

Case No. SCSL 2011-02-T THE INDEPENDENT COUNSEL -V-BANGURA SAMUEL KARGBO SANTIGIE BORBO

HASSAN PAPA BANGURA, SAMUEL KARGBO, SANTIGIE BORBOR KANU AND BRIMA BAZZY KAMARA

Before the Judge:

For Chambers:

For the Registry:

For WVS:

For the Prosecution:

For the accused Hassan Papa Bangura:

For the accused Samuel Kargbo:

For the accused Santigie Borbor Kanu:

For the accused Brima Bazzy Kamara:

For the Principal Defender:

Justice Teresa Doherty

Elizabeth Budnitz

Elaine-Bola Clarkson Thomas Alpha

Tamba D. Sammie

Robert L. Herbst

Melron Nicol-Wilson

Charles Taku

Kevin Metzger

Abdul Serry-Kamal Wara Serry-Kamal

Claire Carlton-Hanciles

[Wednesday, 5 September 2012] 1 2 [Open session] 3 [Accused present] [The Court resumed at 9.09 a.m.] 4 5 JUSTICE DOHERTY: Good morning. Kigali, are you hearing me 6 cl earl y? 7 THE COURT OFFICER: [In Kigali] Yes, madam, we are. 8 JUSTICE DOHERTY: I'll take appearances. 9 MR HERBST: Good morning, your Honour and everybody in 10 Freetown. Robert Herbst, independent counsel for the 11 Prosecution. 12 JUSTICE DOHERTY: Thank you. And we have a lone 13 representative here in --14 MR NICOL-WILSON: Good morning, your Honour. Mel ron 15 Nicol-Wilson for Hassan Papa Bangura. Mr Metzger said I should 16 convey his apologies for being late. He's stuck in traffic and 17 he's on his way to the Court. 18 JUSTICE DOHERTY: That's fine. Thank you, Mr Nicol-Wilson. 19 I notice that Mr Serry-Kamal is not here either. I presume that 20 same applies to him. 21 I don't see Mr Kargbo. What's happened to him? 22 THE COURT OFFICER: Your Honour, the officer from WVS is 23 here to explain Mr Kargbo's condition. 24 JUSTICE DOHERTY: Please let us know. 25 MR SAMMIE: Your Honour, Mr Kargbo reported sick this 26 morning and we are just about to take him to the hospital for 27 medication. JUSTICE DOHERTY: 28 I will note that and if he's Thank you.

29 able to come into Court then he can come into Court, but we

cannot force the issue. I note Mr Kanu and Mr Kamara appear in
 custody and Mr Bangura.

I have two preliminary matters before we return to
the evidence, and I remind the nonpresent witness of his oath.
First, I can repeat these.

6 In the course of meeting in chambers, counsel Mr Metzger 7 had raised the issue about matters that should be referred to in 8 submissions and asked if I would identify - I had already 9 identified one, but asked if there was others. I have considered 10 this and I have identified three matters that I will seek brief 11 submissions on. First is the elements of the offence and when it 12 comes to the elements of the offence, I'm really looking more for 13 bullet points, not a full treatise.

Good, Mr Metzger, I'm just mentioning a matter that you raised in chambers. Just to repeat, elements of the offence. Secondly, the relevant, weight, admissibility, et cetera, of evidence of events outside the indictment period. Again, there is a fair bit of case law on this and I don't expect anything of any great length.

Thirdly, as I have already mentioned, relevance, weight, et cetera, of evidence adduced by one witness concerning another when that other witness - when these matters were not put to that other witness.

As I've said, obviously counsel themselves in their discretion will be wanting to put particular matters. Those are the three things that I will - when it comes to the law and the evidence, I will be putting some emphasis on.

28 The next matter I wish to raise is a preliminary
29 issue. Mr Metzger, I don't know if you heard me.

1 Your Honour, I'm coming apart as we speak. MR METZGER: 2 JUSTICE DOHERTY: I noticed that. MR METZGER: I think even the Court wear is feeling the 3 My apologies for my tardy attendance this morning. I 4 strain. 5 had called to let my assistant know so that the Court could be 6 made aware there seemed to be a little more than usual traffic at Congo Cross today which I will to get past and generally because 7 8 I come very heavily laden, I have to be let through the gates 9 rather than coming through the turnstiles, which kind of adds to 10 my time. 11 I'm sorry I didn't hear what your Honour says because 12 obviously I wasn't here. I suspect it was a ruling in relation 13 to some of the material that was raised yesterday and I --14 JUSTICE DOHERTY: I haven't come to that yet. 15 MR METZGER: Well then --JUSTICE DOHERTY: First of all, Mr Nicol-Wilson very kindly 16 17 advised me that you were in traffic. 18 MR METZGER: I'm grateful to him. 19 JUSTICE DOHERTY: Yes. And I just outlined following our 20 chambers meeting and a matter that we discussed and you in 21 particular raised, I have identified three matters which I would 22 like to have counsel address - or I will be looking for. They 23 don't have to address, but I will be looking for when it comes to 24 submission: First is a very, very brief outline of the elements 25 of the offence. I said bullet points would be enough. 26 Secondly is relevance, weight, admissibility, et cetera, of 27 evidence relating to events outside the indictment period. There 28 is, as you know, law in this jurisdiction on that matter. And thirdly, evidence adduced concerning one witness that when that 29

particular matter was not put to the witness in question, the
 rule in Brown v. Dunne.

3 Those are the three things. I do not expect a long treatise on any of those matters, but I will be looking at them. 4 5 The second element - have a seat, Mr Metzger. 6 The second matter I wish to raise is I received last night 7 a response from Madam Registrar, who very promptly investigated 8 the issues that were raised concerning Mr Herbst's possible 9 presence in 2004. Counsel should now have copies of Madam 10 Registrar's response. I've also included my own direction to 11 Madam Registrar under 33B to ensure counsel that the two 12 organisations that were specifically mentioned by Mr Metzger were 13 included in my instructions. And counsel will see from that that 14 the personnel department and through the Registrar have confirmed 15 that Mr Herbst was not retained by the Court in any capacity 16 prior to 2011.

17 I'll let you absorb that for a few minutes, Mr Metzger,
18 because the issue arose in your cross-examination. So I will
19 therefore now turn and say --

20 MR METZGER: I have read it and absorbed it. If 21 your Honour wishes me to address you on it, I'm in a position --22 JUSTICE DOHERTY: Yes, if we could dispose of it, that 23 would be very helpful.

24 MR METZGER: I'm grateful to the office of the Registrar 25 and its hardworking personnel who have clearly moved practically 26 heaven and earth in order to answer this query at very short 27 notice.

It gives me great pleasure to be able to say that onthe strength of this, I have to accept and do accept that on

1 behalf of my client, as he must - even though I haven't spoken to 2 him - that that is not an avenue that I wish to pursue. 3 So as far as the Defence for Kanu is concerned, one can draw a definite line under that and the only, shall we say, 4 5 effect of that evidence will be in the second limb of the 6 material that your Honour asked counsel to address you on, ie, 7 something that happened outside the indictment period, the 8 relevance and weight of it insofar as it connects to or is 9 otherwise connected with the matter with which your Honour has to 10 deci de. 11 JUSTICE DOHERTY: Thank you, Mr Metzger. 12 Mr Herbst, you have heard our exchange on this 13 matter. It arose in the cross-examination of Mr Bangura on 14 behalf of Kanu. 15 MR HERBST: Your Honour, I have heard it, and I've just been handed the material, but I don't think I have to review it, 16 17 because the bottom line, of course, is not unexpected, certainly 18 by me. 19 I take it, then, that the cross-examination of 20 Mr Bangura is now complete? I wasn't clear on that. 21 JUSTICE DOHERTY: That was going to be my next question to 22 Mr Metzger. But before I ask Mr Metzger that question, I wanted 23 to be assured that you received the documentation and heard what 24 was said. 25 MR HERBST: Yes, your Honour, I did hear it and I have received it, and I will read it. But as I've said, I don't think 26 it's necessary to delay the proceedings for me to read it now. 27 28 The conclusion is obvious.

29 JUSTICE DOHERTY: Thank you, Mr Herbst. I will join with

Mr Metzger in thanking Madam Registrar and her staff who answered
 this so promptly and to help us move on.

Mr Metzger, it follows from this that your cross-examination of Bangura was stood down pending this information. Mr Bangura is still under oath pending the completion of your cross-examination, and I would ask if you want him back in the witness box in the event there are other elements that you have not yet put to him.

9 MR METZGER: Your Honour, no, it seems to me that what 10 Mr Bangura has said - it may be of value to your Honour in due 11 course when we make submissions, but any such value would be of 12 necessity on the periphery of being marginal.

13 What Mr Bangura has said - and your Honour will recall, 14 there's been no cross-examination on matter - is that he was met 15 by someone at the King Harman Road. He believed it to be 16 Mr Herbst. The material before us suggests that it cannot be 17 Mr Herbst, but his evidence on that matter remains unchallenged. 18 It ill behoves me to seek to adduce further evidence about that 19 because I don't believe that your Honour will require any more 20 than that in terms of background material when it comes to 21 submissions as far as Mr Kanu's case is concerned when it relates 22 to Mr Bangura.

23 So I'm content that I do not have any further questions on 24 that topic. And as I indicated to your Honour that my 25 cross-examination was over, yes, Mr Bangura will face no more 26 questions from me.

JUSTICE DOHERTY: Just remind me, Mr Nicol-Wilson,
 re-examination: We did deal with that, didn't we?
 MR NICOL-WILSON: Yes, your Honour, and I have no further

5 September 2012

1 questions in re-examination. 2 JUSTICE DOHERTY: In that case, I intend to release 3 Mr Bangura from his oath, and then he would be able to take full instructions, et cetera, from counsel. 4 5 Mr Bangura, did you understand anything that has been 6 said? Or I will try and explain it simply to you. 7 ACCUSED BANGURA: Yes, your Honour. 8 JUSTICE DOHERTY: There are no more questions for you, 9 Mr Bangura. Therefore, you are no longer under oath, and 10 therefore you are at liberty to speak to your lawyer or others 11 Do you understand me? about what you said. 12 ACCUSED BANGURA: Yes, my Lord. Thank you. Mr Serry-Kamal, other counsel 13 JUSTICE DOHERTY: 14 will let you know, no doubt, what's happened. Basically, Madam 15 Registrar has given us a response concerning Mr Herbst. And counsel for Kanu, Mr Metzger, has indicated he will not be 16 pursuing any further cross-examination of Mr Bangura and 17 18 Mr Bangura has been released from his oath. 19 I also outlined to counsel elements in the submissions, but 20 they can be made readily available for you during the break. 21 MR SERRY-KAMAL: Thank you, your Honour. Sorry I'm late. 22 JUSTICE DOHERTY: Now, your witness is conspicuously 23 absent, Mr Serry-Kamal. Do you know where he is so we can 24 recommence? 25 MR SERRY-KAMAL: Your Honour, I warned him yesterday to be 26 here at 9 o'clock. 27 [Microphone not activated]. MR METZGER: 28 MR NICOL-WILSON: Your Honour, I saw him outside at 8.30 29 this morning when I came. He's outside.

1 JUSTICE DOHERTY: That's very good. Now, shall I ask 2 Mr Court Officer to find him or - because he's under oath and I 3 have to be cautious. MR SERRY-KAMAL: I've sent somebody to call him. 4 5 JUSTICE DOHERTY: Fine, Mr Serry-Kamal. 6 I don't know who has got the musical instrument in Court, 7 but I would be grateful if they would turn it off. 8 MR METZGER: It's just one of my pieces of equipment that 9 requires recharging, and as I can't get it to the plug quick 10 enough, it's warning me. Hopefully now that it is receiving 11 power, it will stop doing that. 12 And whilst we're waiting to start, I shall try to arrange 13 myself so that I'm not in a constant state of dishabille in front 14 of your Honour. 15 JUSTICE DOHERTY: Yes, you're lucky you're not in Northern 16 I rel and. 17 Just to advise Kigali, the witness is being brought. 18 He is in the Court precincts. 19 While we're waiting, Mr Nicol-Wilson, have you had a chance 20 to - in the restricted impositions put on you - been able to 21 discuss with your client other witnesses? 22 MR NICOL-WILSON: No, your Honour, I will do so during the 23 first break this morning. 24 JUSTICE DOHERTY: Very good. Mr Court Officer, what's 25 happened to this witness? We've been waiting quite a while now. [In Kigali] Madam, I have just called 26 THE COURT OFFICER: security and they tell me that the witness has entered and he's 27 28 on his way to the Court. 29 JUSTICE DOHERTY: Perhaps I could use this period when

1 we're waiting doing nothing, Mr Metzger, Mr Herbst, to ask if you 2 have identified the pages of the diaries that are to be tendered. In your absence, Mr Metzger, there was a request by your client 3 to have the diaries returned to him. I said that I would wish to 4 5 entertain that in your presence. Have they been identified? 6 MR METZGER: It was my understanding, your Honour, that 7 Mr Herbst would indicate the pages that he wanted adduced, and 8 perhaps therefore I haven't liaised with him, anticipating that 9 he would communicate with me the pages that he wanted to refer 10 to.

11 I do have a list of pages of the diary that were used 12 extensively by Mr Herbst, which, it seems to me, that we couldn't 13 possibly object to being adduced as evidence or tendered as 14 exhibits if that were the Prosecution's intention. I am content 15 to give those pages to your Honour or to my learned friend. 16 Unfortunately, it's in my particular duck scrawl, so it would be 17 better if I were to read those pages and remind your Honour and my learned friend of the relevant evidence if required. 18

JUSTICE DOHERTY: Well, what I will do is if it can be scanned and sent to Kigali in a legible manner, I will ask Mr Court Officer to do that to allow Mr Herbst to look at them and address it in a break. If it can't be done, well, we'll just have to read them out loud. As the witness is here, I'll proceed with his evidence.

25 MR METZGER: I'm content to give my note to the Court 26 Officer. Unencumbered as I am by assistants, it means that to 27 put it in any other form - legible form would require my request 28 of someone else to do it in the next break. So I'm quite happy 29 to hand this to Mr Alpha and for him to scan and send it off to

5 September 2012

1 Kigali, and if they can read it, then I have done better than I 2 But may I have that back at the end, please. usually do. JUSTICE DOHERTY: Mr Herbst, you've heard what was said, 3 If you cannot read this handwritten note when it comes 4 I'm sure. 5 up, we'll address the matter. But in the meantime, the witness 6 has come to the witness box. I'll going to remind him of his oath, and we will proceed with cross-examination. 7 8 Good morning, Mr Kamara. 9 THE WITNESS: Good morning, Ma'am. 10 JUSTICE DOHERTY: Mr Kamara, I want to remind you that 11 yesterday you took the oath to tell the truth. The oath is still 12 binding on you, and you should answer questions truthfully. Do 13 you understand me? 14 THE WITNESS: Yes, Ma'am. 15 JUSTICE DOHERTY: Very good. Please proceed, Mr Herbst. MR SERRY-KAMAL: Your Honour, before Mr Herbst proceeds, I 16 17 want to make an observation. I believe Mr Herbst is 18 cross-examining the witness on an exhibit which is before the 19 Court. I would have thought that in fairness to the witness, he 20 will make the MTN logs available to the witness so that he will 21 be seeing what telephone number he's referring to in his 22 cross-examination. JUSTICE DOHERTY: 23 But we had them before him yesterday. 24 MR SERRY-KAMAL: I don't believe they were before him. I 25 do not believe they were before him yesterday. 26 JUSTICE DOHERTY: [Microphone not activated]. 27 MR SERRY-KAMAL: They were not before him yesterday. He 28 was being cross-examined in vacu about telephone numbers. 29 JUSTICE DOHERTY: Mr Court Officer has reminded me they

1 were before another one.

2 MR SERRY-KAMAL: Not this one. JUSTICE DOHERTY: No. 3 MR SERRY-KAMAL: And he gave a particular number which he 4 5 said was his number, and the number quoted on the 19th, we do not 6 know whether it was his number. 7 JUSTICE DOHERTY: That's all right, Mr Serry-Kamal. 111 8 direct they are put in front of the witness right now because the 9 witness can see them. They are an exhibit of the Court. They 10 are not a private document. 11 MR SERRY-KAMAL: As your Honour pleases. 12 JUSTICE DOHERTY: So they will be put in front of the 13 witness right this minute. 14 MR SERRY-KAMAL: Thank you, your Honour. 15 JUSTICE DOHERTY: We'll have them before the witness prior to any further questions. 16 17 HAMID KEH KAMARA, 18 CONTINUING CROSS-EXAMINATION BY 19 MR HERBST: 20 MR HERBST: Your Honour --21 JUSTICE DOHERTY: Mr Herbst, the exhibit is in front of the 22 witness now. Yes, your Honour, I had actually concluded that 23 MR HERBST: 24 area of cross-examination, but I'm happy to go back and direct 25 the witness to one of the pages with respect to that phone 26 number. 27 JUSTICE DOHERTY: Very well. We'll limit it to that and 28 allow him to read it. What page of the exhibit is it, in case he 29 requires assistance in identifying it?

| 1 | MR HERBST: Yes, I think the Court Officer would be |
|----|--|
| 2 | helpful. It's page 25 of 39. If the Court Officer could show |
| 3 | the witness that page. |
| 4 | Your Honour, I'm unable to see the witness. |
| 5 | JUSTICE DOHERTY: I would ask the video link to ensure the |
| 6 | witness's face and profile are displayed in order for independent |
| 7 | counsel to see him. |
| 8 | THE COURT OFFICER: Your Honour, may he kindly call the |
| 9 | page number again? |
| 10 | JUSTICE DOHERTY: [Microphone not activated]. Mr Herbst, |
| 11 | the witness has the page before him. Please proceed. |
| 12 | MR HERBST: Okay. |
| 13 | Q. Can you hear me? |
| 14 | A. Yes. |
| 15 | Q. On the page before you, about - more than three quarters of |
| 16 | the way down the page, you will see two phone numbers in the |
| 17 | second column in succession. The numbers end in 395, with the |
| 18 | assistance of the Court Officer and you. The numbers are in full |
| 19 | 23276337395, and the first call is on 11.19 at 4.01.15 p.m., if |
| 20 | that helps the Court Officer identify where it is for you. |
| 21 | Mr Witness, do you see the number 23276337395? |
| 22 | A. Yes, I've seen the number. |
| 23 | Q. Now, there's been evidence in this Court that that number |
| 24 | was yours at the time, so ${\sf I}$ ask you was that number yours at the |
| 25 | time? |
| 26 | A. No, it's not my number. |
| 27 | MR HERBST: Thank you, your Honour. That's all I intended |
| 28 | to do with that witness. I'll move to my final area of |
| 29 | cross-examination, if that's all right. |

1 JUSTICE DOHERTY: Yes, please proceed, Mr Herbst. 2 MR HERBST: 3 Q. Mr Witness, I heard you mention the Wilberforce Barracks in your direct testimony; is that right? 4 5 Α. I do not understand what you mean. 6 JUSTICE DOHERTY: You told the Court yesterday -7 MR HERBST: In your testimony [overlapping speakers]. 8 JUSTICE DOHERTY: Proceed, Mr Herbst. 9 MR HERBST: 10 I thought you told the Court yesterday, Mr Witness, that 0. 11 you were familiar with the Wilberforce Barracks; yes or no? 12 Α I'm familiar to Wilberforce Barracks. I was a soldier. I 13 was not born there, but I have a woman in the Wilberforce Village 14 at Lumley Road. 15 MR HERBST: Your Honour, I'm afraid we're afflicted with the same problem we had yesterday. I wonder if I could hear the 16 17 answer. 18 JUSTICE DOHERTY: I am familiar. I am familiar with 19 Wilberforce Barracks. I was a soldier. I was not born there, 20 but I have a woman at Wilberforce at Lumley Road. 21 MR HERBST: 22 Would you agree with me that in the years 2009 and 2010 and Q. 23 2011, you were present in the area of the Wilberforce Barracks 24 with some frequency? 25 Α. No, I was not frequently in the barracks. I was in the 26 village, because I am a taxi driver. After working, I normally 27 go there at Bottom Mango. It is different from the barracks. 28 Q. I'm not asking you about the barracks per se, Mr Witness. 29 I'm asking you about the area near and around the barracks?

1 Α. Wilberforce Village. 2 Now, Mr Witness, do you know a man by the name of Q. Yes. 3 Leather Boot - his alias is Leather Boot? I know two Leather Boots: The one is in Aberdeen, and the 4 Α. 5 other is in Wilberforce. I don't know which one you're referring 6 to. What is the name - the real name of the Leather Boot from 7 Q. Wilberforce? 8 9 Α. I've only heard about Leather Boot. 10 I'm sorry, your Honour. MR HERBST: 11 JUSTICE DOHERTY: I have only heard of Leather Boot. 12 MR HERBST: 13 Q. Are you familiar with the name Idriss Kamara, also known as 14 Leather Boot? 15 Α. I only hear about Leather Boot. 16 MR HERBST: Did he say he always hears about Leather Boot? 17 JUSTICE DOHERTY: No, "I only hear about Leather Boot." 18 Mr Herbst, there were two persons with similar names, Idriss 19 Kamara and Idrissa Kamara. So we'll have to be careful here many 20 but you're referring to Idriss Kamara. 21 MR HERBST: 22 Yes, I'm referring, Mr witness, to the Leather Boot who was Q. 23 the former soldier? Do you know him? 24 Α. Yes, I know the Leather Boot who was a soldier. 25 0. And is he a friend of yours? 26 Yes, he is my comrade. He was my comrade in the army. Α. 27 0. Now, are you familiar with a bar in the area of the 28 Wilberforce Village or the Wilberforce Barracks that is owned by

29 a sister of this Leather Boot?

1 I used to pass there, but that was a long time now. Α. When 2 I'm working my taxi, I usually pass there. 3 Mr Witness, you're familiar with the bar that's known as Q. the dinking bar [sic], are you not, sir? 4 5 I do not understand. Α. 6 Q. You're familiar with a bar near the entrance to the 7 Wilberforce Barracks that is known as dinking bar [sic], correct? 8 Α. I am a taxi driver. I'm not familiar with the bar. I run 9 my transport except that when I'm tired, I will park my car there 10 and take one or two cold drinks and leave. 11 MR HERBST: Your Honour, I heard the witness say that he -12 when he's tired he takes one or two cold drinks, but I couldn't 13 quite make out the rest [overlapping speakers]. 14 JUSTICE DOHERTY: The witness said: I am a taxi driver. 15 I'm not familiar with it, except when I am tired, I park there and take one or two cold drinks and leave. 16 17 MR HERBST: 18 0. So Mr Witness, you have been at that bar, correct? 19 Α. Yes. 20 And you know that the bar is owned by Leather Boot's Q. 21 sister, correct? 22 Α. Yes. 23 0. Now, I put it to you, Mr Witness, that you were in that bar 24 with Mr Bangura, also known as Bomb Blast, and Mr Kamara, also 25 known as Leather Boot, when the idea came up to talk to some 26 Prosecution witnesses to recant their testimonies; correct? 27 Α. No, it's not correct. Negative. 28 Q. And I put it to you, Mr Witness, that there were other 29 former comrades of yours present at the bar when this happened

2

and that it came up when the convicts in Rwanda called you - I'm sorry, called Bomb Blast on his telephone --

3 MR METZGER: Your Honour, I object to that question. It's 4 far too general. He's used the term convict. And as my lay 5 client is one of the convicts in Rwanda, I would like for him to 6 particularise his question, so that no one is led into confusion. 7 JUSTICE DOHERTY: Mr Herbst --

8 MR HERBST: Your Honour, may I reply?

9 JUSTICE DOHERTY: Yes, please reply.

10 MR HERBST: Your Honour, the information I have does not 11 specify which. It suggests that the convicts plural were on the 12 telephone. The information is the convicts in Rwanda who called. 13 So I cannot identify the specific person. That is why I put the 14 question the way I did.

15 MR METZGER: I'm grateful, your Honour. Considering that I have had the opportunity, although I wasn't in Court, of hearing 16 17 Mr Bangura give evidence and did not necessarily hear that matter being put, I wonder if this is a question that falls under Rule 18 19 66, which means that the Prosecution are obliged to disclose it 20 to us, as there is a continuing duty of disclosure if this is not 21 yet something that has been disclosed to the Defence - and I did 22 pull 66 off the top of my head, but I think that there is a 23 specific duty of disclosure on the Prosecution.

MR NICOL-WILSON: Your Honour, I support the objection by Mr Metzger, and I will further add that Mr Herbst had an opportunity to put this last question to Mr Bangura, but he did not, and he cannot now ask this witness to verify an alleged phone call that Mr Bangura received. The appropriate person to have answered that question is Mr Bangura himself, not this 1 witness.

2 MR SERRY-KAMAL: And I would most respectfully --

3 MR HERBST: Your Honour --

4 JUSTICE DOHERTY: Just a minute, Mr Herbst. Mr Serry-Kamal 5 is addressing.

6 MR SERRY-KAMAL: I would also associate myself with the 7 points raised, particularly as Mr Herbst had the opportunity of 8 cross-examining Mr Kamara. He cross-examined him for a whole 9 week in Kigali, and there was no mention of what he now seeks to 10 put to this witness, and he's talking about convicts in Rwanda. 11 As far as convicts from Rwanda are concerned, there are only two 12 of them who are subject to the jurisdiction of this Court at the 13 moment.

JUSTICE DOHERTY: Mr Herbst, there's been two other objections: One on the grounds of Rule 66, and the other is basically the rule in Brown v. Dunne.

MR HERBST: Your Honour, first of all, the information came
to my attention after the cross-examination of Mr Kamara was
completed. The information came to my attention on 3 September,
number 1.

Number 2, I did ask Mr Bangura if he had ever discussed this scheme with the witness Keh-For-Keh, either alone or with others, and he said no. So in effect, it has been put to Mr Bangura and with respect to the issue of whether this is Rule 66 information, it's not clear to me. It seems to me that this is more Rule 70 information, and I've been sorting that out ever since I received the information on - two days ago.

Therefore, I think it's appropriate cross-examination for this witness, and I think any further conversation about how the

1 information or what the source of the information is should not 2 be conducted in open session, but I don't think this is the 3 appropriate time to do this. I think this is the appropriate time to put the questions to the witness. He has denied it, and 4 5 now I'm putting it in detail to him, as I am now authorised to 6 put it in detail to him, which I was not before. 7 JUSTICE DOHERTY: Mr Metzger, you've had a reply. I'm not 8 giving you another one. 9 MR METZGER: No, it's my objection. It was my objection 10 supported by my learned friends. He's replied. I have the right 11 to respond. 12 JUSTICE DOHERTY: You don't really. Not in this Court. I'm the only Judge that indulges points of law. 13 14 MR METZGER: Indeed. 15 JUSTICE DOHERTY: So points of law only. MR METZGER: Points of Law. And the point --16 JUSTICE DOHERTY: Contrary to some of my learned 17 18 colleagues, I would add. 19 MR METZGER: Your Honour is as always most kind and I'm 20 grateful for that. But Rule 66 and Rule 70 has been raised by 21 the Prosecutor. The latter statement by the Prosecution in 22 relation to, "I have only just been authorised," is a matter 23 which is germane to being part of the secret society. I know 24 what he said, but I don't know what it means. 25 As far as Rule 66 is concerned, the Prosecution has a 26 continuing duty of disclosure in relation to material that is 27 pertinent to this case. It is even more pertinent where it is 28 not something that was within the Prosecution's pre-trial brief, 29 not something that the Prosecution has put throughout these

1 matters.

2 Now, if it turns out that the Prosecution is 3 interviewing or having witnesses interviewed on his behalf to assist with cross-examination, then Rule 66 means that that 4 5 should be turned over to the Defence at the earliest opportunity. 6 If the Prosecutor is saying that he has an independent or some other form of investigator who is making notes and feeding him 7 8 material as this matter is going on, then that fact itself should 9 be disclosed to the Defence before he can claim that he has Rule 10 70 material.

11 If he has Rule 70 material, as I read the Rules, the 12 Prosecution must inform the Court that he has Rule 70 materials 13 so that your Honour can know, in case he wants to make an 14 application ex parte, so that he can be then authorised by a 15 proper - a fit and proper person, and in this case that would be 16 your Honour, as to what to do about disclosure. None of that has 17 In this particular case, therefore, it is only by happened. 18 assessing the questions that my learned friend has asked over 19 time and looking for - because your Honour has kindly told us 20 that you will be considering the rule in Brown v. Dunne - that 21 one is left with the only conclusion one can come to, That there 22 are continuing investigations coming as the case is going on. 23 And if there are, are there witnesses - putative witnesses who 24 the Prosecution even think they might want to call in rebuttal? 25 Are there witnesses who the Prosecution don't want to call in 26 rebuttal but simply want to put the material to the witness in 27 the box?

But this does, in my respectful submission - and this is the last thing I want to say about it - rather give a Special Court for Sierra Leone

2290

1 different shade, colour, or tincture to the Prosecution's

assertion that he has conducted open-file disclosure as early aslast year in this case.

4 It is in those circumstances that I have responded to 5 that [indiscernible].

6 JUSTICE DOHERTY: Allow me to consider this. There's 7 objections to the question that has just been put to the witness 8 on basically two grounds. On the first question is whether this 9 particular line of cross-examination - or this specific line of 10 question in cross-examination was put to other accused, is, in my 11 view, a matter for submission at the end of the day.

I observe, but I make neither a finding, a ruling, or anything other than an observation, that questions were put to witnesses for the Defence concerning conversations that took place relating to Prosecution evidence adduced in the AFRC trial. So that is a matter for submission.

In relation to Rule 66 objections, I note that Rule
66A(ii) imposes on the Prosecutor a duty to continuously disclose
to the Defence copies of the statements of all additional
Prosecution witnesses whom the Prosecution intends to call to
testify.

22 As the Prosecution case has closed, additional witnesses 23 could only be in rebuttal with leave of the Court pursuant to 24 Rule 85. However, I consider that that provision and the right 25 to call - not the right to call leave - rebuttal evidence, but 26 the provision concerning rebuttal evidence, I consider that, plus 27 the spirit of the Rule 866 disclosure, mitigates against this 28 question, and therefore for that reason I do not allow it. 29 Mr Herbst, please proceed. Mr Herbst, did you hear me?

1 It appears the light is on, your Honour, but MR METZGER: 2 no one appears to be there. That is to say, he's there, but we 3 can't hear him. Your Honour, can you hear me? 4 MR HERBST: 5 I can hear you now, Mr Herbst, yes. JUSTICE DOHERTY: Di d you hear me? 6 7 Yes, I did, and I had started to make an MR HERBST: 8 application in light of your Honour's ruling. 9 JUSTICE DOHERTY: Uh-huh. 10 MR HERBST: Because in light of it I think that at this 11 point we ought to go into closed session in the absence of the 12 witness so I can more fully explain the circumstances. For 13 example, I did not yet have a witness statement for this putative 14 witness. I'm expecting to have one sometime during the day, but 15 I was going to raise - my understanding was that I would put the questions to this witness, finish my cross-examination of him, 16 17 and then make an application to the Court with respect to a 18 rebuttal case after the Defence case had finished. 19 So I think at this point - because all of my further 20 questions to this witness relate to what happened at the bar and 21 what happened with this witness outside of the Court on the day 22 he appeared. 23 So my application now is to go into closed session, 24 more fully explain the circumstances so that your Honour 25 understands the circumstances. 26 JUSTICE DOHERTY: Well, Mr Herbst, I've made a ruling and I 27 don't think it's appropriate to go behind the ruling and re-open 28 the case. So for that reason, I'm not going to allow this. If 29 you have other questions to put to him concerning the meeting in

1 the bar or issues that arose prior to him coming to Court, then I 2 will certainly listen to them and I will rule on them one by one. 3 MR HERBST: I understand. I understand then, your Honour. Let me proceed. 4 5 JUSTICE DOHERTY: Please do so. 6 MR HERBST: 7 Now, Mr Witness, the last answer you gave last night in Q. 8 cross-examination was that if you yourself had approached 334, 9 you would have been involving yourself because you were a Defence 10 witness to defend the AFRC case, and you said you could not 11 involve yourself in such things to talk to a Prosecution witness. 12 My question to you is: Isn't that precisely what you said to the 13 man in the bar on this occasion when you discussed --14 MR METZGER: Your Honour, I object on the same ground as 15 before. 16 MR HERBST: Let me finish the question. 17 JUSTICE DOHERTY: Let counsel finish his question. 18 MR METZGER: I'm sorry. 19 MR HERBST: 20 Isn't this precisely what you said to the man in the bar Q. 21 when the idea arose to approach Prosecution witnesses like 334 to 22 recant their evidence? MR METZGER: 23 Your Honour, I object to the question on the 24 same grounds as before. I thought that by this session we knew 25 what the Prosecution case was against our clients. It seems as 26 if Mr Herbst wants to bring a completely novel case, one which 27 has not been closed to the Defence that now involves an 28 unindicted person who is a witness in this case.

29 Now, I know your Honour doesn't like the use of the word

1 "ambush" so I shan't use it. But it does appear as if one is 2 attending a magic show and the magician, in this case Mr Herbst, 3 is suddenly reaching into his sleeve and pulling out things that 4 are in fact larger than rabbits. In those circumstances, he has 5 put a very specific question on the basis of a witness statement 6 he expects to get which he has not disclosed to the Defence. 7 Respectfully, it is objectionable and he should not be allowed to 8 put it.

9 JUSTICE DOHERTY: I am going to allow this question.10 Mr Serry-Kamal.

11 MR SERRY-KAMAL: Your Honour, my objection is that my 12 learned friend seems to be going - trying to go around Your 13 Lordship's ruling. Your Lordship has said any matter relating to 14 evidence about the bar has not been properly disclosed to 15 Defence, as Mr Herbst is obliged by the Rules to do, and therefore he should wait until he has properly done so before he 16 can ask any further questions on this. And now he's going back 17 18 to ask questions on what happened in the bar. I think that is 19 precisely what Your Lordship ruled against.

20 JUSTICE DOHERTY: I didn't actually say he's excluded on 21 the bar --

22 MR HERBST: Your Honour --

JUSTICE DOHERTY: Just a minute, Mr Herbst, I'm trying to
say something.

25 MR HERBST: I'm sorry.

JUSTICE DOHERTY: I didn't say he's excluded on the bar in full. I said he's excluded on putting evidence that hasn't been disclosed, and this question that he's putting now relates to something this witness said. What I will do is not to limit it 1 to the bar.

| • | |
|----|---|
| 2 | Mr Herbst, this is what the witness said. I recall him |
| 3 | saying it very clearly. Mr Herbst, you will have to put your |
| 4 | questions challenging the statements of this witness in more |
| 5 | general terms to overcome any disclosure Rules that have not been |
| 6 | observed under Rule 66. He said it. He can be challenged upon |
| 7 | it. |
| 8 | MR HERBST: Let me put the question. |
| 9 | Q. Mr Witness, in November 2010, didn't you tell your former |
| 10 | comrades precisely what you said in response to the last question |
| 11 | yesterday - and by that I mean that you cannot involve yourself |
| 12 | in contacting 334 or other key Prosecution witnesses, because you |
| 13 | were a Defence witness in the AFRC trial and if you did it, you |
| 14 | would go to gaol? |
| 15 | MR METZGER: Your Honour, I have the same objection. The |
| 16 | question is far from general, and I put it in those terms. |
| 17 | MR HERBST: Your Honour, I wonder if I might reply briefly? |
| 18 | JUSTICE DOHERTY: Yes, please do. |
| 19 | MR HERBST: The question is as general as one can put it, |
| 20 | number 1. Number 2, this witness was present at the birth of |
| 21 | this conspiracy that we are |
| 22 | JUSTICE DOHERTY: He's not indicted. |
| 23 | MR HERBST: [Indiscernible] about. |
| 24 | JUSTICE DOHERTY: This is a whole new ball game. |
| 25 | MR HERBST: I understand he is not indicted. But the |
| 26 | evidence only came to the attention of the independent counsel |
| 27 | two days ago. There was substantial issues to iron out before |
| 28 | one could even broach the subject. Having ironed out those |
| 29 | issues, I am broaching the subject at the first available |
| | |

opportunity. And if we go into closed session with the witness
absent, I think I can satisfy the Court of that and explain more
fully. But in the absence of so doing, my only reply to this
particular question - objection to this question is that it is a
general question and complies with your Honour's dictates.

6 MR SERRY-KAMAL: May it please your Honour, I have to -7 just be on record. Your Honour, we informed Mr Herbst even when 8 we were in Rwanda that we'll be calling Keh-For-Keh. And if he had this information, he ought by the Rules to have disclosed 9 10 whatever case he had awaiting Mr Keh's arrival in the witness 11 But he hasn't done so and he's now trying to - I don't stand. 12 want to refer to certain - but he's shifting the goalposts as he 13 goes along, and that is not what these Rules require. He's under 14 a mandatory obligation to disclose his case to the Defence all 15 the time, and he has not done that.

MR NICOL-WILSON: Your Honour, I also wish to indicate
that --

18 MR HERBST: Your Honour, I wonder if I --

19 MR NICOL-WILSON: -- Mr Herbst's intention to --20 JUSTICE DOHERTY: Mr Herbst, counsel is addressing. 21 MR NICOL-WILSON: Mr Herbst has stated that he wanted the 22 Defence case to close and then come in with an application for 23 rebuttal evidence. I wish to indicate that I will be putting a 24 very strong objection to whatever rebuttal evidence he will be 25 seeking to apply for, because this is a very, very serious 26 My client was in the box yesterday and he got this matter. 27 information two days ago. He ought to have put those questions 28 to my client so that I can cover those matters during my re-examination. And now that the client is out of the witness 29

5 September 2012

box, he's coming with potential evidence that might implicate him
further in this matter. So I want to indicate to Mr Herbst now
that I will be objecting to that rebuttal evidence.

4 MR HERBST: Your Honour, may I reply briefly to those two 5 comments?

6

JUSTICE DOHERTY: You may, but brief.

First of all, I'm not sure Mr Serry-Kamal heard 7 MR HERBST: 8 me say that the information from the confidential source came to 9 my attention only on 3 September, two days ago. It was - it also 10 came to my attention that Rule 70 was implicated, which states 11 that notwithstanding the provisions of Rule 66, information is 12 not - of this sort is not subject to disclosure except under 13 certain circumstances that are then laid out in the rest of Rule 14 70.

Today, I respectfully submit, is the first time that I could make a disclosure to the Court and counsel, and I can only do it under certain circumstances that I can only lay out in the closed session. That's my dilemma, and I will abide by whatever Rules on this, of course. But it seems to me if one peruses Rule 70, your Honour will - may understand what I'm suggesting.

21 But I want to add that there has been no delay, as I 22 understand the Rules and the procedure and my obligations, and 23 this is not an ambush. I only got this information two days ago. 24 It was brought to my attention two days ago, and there are thorny 25 issues that had to be worked out. So there's no delay. 26 Thank you very much for permitting me to reply. 27 JUSTICE DOHERTY: It's okay. Let me think about this. 28 This is a further ruling on a series of questions and

29 objections thereto.

1 The issues of prior overtures, I will call them, 2 [indiscernible] phone calls to persons other than the co-accused 3 in this trial have been consistently put in cross-examination to 4 each of the three accused who gave evidence. That is quite clear 5 to me.

6 But I consider too that the Article 17 rights of any 7 accused mean that an accused must know the case that he has to 8 meet.

Now at this juncture, all after the accused have given
evidence, and evidence has been put to them, the evidence they
gave addressed the case that was put to them; in other words, the
case they had to meet. I do not consider it fair to raise new
issues at this juncture.

14 There have been numerous questions about calls to 15 this witness and about the content of those calls and whether they involved some planning and/or fundraising. That was put to 16 17 accused witnesses. Therefore, it will follow, in my view, that 18 this witness may be asked in cross-examination on those points 19 put to accused witnesses. He may also be asked if what he has 20 said in this Court under oath was a repetition of what he said 21 outside Court because he himself made this statement. But other 22 matters, there must be notice. There must be disclosure of new 23 evidence if it is to be brought before the Court.

I further consider that the provisions of Rule 90F charge me with the duty to, and I quote, "Make the interrogation and presentation effective for the ascertainment of the truth and avoid the wasting of time."

I have said I will allow a question to be asked ingeneral terms of this witness whether the statement he made in

Court was made elsewhere and to allow him to be challenged in
 that way, including that aspect. So I will allow that one
 guestion.

4 I will not allow a line of questioning that I do not
5 consider assists me in accordance with the provisions of Rule
6 70F(i) and (ii). That question may be put but I am not allowing
7 a lot of more extraneous implicated evidence.

8 Both counsel are arising to their feet.
9 MR METZGER: I'm in your Honour's hands as to who you want
10 to hear from first.

JUSTICE DOHERTY: I don't really want to hear from anybody. I want to hear from Mr Herbst and the witness, that's who I want to hear from.

14 MR METZGER: I'm most disappointed. I rise because as I 15 say out of an abundance of caution, in view of what Mr Herbst had 16 said, in predicating one of his last few questions about this 17 witness being involved in the conspiracy from the start to flag up Rule 42. He's either a suspect or he's a witness of whom 18 19 Mr Herbst is asking questions. If he's a suspect and Mr Herbst 20 is, as it were, asking questions that amount to an investigation 21 of his role in a conspiracy, there are certain rights that he has 22 under Rule 42, including a right to legal assistance --

JUSTICE DOHERTY: Mr Metzger, I know Rule 42, and I would add that I have given some thought to Rule 70E. This is not your witness.

MR METZGER: As I said, I rose ex abundanti cautela.
JUSTICE DOHERTY: You know I hate Latin [indiscernible].
MR METZGER: Out of an abundance of caution.
MR SERRY-KAMAL: Your Honour, that was precisely the point

I wanted to raise. In fact, I was going to look at the Sierra
 Leone Constitution which guarantees him - which gives him
 fundamental right to remain silent if there is any question
 tending incriminate him. In America, it's called The Fifth
 Amendment. You plead The Fifth. I refuse to answer. Rule 42
 maintains that also.

JUSTICE DOHERTY: I've already pointed counsel out to Rule90.

9 MR SERRY-KAMAL: My learned friend started out saying he
10 had heard of the conspiracy, and there was no such disclosure in
11 his disclosure statement.

JUSTICE DOHERTY: I've already noted that the questions put - I have outlined, I recall, and I'm allowing this one question, which I say is in general terms because this is a statement the witness made. But I am not allowing conspiracy theories to be put - no, I withdraw that ex tempore remark.

17 Put your question, Mr Herbst.

18 MR HERBST:

Q. Mr Witness, haven't you said precisely what you said in
Court yesterday to others; that is, that you cannot involve
yourself in approaching 334 to get him to recant his testimony
because you were a Defence witness to defend - you're a Defence
witness in the AFRC case?

JUSTICE DOHERTY: Mr Witness, did you hear the question that counsel --

26 THE WITNESS: Yes, I heard the question. I was a witness 27 for the AFRC. A Defence witness.

28 JUSTICE DOHERTY: Mr Witness, that does not --

29 MR HERBST: Your Honour [Overlapping speakers].

5 September 2012

1 JUSTICE DOHERTY: Mr Witness, that does not answer the 2 question that the counsel has asked you. You said yesterday that you were a Defence witness in the AFRC, and therefore that would 3 be one reason why you would not involve yourself talking to 4 5 Prosecution witnesses. You said that. I heard it. 6 THE WITNESS: Yes, Ma'am. 7 JUSTICE DOHERTY: Now, the question is: Did you say that 8 same remark before you came to Court to other people? 9 THE WITNESS: No. No. 10 MR HERBST: Your Honour, I'll just ask one more question. 11 JUSTICE DOHERTY: Certainly, Mr Herbst. 12 MR HERBST: 13 Isn't it true, Mr Witness, that Mr Bangura, Bomb Blast, and 0. 14 Samuel Kargbo, Sammy Ragga, selected to approach 334 precisely 15 because they had not been Defence witnesses at the AFRC trial? 16 MR NICOL-WILSON: Your Honour, I have an objection. JUSTICE DOHERTY: Yes, Mr Nicol-Wilson. 17 18 MR NICOL-WILSON: That question is asking the witness to 19 give an opinion which is completely unfair, because Mr Herbst is 20 now saying that Mr Bangura, in particular, whom I represent, 21 selected to approach 334 because he had not been a Defence 22 witness, and he wants the witness to comment on that. I think 23 it's an unfair question because it seeks the witness's opinion on 24 a very, very contentious issue. 25 JUSTICE DOHERTY: I consider that this question. 26 MR HERBST: Your Honour, this is cross-examination. 27 JUSTICE DOHERTY: [Overlapping speakers] I consider that 28 this question arises from the evidence that the witness himself 29 has given. It is cross-examination. I allow the question.

1 Please put the question again.

2 MR HERBST:

3 Q. Mr Witness?

4 A. Yes, Ma'am.

Q. Isn't it true that Bomb Blast and Sammy Ragga were selected
to approach 334 precisely because they had not been Defence
witnesses at the AFRC trial?

8 MR METZGER: Your Honour, I object to that question.
9 Because I don't know who it is on the ground of its vagueness.

10 Mr Herbst, I'm sorry, I have to object.

11 It seems to me he is saying they were selected. By 12 whom is it suggested? If there is a basis and that basis is the 13 very information which he told us about earlier that comes from 14 3rd of September, as we are told, then clearly that goes again -15 it is an effort to worm behind your Honour's ruling. He may ask 16 questions, as your Honour has said, arising from answers that 17 this witness had given. But 334 and Bangura were selected -18 presupposes that there was a selection process involving either 19 this witness or the --

20 THE COURT OFFICER: Your Honour, the witness wants to be 21 excused to use the washroom.

JUSTICE DOHERTY: Yes. He should be escorted because he is under oath. If one of our WVS people is present, they should go with him.

25 [Witness Leaves courtroom]
26 JUSTICE DOHERTY: Yes, Mr Metzger, I've noted what you
27 said.
28 MD METZCED: I think that is it I continue to fight w

28 MR METZGER: I think that is it. I continue to fight with29 my clothing.

1 JUSTICE DOHERTY: Mr Serry-Kamal. 2 MR SERRY-KAMAL: [Indiscernible]. JUSTICE DOHERTY: Yes, Mr Serry-Kamal. Sorry, I thought 3 Mr Serry-Kamal was going to make a submission, but that's not 4 5 correct. I note --6 MR METZGER: He asked to be excused, your Honour. 7 JUSTICE DOHERTY: I note the appearance of the Principal 8 Defender Mrs Carlton-Hanciles. Welcome to the Court. Your response, Mr Herbst? Mr Herbst, I don't hear 9 10 you. 11 MR HERBST: Can you hear me now, your Honour? 12 JUSTICE DOHERTY: Yes, I can hear you clearly. If my earlier expression of exasperation was 13 MR HERBST: 14 heard, despite the fact that my microphone was off, I request the 15 forgiveness of the Court. 16 JUSTICE DOHERTY: I didn't hear it. We won't worry about 17 it. 18 MR HERBST: Thank you. This question is as general as I 19 could put it and arose precisely out of the previous question. 20 And I think it's appropriate on cross-examination, your Honour. 21 I won't say more at this point. 22 [Witness returns to courtroom] 23 JUSTICE DOHERTY: The accused Bangura and the defendant 24 Kargbo are on trial because it is alleged they approached a 25 witness 334. There could have been a reason why it was them that 26 did this or allegedly did it. The witness has given some 27 explanation of why he would not become involved in any of the 28 alleged activities, and on that basis, I allow the question. 29 MR HERBST: Is the witness back, your Honour?

| 1 | - | JUSTICE DOHERTY: He is indeed. He's back in the witness |
|----|----------|---|
| 2 | box. | |
| 3 | Ν | MR HERBST: |
| 4 | Q. / | All right. Mr Keh-For-Keh, one last time. |
| 5 | A. Y | Yes, Ma'am. |
| 6 | Q. I | Isn't it true that Bomb Blast and Sammy Ragga were selected |
| 7 | to appr | roach 334 precisely because they had not been Defence |
| 8 | wi tness | ses at the AFRC trial? |
| 9 | A. I | I don't have any idea about that. |
| 10 | Ν | MR HERBST: Your Honour, I think the witness says he didn't |
| 11 | know at | bout that. Am I correct? |
| 12 | | JUSTICE DOHERTY: No, he said, "I do not have any idea |
| 13 | about 1 | that." |
| 14 | Ν | MR HERBST: |
| 15 | Q. V | Nell, Mr Witness |
| 16 | A. Y | Yes, Ma'am. |
| 17 | Q | haven't you told others that Bomb Blast and Sammy Ragga |
| 18 | were se | elected to approach 334 because they had not been Defence |
| 19 | wi tness | ses. I don't have any idea about that. I've never heard |
| 20 | such ta | alks, in fact. |
| 21 | Ν | MR HERBST: May I have that answer, your Honour. |
| 22 | - | JUSTICE DOHERTY: I have no idea about that. I have not |
| 23 | heard s | such talks as that. |
| 24 | Ν | MR METZGER: "I've never had such talks, in fact." |
| 25 | | JUSTICE DOHERTY: It's not quite what I heard |
| 26 | Mr Inte | erpreter say, but two versions. |
| 27 | Ν | MR HERBST: I thank the Court. Actually, your Honour, I |
| 28 | think a | at this point. Let me try one or two other questions and |
| 29 | see. | |

| 1 | Q. Mr Witness, you told us you attended one of the first days |
|----|--|
| 2 | of the trial; is that right? |
| 3 | A. Yes. |
| 4 | Q. How many days of the trial - of the early days of the trial |
| 5 | did you attend? |
| 6 | A. I came to the trial once. |
| 7 | Q. And on that day, did you come to the trial with anybody |
| 8 | el se? |
| 9 | A. I came alone, but I met my friends around. I did not come |
| 10 | with someone. |
| 11 | Q. Did you say at the trial you met some other |
| 12 | A. My friends. |
| 13 | Q. Which friends? |
| 14 | A. Well, they were the visitors who had come to witness the |
| 15 | Court. Some of them are soldiers and others are civilians, |
| 16 | ex-servicemen. |
| 17 | Q. Okay. Who were the ex-servicemen that |
| 18 | JUSTICE DOHERTY: Relevance, Mr Herbst. What is the |
| 19 | relevance of this line of questioning? If you're going to make a |
| 20 | submission concerning Rule 90B, what is the significance of this |
| 21 | rel evance? |
| 22 | MR NICOL-WILSON: And, your Honour, I want to be recorded |
| 23 | as objecting |
| 24 | MR HERBST: Your Honour, at this point [overlapping |
| 25 | speakers]. |
| 26 | JUSTICE DOHERTY: Just a minute. Mr Nicol-Wilson is saying |
| 27 | something. |
| 28 | MR NICOL-WILSON: I want to be recorded as objecting to |
| 29 | those questions on grounds of relevance, your Honour. |

| 1 | JUSTICE DOHERTY: Yes, what is the relevance of this. |
|----|---|
| 2 | MR HERBST: Your Honour, at this point, your Honour, I will |
| 3 | withdraw the question. I will tender the witness for |
| 4 | re-examination. |
| 5 | JUSTICE DOHERTY: He did say what day he was here. He said |
| 6 | it was when Bangura - but I'm not at all clear exactly which day, |
| 7 | so I would like to be clear on this point. I will ask him. |
| 8 | Mr Kamara, exactly what day were you in Court? Can |
| 9 | you remember, first of all, the year and the month? |
| 10 | THE WITNESS: At this particular trial? |
| 11 | JUSTICE DOHERTY: Yes. |
| 12 | THE WITNESS: I cannot remember. |
| 13 | JUSTICE DOHERTY: Was it this year or last year? |
| 14 | THE WITNESS: This year. |
| 15 | JUSTICE DOHERTY: Was it in June or was it in August? |
| 16 | THE WITNESS: Well, I can't remember the right month. |
| 17 | JUSTICE DOHERTY: Mr Herbst, I think I heard you say you |
| 18 | had completed your cross-examination. I did intervene to ask the |
| 19 | question which followed from something you had asked and also |
| 20 | followed from something I had asked. In the light of the |
| 21 | answers, did you want to pursue that, or are you closing your |
| 22 | cross-exami nati on? |
| 23 | MR HERBST: [Indiscernible] your Honour. |
| 24 | JUSTICE DOHERTY: Thank you. |
| 25 | MR HERBST: Can your Honour hear me? |
| 26 | JUSTICE DOHERTY: Yes, I did. Thank you, Mr Herbst, I |
| 27 | heard you. You said you were closing your cross-examination. |
| 28 | Mr Nicol-Wilson, any questions for the witness? |
| 29 | MR NICOL-WILSON: Yes, your Honour. |
JUSTICE DOHERTY: 1 Proceed. 2 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR NICOL-WILSON: 3 Q. Mr Witness, you said you occasionally visits the bar at 4 Wilberforce belonging to the sister of Leather Boot? 5 Yes, sir. Α. 6 Q. Now, have you ever been at that bar in which - during which 7 discussions were held about approaching 334 for him to recant his 8 testimony? 9 Α. Never. No idea. I've never heard of that even. 10 Now, have you ever heard from anyone that Bomb Blast should 0. 11 be selected to approach 334 to recant his testimony? 12 Α. No, I've never heard that. Now, Mr Witness, I also put it to you that Bomb Blast is 13 Q. 14 not a frequent member at the bar at Wilberforce? 15 Yes, that's true. Because I'm a taxi driver. Α. Most of the 16 time when I'm passing and I stop by to take my drink, I don't see 17 him there most of the time. 18 0. I also want to suggest to you that at no time --19 [Overlapping speakers] Mr Nicol-Wilson, if I MR HERBST: 20 may. 21 JUSTICE DOHERTY: Yes, Mr Herbst. I can't hear you. 22 Have we got connection with Kigali, Mr Court Officer? I 23 don't see anyone moving there. 24 THE COURT OFFICER: I'll check, your Honour. 25 JUSTICE DOHERTY: Thank you. 26 [Indi scerni bl e]. MR HERBST: 27 JUSTICE DOHERTY: Mr Herbst, we didn't hear you. Could you repeat what you were saying? There was a break in transmission. 28 29

29 MR HERBST: [Indiscernible].

1 MR METZGER: The screen seems to have informed us that it's 2 disconnected. At least that's what came up a minute ago. Ιt does look like we've lost them now. 3 4 JUSTICE DOHERTY: Mr Herbst, I can see you. MR HERBST: Your Honour, we lost the link twice. 5 I had 6 requested that your Honour review the witness's last answer with 7 me, because I did not hear it. 8 JUSTICE DOHERTY: The witness answered: True. I am a taxi 9 driver. Most of the time I stop there to take my drink. I do 10 not see him there. 11 Mr Nicol-Wilson, your next question, please. 12 MR NICOL-WILSON: Actually, my last question. 13 JUSTICE DOHERTY: 0h, good. 14 MR NI COL-WI LSON: 15 Now, I also want to put it to you that at no time did Bomb Q. Blast discuss with you the issue of getting 334 to recant his 16 17 testimony? 18 Α. Never. No. No, never. 19 MR NICOL-WILSON: That will be all for this witness, 20 your Honour. 21 JUSTICE DOHERTY: Thank you, Mr Nicol-Wilson. 22 Mr Metzger, have you got questions of the witness? 23 MR METZGER: I have a few questions, yes. 24 JUSTICE DOHERTY: If there are a few - because we're just 25 about on the break. But it would be good to clear them up. So 26 if there are just a few, I will allow them. 27 MR METZGER: How Long does your Honour --28 JUSTICE DOHERTY: Five minutes. You'll be amazed how much 29 you can get --

| 1 | | MR METZGER: I will see what you can do, yes. |
|----|---|---|
| 2 | | CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR METZGER: |
| 3 | Q. | Mr Kamara |
| 4 | Α. | Yes, sir. |
| 5 | Q. | is it correct that Mr Kanu, also known as Five Five, |
| 6 | never | called you personally from Rwanda? |
| 7 | Α. | Yes. You are correct to say so. |
| 8 | Q. | Is it also true that sometimes when you were speaking to |
| 9 | others | |
| 10 | | MR HERBST: Excuse me, Mr Metzger. Excuse me, Mr Metzger. |
| 11 | Excus | se me, your Honour, could I hear the answer. |
| 12 | | JUSTICE DOHERTY: "Yes, you are correct to say so." |
| 13 | | MR METZGER: |
| 14 | Q. | Is it also true, Mr Kamara, that sometimes when you were |
| 15 | talking to others from Rwanda, Mr Kanu would be put on the line | |
| 16 | to sa | ay hello to you? |
| 17 | Α. | Yes. |
| 18 | Q. | And that at no time did you discuss with Mr Kanu any matter |
| 19 | rel at | ing to witnesses recanting their evidence? |
| 20 | Α. | We never had such a discussion. |
| 21 | Q. | Or a review of Mr Kanu's conviction or sentence? |
| 22 | Α. | No. |
| 23 | Q. | Thank you, Mr Kamara. Now, you've told us that when you |
| 24 | were | in prison - I'm just going to ask you a few questions about |
| 25 | that | - that you were in maximum prison. Is that what is |
| 26 | somet | imes referred to as steady batten? |
| 27 | Α. | Repeat it. I didn't get you clearly. |
| 28 | Q. | Of course. When you were in Pademba Road Prison, you said |
| 29 | you w | vere in maximum prison. I'm simply asking you if that is |

1 what is sometimes referred to amongst people who have been in 2 prison as steady batten? 3 Well, the maximum prison is a central headquarters for all Α. 4 the prisons. It has a steady batten. When you get in there, you 5 are locked in there and you would have no freedom. 6 JUSTICE DOHERTY: Can we have a spelling of the batten 7 part, Mr Metzger, please, for record. 8 MR METZGER: It is Krio, but --9 JUSTICE DOHERTY: That's all right. 10 MR METZGER: The best way of pronouncing it, the batten 11 part would be B-A-T-T-E-N. 12 JUSTICE DOHERTY: Thank you. Proceed. 13 MR METZGER: 14 0. So is it therefore correct, Mr Kamara, that when you were 15 under those conditions in prison, you did not have regular 16 association with other prisoners? 17 Α. Not at all. 18 0. And that all persons who were at Pademba Road Prison who 19 were under that regime had no regular contact with other 20 pri soners? 21 Α. Yes, sir. 22 Q. Now, Mr Kamara, is it true that you are a man who doesn't 23 keep mobile phones very long? 24 Α. There is no mobile phone in the prison. 25 0. Sorry, my fault. I'm now asking you in general when you 26 were released --27 MR HERBST: Excuse me, Mr Metzger. May I hear the answer? 28 I did not catch that one. 29 JUSTICE DOHERTY: He said he didn't have a mobile phone in

Special Court for Sierra Leone

question.

MR HERBST:

MR METZGER:

1

2

3

4

5

6 difficulties with the line and everything, I will be a little bit 7 longer with this witness. I'm moving on to - let me just get my 8 - you'll be pleased to hear - mini matrix. I now want to ask him 9 about telephones and his use of telephones, which may take in 10 itself anything from 5 to 10 minutes. 11 JUSTICE DOHERTY: Try. 12 MR METZGER: So be it. 13 Mr Kamara, I'm now --Q. 14 Α. Yes, sir. 15 -- going to ask you about a time after you had been Q. 16 released from prison. When you were released from prison, 17 sometime later did you get a mobile phone? 18 Α. Yes, when I was released from prison, when I was in town 19 then. 20 Are you a man - since that time have you kept on to one Q. 21 mobile phone, or do you sometimes change? 22 I have changed mobile phones because they get missing. Α. 23 Q. Is it correct to say that certainly in or around late 2010 24 you were known for losing mobile phones? 25 Α. Yes. 26 JUSTICE DOHERTY: Pause, Mr Metzger. The tape needs to be 27 changed. 28 THE COURT OFFICER: The tape has been changed, your Honour. 29 JUSTICE DOHERTY: Yes, Mr Metzger, proceed. 5 September 2012

prison, and counsel is now directing him as to the import of his

Your Honour, I think that what with the

Mr Metzger, put your question again.

Thank you.

1 MR METZGER: 2 Mr Kamara, when you were being asked questions by Mr Herbst Q. 3 you told him that you have a telephone number, and you gave the number as 076942355; do you remember that? 4 5 Yes, sir, I remember that. That's my phone number. Α. 6 Q. Thank you. Is that the number of your current - is that 7 your current telephone number? 8 Α. Yes, at that time. I don't have a phone right now. 9 0. Have you lost your phone again? 10 Α. It's been a long time that it got lost, so ... 11 Q. Towards in November/December of 2010 --Excuse me, Mr Nicol-Wilson. 12 MR HERBST: 13 MR METZGER: Metzger, even. 14 MR HERBST: Your Honour - Mr Metzger, could I hear that 15 answer, your Honour? Sorry, I heard him say it's my current phone at that time. I do not have a phone now, and I didn't hear 16 17 what followed. 18 JUSTICE DOHERTY: A long time it had got lost. 19 MR HERBST: Thank you. 20 MR METZGER: 21 Mr Kamara, in November/December 2010, how many mobile phone Q. 22 numbers did you have; one, or more than one? 23 Α. Just one. 24 0. And was it on that phone that you were contacted and you 25 yourself contacted the people in Rwanda? 26 Yes, I contacted Bazzy. Α. 27 0. Is it also the case that on occasions when you needed to 28 sort out family issues with Mr Bazzy Kamara, that you may have 29 used one of a number of phones to speak to them, being phones

| 1 | that may have belonged to either your mother-in-law or the mother |
|----|---|
| 2 | of your daughter Habibatu? |
| 3 | A. No. No, they called Bazzy. It is Bazzy's mother who would |
| 4 | call. Because when there is a dispute between myself and the |
| 5 | family, Bazzy would call me. |
| 6 | Q. What I'm asking |
| 7 | MR HERBST: Your Honour, I didn't hear the answer. May I |
| 8 | have that answer, your Honour? |
| 9 | JUSTICE DOHERTY: Two things have happened: I have to |
| 10 | repeat the answer for Mr Herbst, and the transcriber's machine |
| 11 | has crashed. So I'll say it |
| 12 | MR METZGER: [Overlapping speakers] have a break. |
| 13 | JUSTICE DOHERTY: And obviously the machine needs a cup of |
| 14 | coffee more than the rest of us. |
| 15 | But the answer, Mr Herbst, was, "No, they called Bazzy." |
| 16 | Then the witness went on to say something else, but because of |
| 17 | the remarks, I didn't get it. |
| 18 | So what I'm going to do is because the transcriber's |
| 19 | machine has crashed and we're over the time anyway for the Rwanda |
| 20 | break, I'm going to adjourn until 12 o'clock. |
| 21 | Mr Interpreter, do you recall the last part of that answer? |
| 22 | We can get it down on record if you have it. |
| 23 | THE INTERPRETER: No, your Honour, I can't remember. |
| 24 | JUSTICE DOHERTY: We'll have to clarify that answer when we |
| 25 | come back. We'll adjourn. |
| 26 | MR METZGER: Your Honour, I will do that when we come back. |
| 27 | JUSTICE DOHERTY: We'II adjourn Court. |
| 28 | MR HERBST: Your Honour |
| 29 | JUSTICE DOHERTY: Yes, Mr Herbst. |

MR HERBST: Since it appears we're getting towards the end
of this witness's testimony, I know during the break
Mr Nicol-Wilson was going to consult his client about additional
witnesses. But before we break would it be possible for
your Honour to make that inquiry of the other two counsel?
JUSTICE DOHERTY: Yes, I'll do that.
Counsel, Mr Nicol-Wilson, you're going to consult.

8 Mr Serry-Kamal, your other witnesses other than the witness9 before us now?

10 MR SERRY-KAMAL: Your Honour, I'm sorry, I was not able to 11 get the other witness, because by the time I got to the office he 12 had left my office. He had gone. He was there at 4 o'clock 13 [indiscernible] about 6 and he left. And of course I was banned 14 not to talk to Mr Keh-For-Keh to contact him, so I wasn't able to 15 contact him again. I'm afraid I do not have a witness today. JUSTICE DOHERTY: Mr Metzger, how many witnesses? 16 17 MR METZGER: Your Honour, I'm expecting three people to 18 turn up at Court today. They have been spoken to by my 19 associate, Mr Sherry. He has provided some material for me to 20 look at. I would like to - I had hoped to be here early enough 21 myself and for them to be here early enough for us to go through 22 We haven't been able to do that. their evidence. There are 23 certain aspects that I want to investigate with them. lt is even 24 more so important now in the light of the continuing 25 investigation and apparent lack of disclosure by the Prosecution 26 in this case.

I hope to call at least one, possibly two of those
witnesses. Your Honour will know my concern about the daughter she is now, however, 18 years old - and I need some time to get

that ready. I unfortunately - if called upon immediately after
 this witness, I cannot say at this point in time that I am ready
 to call that evidence.

I don't anticipate, from the Defence point of view, that
any witnesses called on Mr Kanu's behalf will be long. But I
cannot speak for my learned friend Mr Herbst, who has become a
rather - who has become a rather good technician at asking
questions over a great length of time in relation to matters like
phone calls and whatever else it may be that is conjured up by
sources that obviously refer to him on a continuing basis.

But if witnesses are called on behalf of Mr Kanu, they are going to be very short. I do want the opportunity to consider what has gone on thus far. I do want the opportunity to be able to digest what we have learned today about the continuing investigations that are going on so I can make up my mind.

16 THE COURT OFFICER: Your Honour, I believe we have lost the 17 link with Kigali.

JUSTICE DOHERTY: We'll adjourn Court until 12 o'clock.Please adjourn Court.

20[The Court adjourned at 11.15 a.m.]21[The Court resumed at 12.00 p.m.]

22 JUSTICE DOHERTY: I have just received a medical report in 23 relation to the accused, Mr Samuel Kargbo, which notes that he's 24 showing symptoms of malaria. He's being treated. He won't be 25 able to be here. He's only been referred to once in the course 26 of the present evidence - or twice, in fact, because the same evidence was repeated, and that was in conjunction with the other 27 28 accused, Bangura. So I don't think his interests are being prejudiced. So we will continue. 29

1 Mr Metzger, you were asking some questions.

2 MR METZGER: I was.

3 JUSTICE DOHERTY: We're lacking a witness.

4 MR HERBST: Your Honour --

5 JUSTICE DOHERTY: Yes, Mr Herbst.

6 MR HERBST: Your Honour, we lost the link before the 7 adjournment for the lunch break at the point where Mr Metzger was 8 talking about witnesses, and he said something about three 9 witnesses and the daughter who is 18, and that's where we lost 10 it. We didn't hear who the witnesses were he was planning to 11 call or anything else he said after that.

JUSTICE DOHERTY: He did not name the witnesses. He said he hadn't met them yet. His associate has compiled a statement that he hasn't had a chance to look at. So he has not made any form of commitment, nor has he given names until he has had an opportunity to review the statements.

17 Mr Metzger, I trust I have paraphrased your --

18 MR METZGER: Your Honour puts it so much better than I19 could.

20 JUSTICE DOHERTY: Now, Mr Metzger, you asked a question and 21 because of interference on my line, I did not hear the full 22 answer. The question related to the need to sort out family 23 You used other phones. Your mother-in-law or your i ssues. 24 mother's - the daughter - the mother of your daughter, and the 25 witness answered no, they called Bazzy. And I have not been able 26 to get the rest of that answer. So I would like to get the rest of that answer. 27

28 MR METZGER: I'll take it from there.

29 Q. Mr Kamara, just before the break you told us that, in your

1 words, "they called Bazzy". First question: Who are you talking 2 about when you say, "They called Bazzy"? 3 Bazzy's mother. Α. And would it be fair to say that when Bazzy's mother called 4 0. 5 Bazzy, you were not present? 6 Α. I was not present. 7 And would it be fair to say that you would get to know that Q. 8 she had called Bazzy, either through the mother or through Bazzy 9 himsel f? 10 It is Bazzy who told me that they called him - his mother Α. 11 called him. 12 Q. And just before we move off this subject, the calls that 13 Bazzy told you about relating to his mother calling him were all 14 explaining about you? 15 Α. Yes, sir. 16 Q. Thank you. Now, let's move on. You've told us that you've 17 had a number of phones over the years but that you lose them; is 18 that correct? 19 Yes, sir. Α. 20 Can you now remember all the telephone numbers that you had Q. 21 from those phones that you've lost? 22 Yes, except the only phone number that I have given to you: Α. 0769432355. 23 24 That's the only number that you can now remember? 0. 25 MR HERBST: Excuse me, your Honour. Excuse me, Mr Metzger. 26 MR METZGER: You're excused, Mr Herbst. 27 JUSTICE DOHERTY: Yes, Mr Herbst. 28 MR HERBST: Your Honour, I did not hear the answer, please. 29 JUSTICE DOHERTY: The witness says yes, except only the

| 1 | phone number 076943255. Counsel was about to clarify that |
|----|--|
| 2 | answer. |
| 3 | Mr Metzger, proceed. |
| 4 | MR METZGER: |
| 5 | Q. Mr Kamara, were you just telling this Court that the only |
| 6 | number you can remember off the top of your head is the one that |
| 7 | you have given to us. |
| 8 | A. Yes, sir. |
| 9 | Q. Another thing, please. For example, now that you do not |
| 10 | have a phone, how do people contact you? |
| 11 | A. Nobody calls me. Except if I feel like - not that I do not |
| 12 | have a phone. If I have somebody's number, I'll go to a phone |
| 13 | booth. |
| 14 | Q. Is it also not the case |
| 15 | JUSTICE DOHERTY: Mr Herbst, the answer was: Nobody calls |
| 16 | me. Except if I feel like calling, I go to a phone booth. |
| 17 | MR METZGER: |
| 18 | Q. Is it also the case, Mr Kamara, that you have in the past |
| 19 | given telephone numbers of, let us say, female associates of |
| 20 | yours to your friends and associates if they wanted to call you |
| 21 | and you didn't have a phone? |
| 22 | A. No. |
| 23 | Q. All right. I shall move on. Now, the last area I want to |
| 24 | ask you about, you may recall Mr Herbst, the prosecutor, asking |
| 25 | you yesterday about two calls, one on 30 November - or a number |
| 26 | of calls related to 30 November and another relating to 19 |
| 27 | November. |
| 28 | JUSTICE DOHERTY: Yes, Mr Metzger. |

29 MR METZGER: 2010. I'm so sorry, your Honour, 2010.

1 You have told us in relation to a series of conversations Q. 2 with yourself and some ex-soldiers, including Mr Ragga and people 3 in Rwanda, that that occurred firstly on 30 November; is that correct? 4 5 Α. That's true. 6 Q. And that you remember that date because you had a car 7 accident with a motorcyclist; is that correct? 8 Α. Yes, sir. 9 0. Now, you were asked effectively the same questions by 10 Mr Herbst as regards 19 November, and you gave similar answers in 11 relation to people who spoke to the people in Rwanda. Could you 12 be mistaken about the date 19 November? 13 Well, yesterday I looked really - I was in doubt, because Α. 14 the right date is 30 December 2010. When I discussed with those 15 men and the other men here --16 Q. So is it your evidence therefore --17 MR HERBST: Excuse me. I'm sorry to interject, but I think 18 it would be good for me to hear that answer, if I may. 19 JUSTICE DOHERTY: Yes. The answer was yes, yesterday I was 20 The right date is 30 November 2010. in doubt. 21 Thank you, your Honour. MR METZGER: 22 Q. So, Mr Kamara, is it your evidence therefore that this 23 situation where you spoke to the men in Rwanda and you had, as 24 you say, the other men here who spoke at the same time, involving 25 Mr Ragga, only occurred on one occasion? 26 Yes, sir. Α. 27 0. And is it your evidence therefore that that one occasion 28 was 30 November 2010? 29 Α. Yes, sir.

1 Q. Thank you very much, Mr Kamara.

2 MR METZGER: Those are all the questions I have for this 3 witness, your Honour.

JUSTICE DOHERTY: Thank you, Mr Metzger. Re-examination,
Mr Serry-Kamal?

6

MR SERRY-KAMAL: No re-examination.

JUSTICE DOHERTY: Thank you, Mr Serry-Kamal. I have no questions of the witness. Therefore, Mr Kamara, I thank you for your evidence. There is no other questions you're going to be asked here today and you are free to leave the witness box and the Court and we thank you for coming. So Mr Court Officer, please assist the witness to leave the Court.

Mr Serry-Kamal, we're still on your case. You have told us
you don't - haven't had contact --

15 MR SERRY-KAMAL: Mr Kamara is my contact with those people 16 and in his absence yesterday there was very little I could do.

JUSTICE DOHERTY: So what I'm not clear is if you'reclosing your case now and --

MR SERRY-KAMAL: No, your Honour, I would like to talk tothem before. Just one more witness I'll call.

JUSTICE DOHERTY: In that case, I should have asked the witness to remain in the wings somewhere - until the next break, I mean.

Now, Mr Bangura's witnesses, Mr Nicol-Wilson, I think that would be the appropriate one to ask about next. Have you had an opportunity to, now that your client has been released from his oath?

28 MR NICOL-WILSON: Yes, your Honour, I spoke with my client 29 during the break and his preferred option at the moment is not to 1 call any further witness.

JUSTICE DOHERTY: Thank you, Mr. Nicol-Wilson. I will note
that Mr Bangura has closed his evidence.

Now that Leaves you, Mr Metzger. You were reviewing your
statements over the break. What is your situation?

6 MR METZGER: Your Honour, I have seen what my learned 7 associate has done. The witnesses have not attended today. I 8 have been given reasons. One of them is at a job interview, 9 that's Ms Samura, and will be here once she is finished. I think 10 she's a teacher by profession.

11 The other witness is not here as yet, but I was expecting 12 her any time, presumably lunchtime is when she can come here; 13 this is Mr Kamara's daughter. And I have yet to hear about the 14 whereabouts of Mr Kanu's sister who in fact I saw briefly 15 yesterday.

16 The process that I intend to take, having seen the material that has been collated for me by Mr Sherry, is that I 17 18 particularly in light of the questions that may have been asked 19 by Mr Herbst in the course of this case which he may not have 20 been privy to or taken on board in our conversations, I would 21 like certain areas clarified and then I was hoping to call no 22 more than two witnesses, as I think I said earlier. Although in 23 fairness I should say that I am in two minds at present. 1 24 wanted to clarify content of conversation inasmuch as I can, 25 although I take the view that as it is the Prosecution who brings 26 the case and the Prosecution who must prove the case, that any 27 questions that have been asked that may already have been 28 answered by Mr Kanu, the Defence would not be obliged to deal 29 with. The issue of corroboration, therefore, doesn't apply. And the only areas in which assistance can be given to this Court would be in relation to telephone conversations and that which was put either on a hypothetical basis whether as it were that hypothetical basis was itself ensconced in either euphemism or hyperbole is an matter I suppose for submission.

JUSTICE DOHERTY: Very much so. If there is no evidence torebut, there is no evidence to rebut.

8 MR METZGER: Indeed, your Honour. But in fairness to my 9 client, his instructions and the case in general, I must ask for 10 a little time and I would hope that certainly by the time we 11 finish today to say to your Honour definitively which witnesses I 12 am going to call, if any.

JUSTICE DOHERTY: Well, Mr Metzger, I want to remind all counsel that we are - tomorrow is our last day, 2.30, and we've already gone over the scheduled time. I have a duty to all accused and to the justice system not to waste time and I am aiming to get this evidence closed tomorrow.

18 MR METZGER: Your Honour, I hear that, I appreciate that 19 those words and the exhortation to all counsel to act --

20 JUSTICE DOHERTY: ALL counsel.

21 MR METZGER: I did say all counsel.

JUSTICE DOHERTY: Indeed, I am emphasising your words because I have not lost track of the fact we have five counsel in this case.

25 MR METZGER: Indeed, your Honour. And it is with great 26 regret that I find myself in this situation because clearly we 27 had made plans and continued to, as it were, revisit those 28 plans --

29 JUSTICE DOHERTY: I'm just reminding counsel that this has

to be done. Now, what I've got in mind at this moment, this very moment, is to release Mr Serry-Kamal to follow up with this witness before he loses him; then also to ask you and Mr Herbst if you've come to any resolution on the exhibits. As always, if they're not gone in by consent, I will make a ruling on them, goes without saying.

I will release Mr Nicol-Wilson if it would assist him in
the furtherance of his case. I will deal only with your matter.
I will adjourn then for - I'll take the Freetown adjournment
early, and I will resume and by that time I will really exhort,
urge, if not order counsel to have their next witness in or tell
me that that witness is on their way.

13 So Mr Serry-Kamal, I'm going to release you now for the 14 practical reasons you've heard. If you want to stay in Court, 15 you're at liberty to do so.

16 MR SERRY-KAMAL: Your Honour, please.

JUSTICE DOHERTY: And Mr Nicol-Wilson, likewise. So
Mr Metzger, have you and Mr Herbst sorted out this - sorry,
Mr Nicol-Wilson?

20 MR NICOL-WILSON: Your Honour, I also ask that the client 21 be allowed to leave so I can have some further consultations with 22 him at the detention.

JUSTICE DOHERTY: Yes, indeed, these matters do not affect him. If they do, I will stop them. And Mr Bangura, I would ask that you be escorted out so as that you can consult with your counsel now that you've been released from your oath.

Now, Mr Metzger, it's between you and Mr Herbst, and
Mr Serry-Kamal is at liberty to leave to find his witness.

29 MR METZGER: Your Honour, before my brother counsel leave,

1 may they have an indication of when they need to be back because 2 if your Honour is taking the usual break, I'm sure your Honour will be kind enough to make sure that I benefit from that, too. 3 JUSTICE DOHERTY: Let's be realistic. Let's set a time. 4 5 It's 25 past 12 Freetown time. I think it's going to take 5 to 6 10 minutes to do this exhibit. Let us resume Freetown time 2.00. 7 And that is to allow both of you to interview your witnesses. 8 Thank you, your Honour. MR METZGER: JUSTICE DOHERTY: So we'll just deal with this exhibit 9 10 matter. 11 MR HERBST: Your Honour. JUSTICE DOHERTY: Yes, Mr Herbst. 12 13 Your Honour, it will only take one minute. MR HERBST: 14 JUSTICE DOHERTY: What will only take a minute? 15 MR HERBST: What I'm about to say with respect to - can you hear me? 16 17 JUSTICE DOHERTY: Loud and clear. 18 MR HERBST: Can your Honour hear me? 19 JUSTICE DOHERTY: I can hear you very clearly. 20 MR HERBST: It will only take one minute, not 5 or 10 21 I have reviewed about half of the transcript during the minutes. 22 last break, I expect to review the rest of the transcript in the 23 next half hour of this break, and I hope to have a list of 24 exhibits a little more extensive than Mr Metzger's, but I'm 25 working from the transcript and therefore I think I'll have an 26 accurate list of the pages that should go into evidence and then your Honour can rule at that time. 27 28 JUSTICE DOHERTY: That sounds reasonable. It will also 29 allow Mr Metzger to get to his witnesses quickly. What

1 essentially I've done is allow an hour and a half for counsel to 2 consult with their witnesses and to have their break. So we'll resume at 2.00 and we'll raise the issue of these exhibits when 3 we don't have a witness. We'll make as best use of our time. 4 5 Thank you, your Honour. In the circumstances MR METZGER: 6 it may be prudent if your Honour can be given a copy of what I've 7 sent to Mr Herbst as well. I suspect from what Mr Herbst is 8 saying that he's looking for additional material rather than 9 disagreeing with me on the matters which I have put forward as 10 being those matters which it seems as if he cross-examined on. 11 JUSTICE DOHERTY: I have the list before me, but as always, 12 rather than be seen to pre-determining issues, I will hear 13 We'll adjourn until 2 and I will expect counsel to have counsel. 14 a witness available at that time. I don't mind which of the two. either Kanu witness or Kamara witness, but there will be a 15 16 witness. Please adjourn Court until 2.00. 17 [The Court adjourned at 12.22 p.m.] 18 [The Court resumed at 2:00 p.m.] 19 JUSTICE DOHERTY: Can you hear us, Kigali? 20 THE COURT OFFICER: [In Kigali] Yes, we can, Madam. 21 JUSTICE DOHERTY: Thank you, we'll proceed. 22 MR NICOL-WILSON: Your Honour, I have a preliminary matter 23 to di scuss. 24 Your Honour, I have conferred further with my client during 25 the short break and whereas his position is still the same; that

is, he does not intend to call any further witness, yet there is
an issue which he wants this Trial Chamber to clarify and which
he thinks is very, very important as far as his credibility and
his testimony is concerned.

5 September 2012

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

My client has insisted that somebody met him after 21 August 2004 and represented himself as working for the Special Court and, to be very specific, the Office of the Prosecutor. I have told him that Mr Herbst has spoken that he was not that individual, and I have no reason to doubt that statement. I am personally convinced that it wasn't Mr Herbst, but my client is insisted yes, somebody met him who looked like Mr Herbst, and in that vein we want some sort of direction to be given to the Office of the Prosecutor or the Registrar to provide further

information to this Trial Chamber as to who met Mr Bangura after
August 2004 with a view of getting him to serve as a witness
in the AFRC trial.

My client believes that that clarification is very, very important, because when he said that Mr Herbst met him in 2004, that was a very honest statement. But he may be mistaken. It might be somebody else. But definitely somebody from the Office of the Prosecutor met him and had a discussion with him at King Harman Road.

In view of that instruction, your Honour, I am craving your
indulgence for a further instruction to be given to the office of
the Registrar to seek clarity as to who met my client in August after 21 August 2004.

Your Honour, I further wish to say - my apologies for coming in a second time. I further wish to say that you may be correct about the Turnbull warning and situation with regards to the statement made by my client when he was testifying. It's possible he made a mistake and it wasn't Mr Herbst, but somebody met him, and he believes that that issue is very crucial as far as weighing the credibility of his testimony is concerned.

JUSTICE DOHERTY: One thing I mustn't do at this point is to make a general decision about his credibility. That is not the appropriate place - this is not the appropriate place or the proper time to do that.

5 But if it's any consolation to your client, first of all, I 6 don't think it was ever put in issue at all that he met someone. 7 That's not being challenged, to my mind. And obviously from what 8 we have heard in this trial and what we have heard in other 9 trials, I have no doubt at all that people were interviewed with 10 a view to having them brought as Prosecution and Defence 11 witnesses. There is no doubt.

12 I refer in this trial to the evidence of 334 that he was 13 interviewed; I refer to the evidence of Mr Bangura himself that 14 people were going in and out of the prison being taken in and 15 out; and I heard very similar evidence in the AFRC and the recent 16 Taylor trial.

17 So I have no - it's not been challenged, it's not been 18 rebutted, and I have no reason to doubt that someone spoke to him 19 after August 2004. It shows a consistent pattern of 20 investigation that we've heard from several witnesses here and in 21 other trials.

1t's also a lapse now of eight years. There were many investigators and counsel involved in both the AFRC and the RUF trials. Some of them were American. It's on record, some of the names of the American investigators. I say that because it was raised several times in Taylor. I'm not an expert on American accents, and I suspect Mr Bangura isn't either. So it could be that over a lapse of time, those accents are merging.

29 And I have no reason to doubt at the moment that the

1 unrebutted and unchallenged evidence that he was interviewed by 2 someone is not correct. 3 I am satisfied also on the Registrar's instruction that that person wasn't Mr Herbst, and I accept that with the lapse of 4 5 time, the witness to be mistaken in his identification. 6 MR NICOL-WILSON: I'm grateful for those comments, your 7 Honour. 8 JUSTICE DOHERTY: I feel I - I'm just having a look at Rule 9 33, and I bear in mind also the operations of Rule 70, and I do 10 feel I am restricted in the powers I have to give directions to 11 either the Registrar or to the Office of the Prosecution to 12 adduce the type of evidence that you are looking for. 13 Also, of course, there is a fundamental question of 14 rel evance. 15 So with that statement - and I trust, Mr Herbst, you accept 16 that I'm making no findings of fact or credibility as a whole 17 when I make that observation that I have just made. 18 MR HERBST: I do indeed accept that, your Honour. 19 JUSTICE DOHERTY: And I hope that will allay Mr Bangura's 20 fears and concerns. You can take instructions, Mr Nicol-Wilson, 21 at a time convenient to yourself. 22 Now, I think I have to start with you, Mr Serry-Kamal, 23 because you haven't had an opportunity to complete your Defence 24 case. 25 MR SERRY-KAMAL: Your Honour, I have diligently tried to 26 contact all the people that I wanted to interview. But as things 27 turned out, I will really need the rest of the evening to contact 28 them and decide again tomorrow morning whether in fact I would call one or just - I'll call two, or one, or I won't call anyone 29

1 at all. I will need until the evening. I really crave your 2 Honour's indulgence to allow me until the evening. We have had a very long time - long [indiscernible], but I'm afraid few of us 3 will help us solve the - make the final decisions that will end 4 5 the case for the fourth accused. 6 JUSTICE DOHERTY: Mr Serry-Kamal, you've heard what I said 7 The obligation's on me to have this trial this morning. 8 completed, the obligations imposed on me by the Rules and by the 9 statute, and we have to close this evidence. 10 I am not laying any blame on you. 11 I will see what's happening with Mr Metzger's witness who 12 he was expecting to see, and if it's agreed, we may even excuse 13 your appearance to go and look for your witnesses. 14 Mr Metzger. 15 I'm grateful to your Honour. MR METZGER: Your Honour will see, and I'm very happy to say, that I am 16 17 in front of your Honour today assisted by my learned friend 18 Mr Hassan Sherry of counsel, who has been of great assistance to 19 me in the --20 JUSTICE DOHERTY: I'll note Mr Sherry on the record as 21 appearing and welcome him to the Court. 22 MR METZGER: Thank you, your Honour. Despite his best 23 endeavours, and although I cannot now explain why it is that I am 24 in a different position - when I say different position, I'm in 25 the same position in the future from the past when I made the 26 observations to your Honour as to where we are or where we were. I still haven't been able to make contact with the 27 28 witnesses, although we have been assured that one is definitely

on her way. One of the witness is definitely out of the way,

2328

because we haven't been able to reach her cell phone. I don't
 know, as I say, whether it's an issue of the phone not being
 charged or anything like that exactly.

But that places me very much in the same position as 4 5 I would, out of duty to my client, and Mr Serry-Kamal. 6 considering that which has gone before, ask for your Honour's 7 indulgence so that we may return tomorrow. I can understand if 8 your Honour has then to exercise the power of guillotine, so to 9 speak. I do understand that this case must proceed, and it must 10 proceed as swiftly as we can possibly make it. But being as 11 swift as we can and with the limited resources we have available, 12 I cannot put any evidence before the Court at this time.

So my submission at this point is really that your Honour not, as it were, bring the guillotine down on the case for Mr Kanu now, but rather leave it until after that of Mr Serry-Kamal's, at which point in time we may be in a better position because he may have a witness in the witness box. JUSTICE DOHERTY: Well, if you say one is on her way --

19 MR METZGER: She has been on her way for some considerable 20 time. So I suppose I am being - when I say she's on her way, I 21 am saying, effectively, what I said to your Honour before the 22 break, because that was the information that I had then. It 23 hasn't changed.

JUSTICE DOHERTY: You explained it very clearly that she was at a job interview.

26 MR METZGER: That one, it seems, can't make it today, I can 27 tell your Honour. It looks as if - I don't know what the 28 procedure is. Certainly I've been given the impression that 29 there are a number of potential candidates for a job who are all sitting somewhere waiting for their respective turns. I could,
 of course, be wrong. She cannot leave that situation because she
 would like to get the job, and I don't think that there is
 anything that can be done to allow her to be extricated from that
 position.

JUSTICE DOHERTY: She could be extricated if I issued asubpoena and sent someone down to serve it on her.

8 MR METZGER: Well, yes of course. As counsel, one tends to 9 shy clear of subpoenas unless absolutely necessary, particularly 10 in a case where one wants to deal with a very discrete part of 11 the evidence.

As I say to your Honour, I can understand if your Honour were to say to me - excuse the use of perhaps the more common form of the language - put up or shut up, but I would ask for your Honour - I'm not suggesting that your Honour would use that terminology. But were it to be that your Honour had to be very firm and direct in getting the Defence case for Kanu to press on, I am not able to assist the Court at this point in time.

JUSTICE DOHERTY: Well, given that you think this lady may come, I'm going to - and Mr Serry-Kamal is still looking for his possible witness - he's been careful not to either give me a name - and Mr Serry-Kamal, this causes me to remember that counsel for the Prosecution requires the name of your possible witness. So I'm going to ask you for that.

25 I'm going to defer until 3 o'clock and give you both a26 chance to find out what's happening.

27 Mr Serry-Kamal, you've got until tomorrow morning. You're 28 going to interview your witness now. What are the possible names 29 of these witnesses? As counsel for the Prosecution - I'm now

5 September 2012

1 ordering that it be disclosed. 2 MR SERRY-KAMAL: I have given him the names before. They 3 are V-Boy, Manga, and Pastor. That's Pastor Eddie Maf. JUSTICE DOHERTY: 4 5 MR SERRY-KAMAL: Otherwise called Maf. 6 JUSTICE DOHERTY: Yes, very well. 7 MR SERRY-KAMAL: I spoke to Pastor about four days ago. He 8 went to the office the other day, but we were still here. He 9 left. I have to call him back again. He's now a Pastor. lt's 10 difficult. 11 JUSTICE DOHERTY: Well now, what I'm going to do is I'm 12 going to adjourn until 3 on the basis that Mr Metzger's witness 13 is hopefully en route. I'm going to excuse your appearance until 14 tomorrow, Mr Serry-Kamal, to sort out your witness. 15 MR SERRY-KAMAL: Most grateful, your Lordship. 16 JUSTICE DOHERTY: And you're both aware of my attitude, 17 what I've said, and both the statute and the Rules. 18 Mr Nicol-Wilson, you too may be excused appearance if you 19 need to prepare other matters. Because as I understand the 20 submissions of Mr Metzger, your client's name may not arise in 21 the course of this particular part of the evidence. Again, you 22 are, of course, at liberty to remain to protect your client's 23 interests. 24 So that's that situation for the moment: 3 o' clock. 25 I now refer Mr Metzger and Mr Herbst to the situation 26 concerning the exhibits, and other counsel may leave if they so 27 wish. 28 MR HERBST: Your Honour --29 JUSTICE DOHERTY: Yes, Mr Herbst? Yes, I'm listening to

1 you, Mr Herbst.

2 MR HERBST: Before the other counsel leave, if they are 3 going to leave, I would like to ask the Court for some guidance. It was my understanding that we were going to try to get 4 5 the summations in before the - the final submissions in before we 6 left tomorrow. I'm on a plane which would require me to leave 7 here around 4.30 or so my time. I'd like to ask - I'm not going 8 to make any statements about the time with which your Honour has 9 given the two remaining defendants to close their case, but I 10 would like to ask whether it is your Honour's wish to hear the 11 closing submissions if there will not be witnesses tomorrow. And 12 if so, in what order your Honour will proceed to request such 13 submissions.

JUSTICE DOHERTY: It was my indeed my intention to get submissions in. As I have mentioned once or twice before in the course of these proceedings, under our Rules the Prosecutor is obliged - mandatory provision - to make a submission, and to make it first. Defence counsel are not under an obligation to make a submission, but may do so should they wish.

20 I was anticipating the normal procedure that we have in 21 many courts where submissions are made as soon as the evidence 22 cl oses. I would therefore hope to have at least your submissions. 23 But now that I see this time is leaking past, I'm 24 So I have in mind that I may have to have worried about it. 25 written submissions, in which case they would be limited in 26 length.

I see Mr Nicol-Wilson's light is on. Before I go any
further, I'd better hear what he says.

29 MR NICOL-WILSON: Your Honour, Mr Bangura would like to be

1 excused for the rest of the day.

2 JUSTICE DOHERTY: Yes, he may be taken back. Very well, he 3 Because these matters are procedural, they may be taken back. don't affect him and he is represented. If that is his wish, 4 5 he's entitled to do that. I will note that he's absent, and in 6 accordance with his own wish under - I think it's Rule 60. Rule 7 60. 8 Now, Mr Herbst, as I said, I was hoping to have it - you 9 have to address. I'm not looking for a long, complicated 10 address. So I would be asking for it orally at the close of 11 evi dence. 12 I'm beginning to see it may not happen, in which case I would ask for a brief submission in writing which can be sent 13 14 electronically. Is this the type of indication you are seeking? 15 MR HERBST: I am, your Honour. May I ask one further 16 question of procedure of your Honour? 17 JUSTICE DOHERTY: Certainly. 18 MR HERBST: If we do proceed orally and I go first, and one 19 or more of the defendants chooses to make a responsive oral 20 submission, is there a right of responsive submission orally? Or 21 does the defendant just get the last word? 22 JUSTICE DOHERTY: The defendant gets the last word. There 23 is no response under our rules, and that includes even points of 24 I aw. 25 That's provided: (a), by the Rule 86; and (b), that has 26 been the practice that's been adopted. So that is both the Rules 27 and the procedure. 28 MR HERBST: Your Honour, I am grateful for that advice and

29 gui dance.

5 September 2012

I guess the only other question would be your Honour
indicated that you might have some time limits in mind for such
submissions, either - if they are oral. In light of the
timeframe that we would have, would your Honour like to set some
time limits both for me and any Defence counsel who wishes to
make oral submissions tomorrow?

7 JUSTICE DOHERTY: Well, it's not my usual practice to do 8 You have to - I have to leave here by 2.30 and, counsel, that. 9 you have to leave by 4.30. So I suspect if Mr Serry-Kamal is 10 calling a witness and Mr Metzger is calling a witness, that's 11 going to take us up to 2.30. I would then set a time, having 12 consulted with counsel how long they require. There is time limits in the Rules, and this is not a crime against humanity. 13 14 It's not a war crime; it's a contempt. It's not the same as the 15 other trials.

16 So I would be looking at maybe two days each, but I would 17 hear what people have to say. I would not.

18 MR HERBST: Your Honour, two days --

19 JUSTICE DOHERTY: To file a brief submission.

20 Mr Nicol-Wilson is trying to speak. I'm going to let him.

21 MR NICOL-WILSON: Yes, your Honour. I have conferred with 22 my client on the issue of closing submissions, and his preferred 23 choice and my own preferred choice as well is to do it orally. 24 JUSTICE DOHERTY: It's certainly the practice in all our

jurisdictions that we've learned to speak on our feet, so that would be my preference. Counsel has heard the restrictions upon us, and we will do the best we can tomorrow. I'm going to let Mr Serry-Kamal go. He's been sitting patiently waiting for me to --

1 MR SERRY-KAMAL: Your Honour, I should say that I would ideally prefer an oral submission. 2 3 JUSTICE DOHERTY: So would I. Yes, I would prefer that. 4 MR SERRY-KAMAL: Especially after hearing Mr Herbst, maybe 5 it will just be necessary to say a few things. 6 JUSTICE DOHERTY: Gentlemen, that would be by far the best solution. 7 8 I've undertaken to reconvene at 3 o'clock to allow 9 witnesses be found and brought in and be started. I can't do any 10 more than that. I'm still waiting to deal with the exhibits 11 arising from the Kanu diaries. If those --12 MR HERBST: I can help with that. 13 JUSTICE DOHERTY: Very good. Mr Metzger has indicated that 14 some will not be objected to. It really depends on what they 15 If you're both ready to proceed with that, please do so. are. 16 MR METZGER: Your Honour, can we deal with this as well at 17 3? We can deal with it more shortly then, because I have a note 18 from Mr Herbst that was passed to us as we sat down. Of course, 19 we were considering other things at the time, and I really 20 haven't had the opportunity to look at these, because he says 21 they are in addition to the matters that I've raised. I thought 22 some of them were exactly the same thing, but I could be wrong. 23 If they are --24 JUSTICE DOHERTY: We'll stand that down as well. We'll 25 stand the whole thing down to 3.00 in hopeful anticipation we 26 have a witness with us. 27 Adjourn Court until 3 o'clock, please. 28 MR HERBST: Your Honour? 29 JUSTICE DOHERTY: Sorry, Mr Herbst. Yes, I'm listening.

1 MR HERBST: May I just enlighten both the Court and 2 Mr Metzger as to the list that I passed and apparently I asked be 3 transmitted. Apparently it has to both counsel and the Court. These were all taken from the August 29 transcript, which I 4 5 had assumed, perhaps mistakenly, until I looked at Mr Metzger's 6 notes, were all of the diary entries. Because I thought I had 7 discussed all of the diary entries with Mr Kanu on that first day 8 of cross-examination, but it appears from Mr Metzger's note that 9 other pages were referenced on a subsequent date because of his 10 transcript references in his note of transcript 1912, 1941, and 11 1915. 12 So that's why I said at the top they're in addition to. 13 There may well be some duplications, and I don't have the other 14 transcripts with me, so I couldn't review them. But I'm content 15 to rest on these - the pages that are reflected in both of our

16 notes, because I think that that will probably do it.

In any event, the record is clear what the pages were
because they're all in the transcript, but I just wanted to
provide that clarification. I think we should have them on this
list, at least for the most part.

JUSTICE DOHERTY: I'll leave that for Mr Metzger. He's not moving these; you're moving them. But let him check and see which ones he's objecting to.

And I'm grateful to all counsel for their indication on submissions, by far the best, and let us hope it can be achieved. l'll resume at 3 o'clock and see how the situation is. Please adjourn Court until 3.

 28
 [The Court adjourned at 2.30 p.m.]

 29
 [The Court resumed at 3:00 p.m.]

1 JUSTICE DOHERTY: Can Kigali hear me?

2 THE COURT OFFICER: [In Kigali] Yes, we can, your Honour.

3 JUSTICE DOHERTY: Thank you.

4 Mr Metzger, any success?

5 MR METZGER: May it please your Honour, I received a 6 message some way into the period of the recess for this purpose 7 that Dorinda, the daughter, had arrived. I have had the 8 opportunity of seeing her for about two and a half minutes. I 9 have asked for her to be sent round to the outside of Court and 10 when Mr Sherry approaches, I hope it will be to tell me that 11 she's outside Court.

12 One caveat: She was, of course, a young lady, now an adult, having attained the age of 18 years old, who is clearly 13 14 anxious to come and give evidence in support of her father. 15 had hoped that if I were calling evidence, that she would not be the first witness I was calling, so that she would be there in 16 order that I could tender her if required. And trying as hard as 17 18 I can - will your Honour bear with me one minute and let me just 19 check with Mr Sherry?

20 JUSTICE DOHERTY: Certainly.

21 MR METZGER: Your Honour, as I suspected, she is here. She 22 is outside, and of course she is willing to give evidence. I 23 hadn't finished going through the proof with her, and I am 24 anxious to do the best I can, as it were, not to bring a lamb 25 into the lion's den.

As I have indicated throughout, particularly in relation to this witness, it does cause me professionally great concern, because I am of the view that the only evidence she can give is of her conversations with her father. I don't think at this point in time, not having finished looking at her proof with her,
 that I would be inclined to call her.

3 After I've spoken to her, I may take a different view. - I stress the word "may". From where I stand at this point in time, 4 5 it is unlikely that I would - unless after I have spoken to her 6 there is something that she could add to this picture - that I 7 would want to call her to either prolong this trial, or to 8 increase the agony that someone in her position would feel. 9 JUSTICE DOHERTY: As you know, our Rules are different from 10 the UK on speaking to witnesses, and you are entitled to speak to 11 the witness prior to calling her if you so decide and to enable

12 you to make that decision.

I can say now from the outset that I can see there could
well be reasons - matters of privacy relating to this witness,
given the - her youth and family relationship and her age at the
time the alleged communications were made.

We have the screen available. I will bear in mind the
provisions of Rule 75 if you do decide to call her and her right
to privacy.

I think in fairness I've got to let you speak to her, andI'll just say to Mr Herbst.

22 Mr Herbst, I'm going to - I think it's fair to allow 23 Mr Metzger to speak to this prospective witness in order to allow 24 him to make a decision as to whether he's going to call her. If 25 he does do so, I may well use the provisions that I'm endowed 26 with under Rule 75 to have her evidence heard in private because 27 of the family situation and her age at the time.

Have you any comment on that before I make a decision?
MR HERBST: [Indiscernible] about the conditions under

SCSL-2011-02-T

Special Court for Sierra Leone

which the witness testifies. It's not clear to me that - from
 what I've heard my learned friend say that he is ultimately going
 to call the witness.

4 JUSTICE DOHERTY: That is correct. He has to interview her 5 and make that decision.

6 MR HERBST: Yes. And I'm basically going to let Your 7 Honour make all these decisions without input from me, save I 8 would just like to make one comment, which is that it seems to me 9 that there has been time for Defence counsel to - and their 10 associates to both contact witnesses, especially family witnesses 11 or witnesses who were identified at the outset of the defendants' 12 case in Mr Serry-Kamal's case, and to make decisions as to 13 whether to call. But that's all I'm going to say. I'm not - I'm 14 going to leave all of these decisions in the Court's hands 15 without further comment.

16 JUSTICE DOHERTY: Thank you, Mr Herbst.

Mr Metzger, I'm going to ask you to go and interview your witness. Our Rules permit that, and I'm not going to interfere with that. Then I'm going to expect you to come and advise my Associate through the Office Of The Principal Defender when you're ready to address that. I would like to deal with that this afternoon, because if she's not going to -

23 MR METZGER: I understand that.

JUSTICE DOHERTY: So we'll adjourn temporarily for counsel to inform us when he's ready.

26 MR METZGER: I can say, your Honour, that certainly I 27 should be in a position to let your Honour know by 3.30. I'm 28 conscious that if I do decide to call her, Mr Kanu ought to know 29 and ought to be present. So I will also take the opportunity if

SCSL-2011-02-T

2

3

he can be held for a few minutes in the Court precincts in Kigali so that we can - I can speak to him directly before 5.20 their time, which gives me about five minutes.

JUSTICE DOHERTY: Yes, that was going to be my next point. 4 5 The detained persons have to leave. So I will ask Madam Court 6 Officer to ask the authorities there with her in Kigali if 7 Mr Kanu can just remain within easy reach of the telephone for a 8 little bit longer so that his counsel can speak to him quickly. 9 Madam Court Manager, would you be able to facilitate that? 10 If it's necessary to get a response to us, in the affirmative or 11 in the negative, please do so through the Court Officer here. 12 THE COURT OFFICER: [In Kigali] Yes, Madam [indiscernible]. 13 JUSTICE DOHERTY: That's fine. I'll adjourn quickly now to 14 save as much time as possible. Adjourn to resume as soon as is 15 practical. Please adjourn. 16 [The Court adjourned at 3:09 p.m.] JUSTICE DOHERTY: The Court is now in session. Please be 17 18 seated. 19 [The Court resumed at 3:32 p.m.] 20 JUSTICE DOHERTY: Mr Metzger. 21 MR METZGER: Your Honour, can we make sure that Kigali can 22 hear us? JUSTICE DOHERTY: Mr Herbst - the two accused are in Court 23 24 still? I thought they had to be brought back to the detention 25 centre. 26 MR METZGER: I was under the understanding they had left or 27 was going to leave. 28 JUSTICE DOHERTY: I see someone. Maybe it's not them. 29 THE COURT OFFICER: [In Kigali] No, it is them. I was

1 waiting for the go ahead to tell them to leave. I did tell 2 Mr Alpha and asked if he could - if I could let them know. 3 MR METZGER: In those circumstances, I shall be very quick. 4 I have spoken to the young lady. I have sought to prepare her 5 for what she should expect in Court. She has promised me she 6 will be here tomorrow between 8 and 8.15, at which time with the leave of the Court, before the Court sits necessarily, I would 7 8 want her to be allowed to come into Court so that she can see the 9 environment is like here, and we can explain to her the 10 protective measures that are available. I have, however, and 11 your Honour will know have heard my misgivings and concerns. I 12 have, however, explained to her the circumstances of giving 13 evidence and have told her to sleep on her decision and indicated 14 that I will do so as well so that tomorrow morning we will all 15 know what we are in for.

JUSTICE DOHERTY: That's fine, Mr Metzger. I have checked 16 17 and the screen is available, so if she's called - and I do know 18 that our WVS do bring witnesses into the Court to show them 19 what's available. So if it can be conveyed, Mr Court Officer, 20 that WVS officer will be available to show the witness what is 21 And I note that Mr Kamara and Mr Kanu are still here. i nvol ved. 22 I am very grateful to the Rwanda authorities for this indulgence, 23 and I assure them it will not happen again.

We'll adjourn then until tomorrow. I'm reluctant to start earlier than the usual time because of the traffic, but if counsel assures me they can be here, I'll make it 8.45. I don't know what --

28 MR METZGER: I don't know if it can be early. Certainly I 29 said 8 to 8.15, knowing that there is a penchant for being
1 approximate as to time.

| 2 | JUSTICE DOHERTY: Well, she does have to be shown around |
|----|---|
| 3 | the Court. So let's stick to the normal time because everybody |
| 4 | else is geared to that. Mr Herbst, it is likely that I will use |
| 5 | the provisions of Rule 75 to allow this witness to give evidence |
| 6 | behind a screen and possibly in private when it comes to personal |
| 7 | details, but I will not make the order until I know she's |
| 8 | actually being called because that would be an exercise in |
| 9 | futility. Mr Kamara and Mr Kanu can now be taken back to the |
| 10 | MR HERBST: They have gone. |
| 11 | JUSTICE DOHERTY: So they have. That's fine. |
| 12 | MR HERBST: Can I be heard just before you adjourn? |
| 13 | JUSTICE DOHERTY: Oh, no, we haven't dealt with these |
| 14 | exhibits yet. I'm not sure what's happening to them. Yes, you |
| 15 | can be heard. |
| 16 | MR HERBST: Well, I wanted to ask, in light of the fact |
| 17 | that we're only going to have until 4.30 our time and 2.30 your |
| 18 | time tomorrow, in the hope that we might get the oral submission |
| 19 | that is everybody is hoping for, I was going to ask your Honour |
| 20 | if it would be possible to start early. However your Honour |
| 21 | resolves that issue, I would request as a matter of courtesy from |
| 22 | Defence counsel to be advised sometime this evening, tonight, as |
| 23 | to whether additional witnesses will be called. I'm not speaking |
| 24 | now of the daughter because I heard Mr Metzger say that he wanted |
| 25 | to sleep on that, and he wanted her to sleep on that. But I |
| 26 | would request as a matter of courtesy that if any other witnesses |
| 27 | are going to be called, that I be advised of that sometime this |
| 28 | evening or tonight, either by email and cell phone to me or |
| 29 | through the offices of the Court Officer, who also has both my |

email and my cell phone. I know counsel have my cell phone -- my
email. I don't know whether they have my cell phone. But I
would ask that - that courtesy so I will have some understanding
as to what's going to happen tomorrow.

5 JUSTICE DOHERTY: Mr Metzger.

6 MR METZGER: I'm not sure that Mr Herbst would have seen 7 that in the trenches of the Defence today stands only one - well, 8 I am assisted, and I believe that there is the legal assistant 9 for Mr Nicol-Wilson here. I cannot speak for them.

10 JUSTICE DOHERTY: I'm asking only about your --

11 MR METZGER: I'm very obliged. Mr Herbst knows what my 12 position is. I was proposing to call a maximum of two witnesses. We're waiting on the young lady. I haven't seen any of the other 13 14 witnesses today. One of them is at a job interview, as I've 15 indicated. I shall send out messages tonight that this is a matter of the utmost importance, and as Mr Herbst will know from 16 17 his own preparation, not having had the opportunity to meet the 18 witnesses for preview preparation purposes, I am unlikely to call 19 any witness. If I am required to call witnesses that I haven't 20 had an opportunity of speaking with beforehand. There are only 21 two other possible witnesses. Mr Herbst, I've given you 22 their details already. It's not going to change. So that's the 23 position as far as Kanu is concerned.

JUSTICE DOHERTY: Mr Herbst, is that satisfactory? And Mr Serry-Kamal has already said he's trying to find one of three witnesses - in other words, he's trying to locate, and they were Maf, otherwise known as Pastor Eddie, V-Boy, and Manga. And whether one or any of them comes to the witness box is still in the lap of the Gods.

5 September 2012

SCSL-2011-02-T

MR HERBST: I thank the Court. I won't press the matter
 further.

3 JUSTICE DOHERTY: Now, exhibits.

MR HERBST: The exhibits. Your Honour, I don't know 4 5 whether Mr Metzger has had a chance to look at my handwritten 6 list, but as I said before this last adjournment, from what I can 7 tell of the transcript that is available to me today, these 8 handwritten entries as to the exhibits that were used by me on 9 the first day of the cross-examination of Mr Kanu on August 29 10 are these here. The Court has copies of all of these and all 11 counsel have copies that were earlier transmitted, although they 12 would have to be picked out. In other words, for example, the 13 fourth entry is the entry for Monday, September 15, from the 2008 14 diary. It has Ms Hanciles's name on it. So one would have to go 15 through the 2008 diary and exhibits that were earlier sent to Freetown and just pick that one out. Similarly for the other six 16 17 entries --

18 MR METZGER: I wonder if Mr Herbst will permit me to
19 interject. I can perhaps shortcut this process.

20 JUSTICE DOHERTY: If you could.

21 MR METZGER: I have spoken to the Court Officer, Mr Alpha, 22 having looked at Mr Herbst's list and my list, and I agree with 23 all of the material put before us apart from a few matters, which 24 I'm going to mention in just a moment, and the reason why I don't 25 agree with those.

I believe also that between the papers that the Court has had available, that is, with Mr Alpha, and papers that I've brought with me from Kigali, we should have a full set of the material that Mr Herbst has referred to.

1 I don't know if your Honour is in possession of the 2 handwritten document from Mr Herbst. Thank you. JUSTICE DOHERTY: These are the documents that are to be 3 tendered, and I now have the handwritten list before me. 4 5 MR METZGER: Your Honour will have had my one. 6 JUSTICE DOHERTY: Indeed, I have. MR METZGER: And I think Mr Herbst said was these were in 7 8 addition to the ones I submitted. In fact, there is some 9 duplication, but we needn't worry about that. 10 I have - if your Honour Looks at the 2008 diary, I would 11 take issue with the last two items there on the basis that in my 12 respectful submission, although there may have been reference to 13 these matters, it seems to me that your Honour will have to 14 consider the issue of relevance. 15 Now, one, for example, December 24 to January 3 of the 2008 diary refers to some torn pages, as I understand it, where there 16 was some questions about - and Mr Kanu kept referring to the 17 18 entry on December 25 that shows some figures. 19 Respectfully, the torn pages, such as they may be, are not 20 easily capable of being seen from the photocopies. The originals 21 are in Kigali and therefore, in my respectful submission, this 22 does not assist your Honour. Certainly the other page that is 23 photocopied there has got Ms Kanu's number, or part thereof, and 24 some other writing that has no bearing on this case. 25 In my respectful submission, therefore, this is material or 26 page that I would say ought not to be admitted as an exhibit. 27 Then there is the telephone directory, which was one page 28 which has got a number of numbers on it. If the purpose is simply to adduce that on that particular sheet there is Mr Hassan 29

Bangura's number, well, then it seems to me that that is in evidence, he's accepted that it is there, and it doesn't assist your Honour to have it in, because it's just a list of different telephone numbers given, and the evidence he has given is: I write out names when I get a new diary. I use a diary as a rough work piece of paper. Respectfully, again, I would say it doesn't unduly assist your Honour.

8 Finally the 2000 --

9 JUSTICE DOHERTY: Before you move on, you said the10 telephone directory.

11 MR METZGER: Page.

JUSTICE DOHERTY: Now, I've got these two - I'm going to hold them up. They were the typed lists that were from the Special Court for Sierra Leone. One was a bit battered by age, and the other is the more up to date. Is that what you're talking about? Or are you talking about the list that's in the diary?

MR METZGER: No, I'm talking about in the diary. Those battered pages, I think we agreed - forgive me if I now don't remember are defense Kanu 5 and 6, or whichever they are - we agreed when the Prosecution wanted the other one to go in as well, that it would be best to put them together as Defence exhibits and --

24 JUSTICE DOHERTY: They are D Kanu 6A and 6B.

25 MR METZGER: Indeed, your Honour. So those are already 26 your Honour has admitted as exhibits.

The telephone directory page I'm talking about is just another one where there is an example of the name Mr Hassan Bangura. It may be that one. Unfortunately, I have lost that 1 page due to the photocopying process.

2 JUSTICE DOHERTY: "Bangura" is the last entry on the second 3 of the two pages. MR METZGER: That is my recollection. 4 5 JUSTICE DOHERTY: Mr Herbst, have you got the document 6 before you that counsel is referring to? 7 If I can briefly respond to what I heard MR HERBST: 8 Mr Metzger say --9 JUSTICE DOHERTY: I'll just let him go through the whole 10 list, and then you can respond one by one. 11 Continue, Mr Metzger. 12 MR METZGER: Yes. And then there is the 2011 diary. I 13 think - we don't have any issues as regards the 2006 diary. 14 The 2011 diary, there were a number of pages which I don't 15 necessarily or particularly take any issue with. We've got the 16 first page, January 11 and 12. Because that contains my personal 17 mobile number, I would prefer for it to be confidential. I'm happy that Mr Herbst may want my number to be immediately 18 19 available for more work to be thrown my way, but perhaps that's 20 not the way to do it. 21 JUSTICE DOHERTY: I didn't actually know it was there. ls 22 that - there was evidence about a number that was called out and 23 Tamba Brima wrote it down, but I never did find out whose number 24 it was. 25 MR METZGER: No, your Honour, you didn't. It clearly was a 26 number that may have been relevant at the start of these proceedings in one of the former lives of the Prosecution case, 27 28 but is no longer relevant. And I think the only real questions asked about this page 29

1 was my number on it, and your Honour will recall the stance I
2 took on that. But as I say, if Mr Herbst thinks it must go in,
3 then I would ask for it to either go in confidentially, or for my
4 personal number to be redacted. It's the first one on the top of
5 page 12. I know Your Honour won't use it.

6 MR HERBST: If that is all, is it time for me to respond to 7 those three items?

8 MR METZGER: Be a little patient, Mr Herbst, I'm not quite 9 finished with the 2011 diary yet.

10 Then there are a number of other numbers, some of which -11 at least three of which were looked at on the following page. 12 Then there are the lists of four of the convicts in Rwanda and an 13 apparent meeting, which he was asked about and answered.

14 And then the last page, Saturday, July 23, a doctor who -15 we know Mr Kanu was seeing him, I'm not sure whether that can 16 have any possible relevance in this case on even the case that 17 the Prosecution is advancing at present. It may have some 18 relevance tomorrow, and perhaps that's why Mr Herbst wants it in. 19 But it seems to me that we should refrain from simply putting 20 pages in because they were referred to in evidence if nothing 21 turns on them.

Your Honour, those are the matters that I would bring to
your Honour's attention in this list. I agree with everything
else.

JUSTICE DOHERTY: Mr Herbst, you've heard the objections to your application. So if you can respond, please.

27 MR HERBST: As I understand it, Mr Metzger refers to only 28 four items on my list: The last two in the 2008 diary, and the 29 first and last ones in the 2011 diary. With respect to the first one, I'm happy to have it
 received in confidence - confidentially. I think that disposes
 of that one.

I have to say first generally with respect to the others, 4 5 it was my understanding that we had an agreement, when the 6 application to admit all of the original diaries was rejected, 7 that we would just admit those items which I had specifically 8 addressed with the witness. And I think we should adhere to 9 that, but I want to address specifically, for example, the 10 December 24 to January 23 of next year, which is obvious from the 11 questioning in the record - because these exhibits have to be 12 assessed in conjunction with what's in the transcript, that -13 and it's clear that the pages between December 24 and January 3 14 are torn. There are a few pages, and they are torn.

There is testimony about Mr Kanu saying there are a lot of torn pages in his diaries. And the objection that the Xeroxed sheet itself doesn't assist the Court, I don't think is an apt or an objection that has merit, because again it has to be read in conjunction with the testimony.

20 The same thing with the telephone directory page. I don't 21 have before me either the transcript - I've commuted over the 22 lunch break to get this one now - and noted it in the transcript 23 and put it on the list. But the frequency with which Mr Hassan 24 Bangura's name and his phone number in the diary is relevant. 25 Everything else is a matter for weight. And there were other 26 items on the page, as I recall, in terms of the writing. Agai n, I don't have it in front of me. But it seems to me that the 27 28 items which were specifically identified ought to go in. 29 I don't - again, I don't have the last item that Mr Metzger

5 September 2012

SCSL-2011-02-T

2

3

4

5

addressed, the Saturday, July 23 item. Again, I don't have before me either the questioning that related to that entry, nor do I have in front of me the actual entry. So I can't more specifically address it, but it seems to me that all of these items are admissible. Whatever weight your Honour chooses to

6 give to it, your Honour chooses to give to it. But I think they7 ought to go in.

Again, I haven't looked at Mr Metzger's list, but I'm just content to admit all of the items on it and go home so I can work on either cross-examination of the witnesses, or my closing submission. I thank the Court.

JUSTICE DOHERTY: Just a moment, Mr Herbst. You referred to a January torn page. I'm just looking for it here. What year is that? Is that still 2008?

MR HERBST: Yes, your Honour. It's the second - the penultimate entry, the one that reads December 24 to January 3. The fifth one down, 5 of 6, January 4th to - December 24th to January 3 of next year on my handwritten list. And there will be - on the Xeroxed page probably visible will be the January 3 date, if I recall correctly. Mr Metzger maybe able to assist me [overlapping speakers].

JUSTICE DOHERTY: The pages I have are December 24, 25; then week 53, Wednesday, without a date, but it follows from the previous one; and then Thursday. I don't find January.

I'm going to ask Mr Court Officer to come up and look at
what I have in front of me to make sure I know what I'm talking
about.

28 MR HERBST: Your Honour, I'm confident that that is the 29 one. It may not actually say "January 3" on it, but if it's 50 1 whatever - if it encompassed the December 24, 25 period through 2 the early part of the following year, that would be the one. Your Honour, that is correct. The difficulty 3 MR METZGER: is, of course, your Honour will not appreciate easily by looking 4 5 at the photocopy what has been torn out, because obviously it 6 goes from week 52 to week 53. It follows in sequence, and there 7 is a half a page torn out which - actually, your Honour, there 8 should be two photocopies.

9 Let me show or pass this up through the Court attendant, 10 because clearly on one of those pages what has happened is the 11 photocopier, or the person carrying out the photocopying, has 12 tried to align everything and it's absolutely normal looking. 13 But there is a page immediately before that which I've just 14 handed to Mr Alpha - and I know because I've looked at the 15 original, whereas your Honour wouldn't have by now - which indicates when you look at the 2 of 25, that it's been torn out. 16 17 And so there is a page, I believe, that has been torn out at that stage. But before the previous date was the 23rd, or 18 19 whatever that date was - yes, the 23rd - it's torn out of 20 position where the "2" goes on top of the other "2" on the page, 21 and so it looks like it's "25". But what we're seeing there is 22 probably the "21" of the previous page merging with the "25" of 23 That's what's been cut off or torn out. But then one that page. 24 goes on to see it follows: Week 53, week 53, week 53, regardless of that. 25

JUSTICE DOHERTY: I've been given the page, and I did in fact have it. I just didn't appreciate that it's been partly torn. I can see that there is a break in the alignment, and this must be the bit you're speaking about.

5 September 2012

1 But the reason why I'm saying this is MR METZGER: Yes. 2 that to exhibit this photocopy page - and with respect, whatever Mr Herbst says about it - and he may want to make submissions -3 does not help your Honour or anybody in the future who is looking 4 5 at it unless they have the original. Regrettably. 6 MR HERBST: Your Honour, as I said, it has to be looked at 7 in conjunction with the testimony in the transcript. And the 8 transcript, I think, reveals what Mr Metzger said, and I think 9 there was more testimony relating to it. 10 Again, I don't think it's a major point, but as long as it 11 was referred to, and as long as it's referred to in the 12 transcripts, again it seems to me to be admissible, and for 13 whatever weight or assistance it gives the Court, it gives. And 14 if it doesn't, it doesn't. But I don't want to spend more time on it, because I don't think it's that significant. 15 16 JUSTICE DOHERTY: The pages of 24, 25 December 2008 were 17 referred to in evidence, and I recall the witness talking about In the circumstances, I will admit them. 18 them. 19 I will also admit the telephone directory, and I note the 20 entries. They will - that means that the 2008 entries will 21 become P Kanu - let me see - I think they become P Kanu 2, 22 because the 2006 diaries was P Kanu 1, and it was at that point 23 when we were going through those pages - or I was going through 24 those pages that counsel said they would look at them and try and 25 agree what was going in. 26 So 2008 becomes Exhibit P Kanu 2. Incidentally, that was originally MFI-P3, so as to correlate them for our CMS records. 27

28 THE COURT OFFICER: Your Honour, did you say "P Kanu 2"?
29 JUSTICE DOHERTY: Yes.

5 September 2012

1 THE COURT OFFICER: Thank you, Your Honour. 2 The next one I appear to have - and I'd better make sure I'm doing this correctly, MFI-P4 - is the 2011 one. 3 4 I agree, and by consent redact the name and the telephone 5 number of Mr Metzger. 6 I also, without consent, but I order that the name of the 7 doctor be redacted from week 30, as that is a professional 8 relationship and I don't - although it's been mentioned in 9 evidence, I do not think it's the type of matter that should be 10 put on the public records. 11 So the 2011, which was MFI-4, becomes exhibit P Kanu 3, 12 redacted as directed. 13 I notice the 2007 hasn't been marked and chronologically it 14 should have come before the others, particularly as it was MFI-2. 15 But since I've made rulings on the others, I'm not going to start 16 changing the numbers at this point in time. 17 So the 2007, which has not been the subject of either 18 consent or objection, becomes exhibit P Kanu 4. 19 And that, I think, leaves me with the notebook - or what 20 was refers to as a notebook, which were various pages. 21 MR HERBST: Is your Honour now referring to the sole entry 22 on my handwritten list under "2006 diary"? 23 JUSTICE DOHERTY: I am, indeed. 24 MR METZGER: Your Honour, I think by these notes Mr Herbst 25 was conceding that we needn't exhibit the other two, but that was 26 my understanding. 27 JUSTICE DOHERTY: If he's agreeing to that, then - I didn't 28 - I thought - I've got on his note "2006 diary, two pages headed 'notebook', four entries" --29

1 MR HERBST: Your Honour, it was my understanding that 2 Mr Metzger did not object to that one. 3 MR METZGER: I've got no issue with that. I think what in fact he was saying was that is the page he wants exhibited rather 4 5 than the whole of the 2006 diary. 6 JUSTICE DOHERTY: Yes. It was a few pages, that's all. 7 MR METZGER: And I've got no problem with that whatsoever. 8 JUSTICE DOHERTY: It was originally MFI-P5. So that 9 becomes exhibit P Kanu 5. 10 What I've got left here is --11 MR METZGER: Your Honour, sorry, I'm a little confused. 12 thought when your Honour said P Kanu 1 was the 2006 diary --JUSTICE DOHERTY: No, not the entire document. I'm only 13 14 talking about the extracts that we admitted some time ago. We 15 admitted that a few days ago. 16 MR METZGER: Right. So the extra one would be those two 17 pages from the 2006 diary, which your Honour now says is P 18 Kanu --19 JUSTICE DOHERTY: I'm going to pass down this thing that 20 I've got marked MFI-P5 to make sure that we're all talking about 21 Because it doesn't have a date on it; it's got a the same thing. 22 ring binder. So I may not even be talking about the same thing. 23 Mr Court Officer, please show this to counsel. 24 I suspect, your Honour, these are from the MR METZGER: 25 note pad, and they have been copied. There were a number of 26 questions about the note pad, and your Honour will recall Mr Kanu 27 kept saying, You mean the Mende writing. Look at the Mende 28 writing on the back. But I don't recall that Mr Herbst really made anything of it, or of there being any relevance whatsoever. 29

1 So from my understanding - and what I did was knowing that your 2 Honour tasked us with this duty on Saturday before I left Kigali, 3 and also hearing that whilst I was absent from Court with your permission on Monday that it had been raised, I put together a 4 5 list so that when your Honour asked I was able to deal with it, 6 although originally, as it's a Prosecution application, it is the Prosecution who generally puts forward those matters which he 7 8 wants to be exhibited.

9 So my understanding was the entirety of this series of 10 exhibits is that which is contained between my note, which 11 Mr Herbst agrees in its entirety, and his note, which we agreed 12 save for the provisos I had on the two matters on which your 13 Honour has already ruled.

14 JUSTICE DOHERTY: Well, it may be that I'm misinterpreting what's written and because it was marked for identification, it 15 does not have to be moved as an exhibit. So if it's not - if 16 17 these pages are not being moved and I've misunderstood the 18 situation, then I will scrub any reference to Kanu 5. But I 19 would like Mr Herbst to know what I'm talking about as well 20 because he is the mover of the exhibits. These are Prosecution 21 MFIs.

22 Mr Herbst, do you know what I'm talking about or am I just 23 - do you know which document I'm talking about?

MR HERBST: Your Honour, I can't see them, but I understand from Mr Metzger that they were the note pad items. And I don't recall asking Mr Kanu specifically about any items in the note pad. Now, I haven't reviewed any transcript except the first day and it seems apparent to me that Mr Metzger has because he has three items on his list apparently from the 2008 diary that I did not see in the transcript of the first day, my cross, and those
 are the 20-21 February 2008, 19 March 2008, and July 7, 2008.
 The other items on this list are duplicative of the items on my
 list.

5 So I don't want to take up any more time. I realise I 6 haven't been able to - unfortunately I didn't bring the other two 7 days of cross of Mr Kanu with me, those transcripts, so I haven't 8 been able to review them. I'm just going to take Mr Metzger at 9 his word and I'm just going to rely on those three additional 10 items and --

JUSTICE DOHERTY: In that case I will revoke the exhibiting of what was MFI P5. I will revoke that direction and that will not be admitted, it's not been moved. It's just because the word notebook was used in your note, Mr Herbst.

And that leaves actually these pages that you've just referred to, February 20, March 19, and July 7, which were in the 2008 diary and may already be in, I'm not sure. Could someone please check for me whilst I check myself?

MR HERBST: Your Honour, since they were not on my list, I don't think they were moved in. I do [indiscernible] now solely in reliance on Mr Metzger's note. I think they should just be added to the P Kanu 2 exhibit.

23 JUSTICE DOHERTY: They are not in the existing P Kanu 2 Mr Kanu's evidence showed them as an explanation for 24 exhi bi t. 25 his sometimes using old diaries for notes. There is writing in 26 They have been referred to in evidence. them. Nobody is going 27 to move them into evidence and I'm not going to volunteer to move 28 them into evidence. So they haven't even been marked as an MFI, 29 so they're not in.

5 September 2012

1 MR METZGER: I thought your Honour asked us to look at 2 diaries and we agreed that what was asked about and I certainly re-examined on those matters, yes, but they were re-examined 3 because they had been cross-examined by Mr Herbst. And it seems 4 5 what Mr Herbst is saying in relation to P Kanu 2, if we keep all 6 the entries from one diary together, he accepts that they could 7 be included in P Kanu 2 and moves for them so to be done on the 8 basis that clearly I've looked at that part.

9 And I would understand if Mr Herbst goes away and comes 10 back tomorrow and says oh, actually on day 3 I cross-examined him 11 about something else, because I can't guarantee that I have 12 looked at everything. I prepared my list in order to see what 13 the Prosecution was going to produce because he was moving for 14 the exhibits as I saw it.

JUSTICE DOHERTY: Well, what I'll do is I'll add them to the 2008 for the fullness of the record because they were referred to, definitely. And perhaps just to make sure we're all singing from the same hymn sheet on this, I'm going to go through the pages that now encompass Exhibit P Kanu 2 to make sure we all know what we're talking about.

First page is Monday, 4, without a month, and "Keh-For-Keh" and a phone number. Lower part has got "Andrew".

23 Second page is September 12, Friday, with a list of phone 24 numbers. Saturday, 13, with a number. Next is without a month, 25 it's Monday, 15, Tuesday, 16, refers to Ms Hanciles came.

Then the page that had some contention which is December 27 24/25 with an entry for a phone number and another piece of 28 arithmetic which we've already ruled - discussed and ruled upon. 29 Then the page which is called 2008 Financial Summary which

1 has a long series of phone numbers and other writing. 2 Then the page headed Telephone Directory which again has a 3 list of phone numbers and names. Then these three-odd pages which are February 20 with a 4 5 reference to Rwandan francs, RW francs, and some other 6 arithmetic. 7 Then there is March 19 and Thursday, 20, with a lot of 8 writing. And then there is 2008, Monday, 7, without a month 9 being mentioned --10 MR METZGER: It's July. 11 JUSTICE DOHERTY: -- headed "incident 2010", and the 12 writing proceeds on on 6 August. That is now P Kanu 2. So if 13 that's --14 MR HERBST: Your Honour, forgive me. 15 JUSTICE DOHERTY: Yes. MR HERBST: The only item I did not hear mentioned, but I 16 17 may have missed it, was the first one on my handwritten list 18 which is denominated 2008 Financial Summary with 810173 on it, 19 Mr Bangura's number. 20 MR METZGER: Your Honour mentioned it directly before the 21 telephone directory page because it appears in that order, I 22 think. JUSTICE DOHERTY: Yes. 23 I did mention that page, Mr Herbst, 24 Financial Summary page. But the document I have with Mr Hassan 25 Bangura's phone number is headed Telephone Directory, which is 26 But in any event, Mr Bangura's number is listed the last page. 27 and it's part of Exhibit Kanu P 2. 28 Now, I'm going to pass these down to Mr Court Officer to be 29 entered and then I'm going to adjourn Court and I look forward to

| 1 | seeing you all tomorrow at 9 o'clock. I thought about what you |
|----|---|
| 2 | said about starting a bit earlier, Mr Herbst, but regrettably the |
| 3 | weather is bad here, the roads are bad, it's school time, there |
| 4 | has been a lot of hold ups, and it's not just us, it's also our |
| 5 | support staff. If everybody is here, we'll do our best, but I |
| 6 | cannot order - I feel it's unfair at this late time to ask staff |
| 7 | to come in earlier than they already have arranged. So I'm going |
| 8 | to stick to 9 o'clock and we'll start promptly. Please adjourn |
| 9 | Court to 9. |
| 10 | [The Court adjourned at 4.19 p.m. until 9.00 a.m. |
| 11 | The following day] |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |
| 29 | |