of the juntas in
	21	Koribundu; am I correct?
	22	A. I don't know, I was not within the environment. If they
	23	had been supporting or not, I do not know.
	24	Q. Mr Witness.
13:01:03	25	A. Yes, My Lord.
	26	Q. Isn't it true as in Tongo that the civilians in Koribundu
	27	fought alongside the juntas against the Kamajors?
	28	A. In Koribundu?
	29	Q. Correct.

Page 58

	1	A. Not to my knowledge.
	2	MR BOCKARIE: Your Honour, at this stage I stand to be
	3	guided.
	4	PRESIDING JUDGE: Please continue. At a convenient moment
13:02:05	5	to stop we'll stop. Are you suggesting you are at the end of an
	6	episode?
	7	MR BOCKARIE: I want to go into another new area.
	8	PRESIDING JUDGE: You want to go into a new area?
	9	MR BOCKARIE: Yes, I just want to be guided.
13:02:20	10	PRESIDING JUDGE: Well, the guidance is what you expect.
	11	We shall be rising to have lunch and we'll be resuming the
	12	session at 2.30 p.m. So, learned counsel, the Chamber will rise,
	13	please.
	14	[Luncheon recess taken at 1.00 p.m.]
14:43:11		[Upon resuming at 2.45 p.m.]
		PRESIDING JUDGE: Good afternoon, learned counsel. We are
	17	resuming the session. Mr Bockarie.
	18	MR BOCKARIE: Yes, Your Honour. Thank you.
	19	PRESIDING JUDGE: You were very deep in thought. Maybe
	20	thinking of the first question to shoot at the witness. You may
	21	proceed, Mr Bockarie.
	22	MR BOCKARIE: Thank you very much, Your Honour.
	23	Q. Mr Nallo.
	24	A. Yes, My Lord.
14:49:10	25	Q. When the Kamajors took over Bo in February 1998 they were
	26	later chased out by the junta in that same February. Am I
	27	correct?
	28	A. Please repeat your question.
	29	PRESIDING JUDGE: Mr Bockarie, make it short. Shorten that

question. 1 2 MR BOCKARIE: Yes, Your Honour. 3 Q. The Kamajors took over Bo in February 1998; am I correct.? 4 14:49:30 5 Α. Friday? February. A day in February 1998. 6 Q. 7 Α. Yes, My Lord. PRESIDING JUDGE: They took over what, Bo? 8 9 MR BOCKARIE: Yes, Your Honour. 14:50:07 10 In less than a week the juntas re-took the town from the Q. 11 Kamajors, that very month; isn't it? 12 Α. Two days. 13 Mr Witness, isn't it true that in that takeover the juntas Q. 14 disguised themselves as Kamajors wearing the Kamajor ronko? 14:51:02 15 Α. You are right. That was why I was assigned to kill Dr MB 16 Sesay. 17 PRESIDING JUDGE: You say that was why you were assigned. 18 THE WITNESS: Yes, by the national coordinator for me to 19 kill Dr MB Sesay, burn his house and loot all his property. MR BOCKARIE: 20 Mr Witness, isn't it correct that during this time it was 21 Q. 22 difficult to tell the difference between a junta and a Kamajor? 23 Α. It was difficult. This was followed by a big confusion within the town; am I 24 Q. 14:52:58 25 correct? 26 Yes, My Lord. Α. 27 Q. This confusion occurred in the midst of heavy firing in the 28 town; am I correct? 29 Α. Yes, My Lord.

	1	Q. An	d in the course of this firing scores of civilians lost
	2	their li	fe; isn't it?
	3	A. Ye	s, My Lord.
	4	JU	DGE BOUTET: Did you say thousands?
14:54:04	5	MR	BOCKARIE: Scores.
	6	JU	DGE BOUTET: Scores.
	7	MR	BOCKARIE:
	8	Q. Mr	Witness, did you know of the existence of the peace
	9	office i	n Bo within the Kamajor wing in 1998?
14:54:44	10	A. Yo	u do not have that one.
	11	PR	ESIDING JUDGE: Mr Bockarie, are you saying the peace office
	12	within t	he Kamajor movement or what did you
	13	MR	BOCKARIE: Was established in Bo in 1998.
	14	PR	ESIDING JUDGE: Established by who, Mr Bockarie?
14:55:24	15	MR	BOCKARIE:
	16	Q. Es	tablished by the resident minister Foday Sesay?
	17	A. We	do not have a peace office. We had a war directorate
	18	office.	That is how we used to call it.
	19	PR	ESIDING JUDGE: War directorate?
14:56:00	20	TH	E WITNESS: Office in Bo.
	21	MR	BOCKARIE:
	22	Q. Mr	Witness, isn't it true that sometime in 2002 the office
	23	of direc	tor of war was replaced by the office of peace in Bo?
	24	A. By	then I was not there. The war director had wanted to
14:57:05	25	use me l	ike how Chief Hinga Norman had used me. Even if I was
	26	going to	arrest you.
	27	PR	ESIDING JUDGE: What did you say?
	28	JU	DGE THOMPSON: What was the answer?

THE WITNESS: I said at that time I have left the office

29

Page 61

1	because the war director was giving me directives to carry that
2	were detrimental to me, that was why I left the office.
3	JUDGE THOMPSON: What I was interested to know, your
4	question was whether there was the war directorate office was
14:57:43 5	replaced by a peace office.
6	MR BOCKARIE: Yes, Your Honour.
7	JUDGE THOMPSON: And the answers seems not to have come
8	out.
9	JUDGE BOUTET: He then says then he was not there any more.
14:57:54 10	MR BOCKARIE: Sorry, this was in 2000.
11	JUDGE THOMPSON: So does he know or does he not know?
12	MR BOCKARIE: That is what I want to find out; do you know
13	whether. That is my question.
14	Q. Do you know?
14:58:01 15	A. No, I had left.
16	JUDGE THOMPSON: That's my difficulty. I find it so difficult to
17	factor these answers if they're not directly to the point.
18	MR BOCKARIE: Yes, My Lord. It is the question whether you
19	knew.
14:58:15 20	JUDGE THOMPSON: Whether you knew or you did not know.
21	MR BOCKARIE: Or you did not know.
22	THE WITNESS: I heard about it later.
23	MR BOCKARIE: This was in 2000, My Lord. The year 2000.
24	JUDGE BOUTET: I thought you said 2002.
14:58:31 25	THE WITNESS: I heard about it but I don't know the date.
26	MR BOCKARIE: Yes, sorry. It is a mistake. The appropriate year
27	is 2000.
28	JUDGE BOUTET: Your question should have been 2000.
29	MR BOCKARIE: 2000.

	1	Q. I	[ must apologise for that, Mr Witness. It is 2000, not
	2	2000	- yes, sorry.
	3	J	JUDGE THOMPSON: So the answer would be that I heard that
	4	there w	was a replacement of the war directorate office by the
14:58:56	5	peace c	office but I wasn't there at the time.
	6	Μ	IR BOCKARIE: Thank you.
	7	J	JUDGE THOMPSON: Is that the answer?
	8	Т	THE WITNESS: Yes, My Lord.
	9	J	JUDGE THOMPSON: Right. [Inaudible] intelligible answers.
14:59:03	10	Μ	IR BOCKARIE:
	11	Q. M	Ar Nallo.
	12	A. Y	/es, My Lord.
	13	F	PRESIDING JUDGE: Do you have any time frame in 2002 for
	14	this pe	eace office?
14:59:42		Μ	MR BOCKARIE: It is 2000, My Lord.
	16	F	PRESIDING JUDGE: I'm sorry, 2000. Do you have the time
	17	frame?	What month, do you know?
	18	Μ	NR BOCKARIE: I don't know, My Lord. Sometime in 2000.
	19	F	PRESIDING JUDGE: Okay.
15:00:21	20	Q. M	Ar Witness, did you know or did you ever come to know that
	21	that of	ffice was being headed by the second accused Moinina
	22	Fofana?	2
	23	Α. Υ	(es, My Lord, I heard about it. We were living in the same
	24	town.	
15:01:03	25	Q. M	Ar Witness, were you ever investigated by this office into
	26	allegat	tions of looting undertaken by you in Tikonko?
	27	A. N	No, My Lord.
	28	F	PRESIDING JUDGE: That is by the peace office?
	29	Μ	IR BOCKARIE: Yes, Your Honour.

	1	PRESIDING JUDGE: Looting in Tikonko?
	2	MR BOCKARIE: Yes, Your Honour.
	3	PRESIDING JUDGE: Can you spell it, please.
	4	MR BOCKARIE: Yes, Your Honour. T-I-K-O-N-K-O. Tikonko.
15:02:08	5	Q. Mr Witness, before the arrest of Moinina Fofana he was the
	6	chiefdom speaker of Nongoba Bullom Chiefdom; am I correct? Do
	7	you know that?
	8	A. Yes, My Lord.
	9	PRESIDING JUDGE: He was the speaker of what chiefdom?
15:02:48	10	MR BOCKARIE: Nongoba Bullom Chiefdom.
	11	Q. Mr Witness, are you aware that Sorgia and Baoma Kpengeh
	12	are in Nongoba Bullom Chiefdom?
	13	A. I didn't know the terrain much.
	14	Q. Did you later come to know that Sorgia and Baoma Kpengeh
15:03:40	15	are in Nongoba Bullom Chiefdom?
	16	A. Yes, My Lord, I knew about Baoma Kpengeh.
	17	PRESIDING JUDGE: Let's have the names. Let's have the correct
	18	spellings of those villages again, Mr Bockarie.
	19	MR BOCKARIE: It is B-A-O-M-A K-P-E-N-G-E-H. Then Sorgia
15:04:19	20	is S-O-R-G-I-A.
	21	PRESIDING JUDGE: S-O-R-G.
	22	MR BOCKARIE: I-A.
	23	PRESIDING JUDGE: Nongoba Bullom.
	24	MR BOCKARIE: N-O-N-G-O-B-A B-U-L-L-O-M.
15:04:28	25	PRESIDING JUDGE: Is it N or M?
	26	MR BOCKARIE: N, Nathaniel.
	27	Q. Mr Witness, in addition to Mr Moinina Fofana being chiefdom
	28	speaker of this chiefdom Do you also know that he hails from this
	29	chiefdom, Nongoba Bullom Chiefdom?

	1	A. I don't know that.
	2	Q. Mr Witness, I am putting it to you that at no time was Mr
	3	Fofana present when orders were given for the killing of rebel
	4	collaborators in Sorgia and Baoma Kpengeh?
15:06:12	5	A. He was there When the instructions were given, directives.
	6	Baoma Kpengeh in fact, he directed me. He told me.
	7	Q. Mr Witness, as far as you are concerned the office of the
	8	director of war has to be manned by an educated person; isn't it?
	9	PRESIDING JUDGE: I don't think this witness said so.
15:07:22	10	THE WITNESS: I don't know about that. I am not the
	11	national coordinator.
	12	PRESIDING JUDGE: He did not say so.
	13	MR BOCKARIE:
	14	Q. Mr Witness, were you happy when Moinina Fofana was
15:07:43	15	appointed as director of war?
	16	A. I met him as director of war.
	17	Q. Were you pleased with that appointment, taking into
	18	consideration that he was an illiterate?
	19	A. I'm happy because he is our national coordinator that
15:08:21	20	gave him the position.
	21	Q. Mr Witness, isn't it true that you were vehemently opposed
	22	to his appointment on the grounds that he was an illiterate?
	23	A. I was not there. How could I oppose his appointment?
	24	Q. Thank you. Mr Witness, do you know the motto of the CDF?
15:09:52	25	A. We fight for democracy.
	26	Q. And that democracy mentioned in the motto refers to the
	27	reinstatement of President Tejan Kabbah after he was toppled by
	28	the juntas; isn't it?
	29	JUDGE THOMPSON: Would you restate the question. I can't hear.

Ι

	1	didn't	hear. You lost it?
	2	Μ	IR BOCKARIE: Yes, Your Honour.
	3	J	UDGE THOMPSON: I lost it too.
	4	Μ	IR BOCKARIE:
15:10:42	5	Q. M	Ir Witness, you said the motto of the CDF is to fight for
	6	democra	ucy; isn't it?
	7	Α. Υ	′es, My Lord.
	8	Q. C	Could I be correct to say that the democracy referred to in
	9	that mo	tto means the reinstatement of President Ahmed Tejan
15:11:03	10	Kabbah	whose government had been toppled by the juntas?
	11	Α. Υ	′es, My Lord.
	12	Q. A	nd in achieving this aim he opted for the arms
	13	interve	ention; isn't it?
	14	A. P	Please repeat your question.
15:12:08	15	J	UDGE BOUTET: You are talking to him especially as [overlapping]
	16	Ν	IR BOCKARIE: No, I mean the CDF.
	17	Q. I	mean the CDF opted for achieving this goal of having
	18	Preside	ent Kabbah reinstated back to power, the CDF opted for arms
	19	interve	ention; am I correct?
15:12:58	20	A. I	joined the Kamajor I joined the society as a Kamajor
	21	fighter	for the supernatural powers that the Kamajors had. So
	22	P	RESIDING JUDGE: Wait, wait.
	23	Т	HE WITNESS: So that made us invulnerable to enemy
	24	bullets	
15:13:45	25	Μ	IR BOCKARIE:
	26	Q. T	hank you, Mr Witness, can you now answer my question,
	27	please.	Mr Witness
	28	A. I	didn't opt for arms and ammunitions.
	29	Q. N	lot opt for arms and ammunition. Get the question correct,

	1	you w	ere going to help restore Tejan Kabbah back to power by the
	2	force	of arms; by fighting?
	3	Α.	Okay, by fighting, yeah.
	4	Q.	And this option, the use of fighting, was being endorsed by
15:14:54	5	the p	resident; are you aware?
	6	Α.	I don't know about that. In fact, we didn't want to see
	7	him.	Sometimes he abused us more, in fact.
	8	Q.	Mr Witness
	9	Α.	Yes, My Lord.
15:15:45	10	Q.	you told this Court that you were relieved as director
	11	of op	erations south in 1988 by the then Vice President Joe Demby;
	12	corre	ct, isn't it?
	13	Α.	Yes, My Lord.
	14	Q.	Mr Witness
15:15:51	15	Α.	Yes, My Lord.
	16	Q.	Do you know who Dr Demby's boss was at that time?
	17	Α.	The president was the head of state so if you have a vice
	18	presi	dent they are all working hand in glove.
	19	Q.	Do you know who the boss was
15:17:09	20		PRESIDING JUDGE: Who was the president at the time?
	21		THE WITNESS: That's President Tejan Kabbah.
	22		MR BOCKARIE:
	23	Q.	Mr Witness, how long have been in Freetown under the
	24	auspi	ces of the Office of the Prosecutor?
15:17:52	25	Α.	I can't remember that.
	26	Q.	Mr Witness, will I be correct to say that it dates as far
	27	back	as December 2002?
	28	Α.	I don't know.
	29		PRESIDING JUDGE: As far back as 26th?

MR BOCKARIE: November 2002.

2 Q. Mr Witness --

3 A. Yes, My Lord.

-- please tell this Court for how many years have you lived 4 Q. 15:19:05 5 in Freetown under the auspices of the Office of Prosecutor? MR TAVENER: I object to that question. We have not been 6 to this area for some time, but if my friend could distinguish 7 between the support provided by the Court and support provided by 8 9 the OTP. That is all I ask that he distinguish between the two 15:19:28 10 section of the Court. 11 MR BOCKARIE: My Lord, I earlier on suggested a date and he said he --12 13 JUDGE BOUTET: No, it is not the date. The objection has 14 to do with your using under the control of the Office of the 15:19:43 15 Prosecution. As you know, it is the -- Witness Protection Unit 16 that is in effect --17 MR BOCKARIE: [Overlapping speakers] sorry, I mean --18 JUDGE BOUTET: So that is the objection. MR BOCKARIE: 19 15:19:48 20 Yes, I think it should be the Witness Protection Unit, Mr Q. Witness. Sorry, Mr Tavener? 21 22 I don't know how many years. Contact them, they will tell Α. They have documents, I don't have. 23 you. Mr Witness --24 Q. 15:20:06 25 Α. Yes, My Lord. 26 I am sure you are staying in Freetown with your family; Q. 27 aren't you? 28 Yes, My Lord. I can't live without my family. Α. JUDGE THOMPSON: 29

	1	Q. Witness, answer the question directly, otherwise you get
	2	into [overlapping speakers]?
	3	A. Yes, My Lord, it's true.
	4	Q. We know that many people can't live without their families,
15:21:09	5	it's a given, we will take judicial notice of that. But go ahead
	6	and answer the questions directly?
	7	JUDGE THOMPSON: So he is staying in Freetown with his family?
	8	MR BOCKARIE: Yes.
	9	Q. Mr Witness
15:21:16	10	A. Yes, My Lord.
	11	Q could I be correct to say that since November 2002 you
	12	have been unemployed?
	13	A. I was a self-centred somebody. I was at [inaudible] I had
	14	power saw machines and people were working on them and I paid
15:21:56	15	them. I used to saw boards.
	16	JUDGE BOUTET: But the question, Mr Witness, is since
	17	November 2002.
	18	THE WITNESS: I was self-employed.
	19	JUDGE THOMPSON: The question was up to now, so he is a
15:22:17	20	self-employed man is what he is saying.
	21	MR BOCKARIE:
	22	Q. You are still in your timber business up to date?
	23	JUDGE THOMPSON: Yes, self-employed.
	24	THE WITNESS: Of course.
	25	JUDGE THOMPSON: He is self-employed.
	26	THE WITNESS: I will still continue.
	27	MR BOCKARIE: Thank you very much, Mr Witness.
	28	THE WITNESS: Thank you, too.
	29	MR BOCKARIE: That will be all for him, sir.

PRESIDING JUDGE: Thank you. Yes, Mr Margai. You may proceed, 1 2 please. 3 MR MARGAI: My learned friend Williams will do the 4 cross-examination, My Lords. 15:24:06 5 JUDGE BOUTET: Mr Williams, you are ready to proceed now? MR WILLIAMS: No, My Lord. I wish to make an application, 6 My Lords, for the deferment of the cross-examination and 7 therefore defer, My Lord. My Lord, this was a --8 9 PRESIDING JUDGE: Excuse me. You see we have to go on with 15:25:04 10 these proceedings. Mr Margai, you were to do this, you know, yourself because we don't intend --11 12 MR MARGAI: Sorry, My Lords. 13 PRESIDING JUDGE: You were, you are to do this personally. 14 I thought that you could do it and proceed because, I mean, look 15:25:24 15 at the time, what shall we be doing between now and the end of 16 the day? MR MARGAI: My Lords, I was going to take this witness, but 17 18 in the light of certain developments I have decided to hand the 19 cross-examination over to my colleague and it is most unfortunate 15:25:44 20 that he is not quite prepared to cross-examine because, as Your Lordship's will appreciate, this witness is a very important 21 witness and quite a lot has been said. And if justice were to be 22 23 done to our client, then I think it is but only reasonable that time be given to Mr Williams because he would need to take 24 15:26:05 25 instructions from the client, to have discussions with 26 investigators and then for me to hold a conference with him as to 27 the line I would have pursued. I mean, as Your Lordships have always said, the ends of justice is what we are all interested 28 29 in.

	1	PRESIDING JUDGE: We do agree. We do agree. Well, learned
	2	counsel, Mr Margai
	3	MR MARGAI: Yes, My Lord.
	4	PRESIDING JUDGE: The Chamber respects your position on
15:28:07	5	this issue.
	6	MR MARGAI: As My Lord pleases.
	7	PRESIDING JUDGE: The Chamber respects your position on
	8	this issue. We would grant the adjournments that has been
	9	solicited by Mr Williams
15:28:21	10	MR MARGAI: I am grateful, My Lord.
	11	PRESIDING JUDGE: to enable him to receive the necessary
	12	briefings before he proceeds with the cross-examination of this
	13	witness. As you have rightfully said, we are all here to ensure
	14	that we foster the interests of justice and nothing but the
15:28:38	15	interests of justice.
	16	MR MARGAI: Yes, My Lord.
	17	PRESIDING JUDGE: But we observe that very much unlike you
	18	Mr Williams was in these proceedings all along when this witness
	19	started his testimony.
15:28:50	20	MR MARGAI: Yes, My Lord.
	21	PRESIDING JUDGE: I suppose this is a plus; he is not a
	22	complete stranger to these proceedings.
	23	MR MARGAI: No, no, no.
	24	PRESIDING JUDGE: And we hope that in granting this
15:29:04	25	application we will have to couple this with a constraint that we
	26	start at 9.30 and see how it goes. If we can finish by one,
	27	fine.
	28	MR MARGAI: I couldn't agree more with Your Lordship, but
	29	Your Lordship knows from Your Lordship's practice days that

1 cross-examination is an art and as long as a particular 2 counsel --3 PRESIDING JUDGE: Mr Margai, you know, we have been very, very tolerant. 4 15:29:32 5 MR MARGAI: I know that, My Lord. 6 PRESIDING JUDGE: I mean, the cross-examination has been so 7 elastically elaborated that it has required a lot of patience on the part of this Chamber to put up with the length of 8 9 cross-examination. We have no regrets for that because we don't 15:29:52 10 want to be seen to be interfering with the rights of the Defence 11 to put across in the most appropriate manner the defence of their of their clients. 12 13 MR MARGAI: That has been so, My Lord, in very exceptional 14 circumstances. 15:30:04 15 PRESIDING JUDGE: Yes. 16 MR MARGAI: I mean, we have been very, very brief most of the time. We only exceed an hour where the circumstances of the 17 18 case require that it be done in that direction. 19 PRESIDING JUDGE: In this case we are not tying you to one 15:30:21 20 hour, Mr Margai. We are not tying Mr Williams to one hour, not even to two hours. 21 MR MARGAI: We may surprise Your Lordships. 22 23 PRESIDING JUDGE: Right, that's fine. It is a pleasant surprise that we need. 24 15:30:31 25 MR MARGAI: Thank you. 26 PRESIDING JUDGE: As a surprise packet for the end of the 27 session. MR MARGAI: Whilst not reneging in our duty. 28 29 PRESIDING JUDGE: Not at all, not at all. We don't --

MR MARGAI: -- in defending our clients to the best of our 1 2 ability. 3 PRESIDING JUDGE: No, that would be also in reneging your duty to this Chamber to do justice to your client. 4 15:30:45 5 MR MARGAI: I appreciate that, My Lord. PRESIDING JUDGE: That's right. 6 MR MARGAI: I appreciate that, My Lord. 7 PRESIDING JUDGE: That's right. 8 9 MR MARGAI: Thank you very much, we are grateful. 15:30:51 10 PRESIDING JUDGE: Yes, the Prosecution wanted say something 11 also? 12 MR TAVENER: Very briefly, Your Honour. In response to my 13 friend's application, the Prosecution would have no difficulty, 14 should any problems arise, that Mr Williams can cross-examine on 15:31:06 15 that very small issue which causes Mr Margai difficulties, 16 releasing Mr Margai to cross-examine on the rest tomorrow morning. 17 18 PRESIDING JUDGE: No, no, no, it is settled, please. 19 MR TAVENER: All right. Thank you. 15:31:23 20 PRESIDING JUDGE: We should respect counsel's options --MR TAVENER: Certainly. 21 PRESIDING JUDGE: -- when they express them. Yes. 22 MR TAVENER: As long as it is -- Sorry, I expect the 23 cross-examination, just confirmation from my friends, that they 24 15:31:35 25 will be finished by tomorrow. 26 PRESIDING JUDGE: No, no, never mind that. Never mind 27 that. We will take care of that because I told counsel on both 28 sides that tomorrow is the last day we are sitting here. So we 29 are not coming back here on Tuesday.

1 MR TAVENER: On Wednesday. 2 PRESIDING JUDGE: On Wednesday, I am sorry, I'm sorry, on 3 Wednesday. MR WILLIAMS: My Lord, that would have been my humble 4 15:32:03 5 application, My Lord. My Lord, the witness made over 350 pages 6 of statement, My Lord. PRESIDING JUDGE: Well, it is not our fault, you could have 7 started today and ended, you know, tomorrow. 8 9 MR WILLIAMS: Yes, My Lord, but the circumstances that I 15:32:17 10 have to -- these documents were disclosed about year ago, My 11 Lord, but I mean from the moment they were disclosed it was 12 apportioned to Mr Margai, so you have been taking very special 13 attention or giving special attention to this particular witness. My Lord, I only heard it once. 14 15:32:37 15 PRESIDING JUDGE: I am sure you have been in the strategy 16 all along as to the conduct of this defence. Mr Margai, being a 17 very busy person, would not have isolated you from some principal 18 aspects of the --19 JUDGE THOMPSON: I think counsel, learned counsel, is being 15:32:49 20 modest. We have seen you perform here. We know that you will be able to apply discriminating judgment to know what areas you need 21 to or you want to cross-examine vigorously on, others you may not 22 23 even need to cross-examine. It is just that we understand that 24 this would be perhaps a sleepless night for you, but lawyers are 15:33:11 25 used to that.

26 PRESIDING JUDGE: We all have it.

27 JUDGE THOMPSON: Lawyers are used to that, they show much 28 resilience in these matters.

29 MR WILLIAMS: I am flattered, My Lord.

1	PRESIDING JUDGE: We thought you were taken by surprise
2	with the other witness, but you did so well, didn't you? I am
3	sure you will do very well tomorrow. Yes, Mr Tavener.
4	MR TAVENER: I am not meaning to annoy you. It was
15:33:37 5	intended to call Mr Gbekie tomorrow, he has some difficulties.
6	That is one of the investigators who is to be recalled. It might
7	be better if he is recalled in the next session. His evidence is
8	quite minor, it relates to taking a statement that is suitable
9	it was intended to call him tomorrow, but
15:33:55 10	PRESIDING JUDGE: If he can be called during the next
11	session.
12	MR TAVENER: Thank you. I thought I would just advise the
13	Court.
14	PRESIDING JUDGE: Yes. So any other issues, Mr Jabbi?
15:34:01 15	MR JABBI: No.
16	PRESIDING JUDGE: No. Well, Mr Witness, you will have to
17	come back again tomorrow.
18	THE WITNESS: Yes, My Lord, I'm ready.
19	PRESIDING JUDGE: Right. Well, learned counsel, the Chamber will
20	rise for the day. The Court will rise.
21	[Whereupon the hearing adjourned at 3.35 p.m., to be
22	reconvened on Tuesday, the 15th day of March, 2005, at 9.30 a.m.]
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	

## WITNESSES FOR THE PROSECUTION:

WITNESS: TF2-014	2
CROSS-EXAMINED BY MR YILLAH	2
CROSS-EXAMINED BY MR BOCKARIE	50