	Case No. SCSL-2004-15-T THE PROSECUTOR OF THE SPECIAL COURT V. ISSA SESAY MORRIS KALLON AUGUSTINE GBAO
	MONDAY, 27 MARCH 2006 9.40 A.M. TRIAL
	TRIAL CHAMBER I
Before the Judges:	Pierre Boutet, Presiding Bankole Thompson Benjamin Mutanga Itoe
For Chambers:	Ms Candice Welsch Mr Matteo Crippa
For the Registry:	Ms Maureen Edmonds
For the Prosecution:	Mr Peter Harrison Ms Shyamala Alagendra Mr Lynn Hintz (intern)
For the Principal Defender:	Mr Vincent Nmehielle
For the accused Issa Sesay:	Mr Wayne Jordash
For the accused Morris Kallon:	Mr Melron Nicol-Wilson Mr Charles Taku Ms Ayesa Touré
For the accused Augustine Gbao:	Mr Andreas O'Shea Mr John Cammegh

[RUF27MAR06 - EKD] 1 2 Monday, 27 March 2006 3 [The accused present] [Open session] 4 09:35:45 5 [Upon resuming at 9.40 a.m.] PRESIDING JUDGE: Good morning, counsel. Mr O'Shea or 6 7 Mr Cammegh, one of the two -- yes? 8 MR TAKU: Your Honours, good morning. 9 PRESIDING JUDGE: Good morning. Welcome back. 09:46:45 10 MR TAKU: Thank you, Your Honours. I appear for Mr Kallon, 11 with Mr Nicol-Wilson and Ms Ayesa Touré. 12 PRESIDING JUDGE: We know who you are, you have been here 13 before. We will not ask you to introduce yourself. Thank you 14 very much. 09:47:02 15 Yes, Mr O'Shea, we are back in an open session scenario now because we were informed that you wished to address the Court 16 this morning. We are prepared to hear you and we would like to 17 18 know first what is the nature or purpose of your application this 19 morning. 09:47:25 20 MR O'SHEA: Thank you very much, Your Honour and I will do 21 that straightaway. Your Honour, I am making an application to this Court under Rule 45(E) of the Rules of Procedure and 22 Evidence. That is, I am making an application to withdraw from 23 this matter as counsel. 24 09:47:48 25 Let me say right at the outset that I mean absolutely no disrespect whatsoever to the Bench in making this application and 26 it is not my intention to go behind any of Your Honours' rulings 27 in relation to related matters to this. I believe the Court has 28 29 acted prudently, correctly and with the utmost sensitivity in

Page 2

dealing with the events which have unfolded over the last few
 weeks.

3 However, I find myself in a very difficult position and it 4 is with great sadness that I make this application. Your Honours 09:48:31 5 may be aware that I was the first assigned counsel to come to the 6 Special Court in April 2003. The history of this application is 7 that this is not the first time this kind of situation has arisen 8 before the Court.

9 As Your Honours will be aware on 6th July 2004 Mr Gbao
 09:48:58 10 indicated an intention to make an opening statement personally to
 11 this Court, a course of action which the Court was not willing to
 12 allow.

13 On 6 July 2004, Mr Gbao indicated he wished to withdraw his 14 entire legal team on the basis he did not recognise the Court. 09:49:19 15 The Chamber issued a ruling and in that ruling on the same date the Chamber held that it would not entertain that application as 16 17 Mr Gbao had not indicated any legitimate reasons as to why there 18 should be considered to be the most exceptional circumstances in terms of Rule 45(E). On 23rd November 2004 the Appeals Chamber 19 09:49:48 20 upheld the decision of this Chamber that myself and my legal team 21 shall continue to the finality of the case and overruled Mr Gbao's application under Rule 45(E). 22

23 Most recently, in February of this year, Mr Gbao put an 24 application before this Chamber to replace his legal team for a 25 Sierra Leonean lawyer. The Chamber considered that matter and 26 you, Mr President, wrote a letter to the Principal Defender 27 indicating the intentions of the Court, that the legal team for 28 Mr Gbao should not be interfered with, that his counsel should 29 not be dismissed, but that the Court was sympathetic to the idea

Page 4

1 of bringing a Sierra Leonean lawyer onto the team and recommended 2 that a meeting take place between one Mr Shears Moses and our 3 legal team. 4 PRESIDING JUDGE: Moses being suggested by the 09:50:59 5 Principal Defender? 6 MR O'SHEA: Mr Moses apparently being the name put forward 7 by Gbao himself and subsequently recommended by the 8 Principal Defender [indiscernible] for being counsel before these 9 proceedings. 09:51:13 10 Your Honour, that is the brief history behind this matter. 11 I have felt both personally and professionally greatly 12 embarrassed over the last few weeks by the way in which this 13 matter has taken place. There were things taking place without 14 my knowledge before I arrived here and then when I arrived here I 09:51:39 15 had a meeting with Mr Gbao, a meeting at which --JUDGE ITOE: Things like what, please? What things, may we 16 17 know? 18 MR O'SHEA: Your Honours, yes. I don't wish to unnecessarily --19 09:51:53 20 JUDGE ITOE: We are in a court of law. We need to know the 21 facts. PRESIDING JUDGE: Presumably your application is based on 22 the fact that, and you will suggest to the Court that this 23 24 constitutes really exceptional circumstances that would justify 09:52:07 25 the Court to agree with your submission. MR O'SHEA: Yes. 26 PRESIDING JUDGE: As you have rightfully pointed out, we 27 28 have refused to act in accordance with such application in the

29 past. This was supported by the Appeals Chamber, and therefore,

OPEN SESSION

1 unless you come up with very, very cogent reasons and facts that 2 would support your application to convince this Court that there 3 exists now such exceptional circumstances, and I would add to that Mr O'Shea, for your govern, that I would say given the state 4 09:52:47 5 of the trial we are at, the level of the exceptional circumstances increases as we move along. Because obviously 6 7 circumstances that may exist before we move into the trial and 8 halfway through are -- not that we are halfway through, but we 9 are certainly well into the Prosecution case. I know you 09:53:06 10 understand that and I just want to make sure you understand the 11 position of the Bench on this.

12 It is not to cause unnecessary hardship to you personally, 13 but we have very, very serious concerns about the direction that 14 this case is taking, and certainly any decision we make we must 09:53:23 15 ensure that not only the rights of the accused are properly 16 protected but at the same time it does not cause any unnecessary 17 delay in the proceedings. But having said that, we are listening 18 to you, Mr O'Shea.

MR O'SHEA: I will, of course, respect any decision that 19 09:53:37 20 comes from this Court, whatever it may be. I am fully 21 recognizant of the fact that the threshold I must meet is a very high one. The Rule states that counsel will only be permitted to 22 withdraw in the most exceptional circumstances, which are quite 23 24 strong words. And I know that there has been discussion before 09:54:00 25 the Appeals Chamber and the Trial Chamber about that concept. In particular, on 8 December 2005 there was a decision in 26 27 the Appeals Chamber with regard to the AFRC case. So I am aware that the threshold is very high. For my part, Your Honours, I 28 29 feel, I am afraid, ethically obligated to make this application

no matter how high the threshold may appear to be under the
 Rules.

3 The matter which I alluded to briefly, which His Honour Judge Itoe asked for elaboration on, is really a matter more of 4 09:54:37 5 discomfort and collateral to the essential reason why I make this application, but I suppose has some relevance and bearing on the 6 7 matter. Before I arrived to court for this trial session it 8 appears from my inquiries that there have been visits from a 9 local Sierra Leonean lawyer to the detention centre to visit 09:55:07 10 Mr Gbao. I have been totally unaware of the existence of these visits, and for my part, whatever anyone else might say, I find 11 12 that totally unacceptable, that somebody who is called to a Bar 13 in whichever state should be called upon to visit a detainee who 14 is represented, without counsel who is representing that detainee 09:55:35 15 being informed of that matter. That was the first level of stress and discomfort for me. 16

I did receive a copy of a letter from Mr Gbao which 17 18 indicated that he wished to replace his legal team. I then had a conversation with Mr Gbao in which he confirmed that position, 19 09:56:02 20 but he indicated that he did not have a difficulty with 21 Mr Cammegh as opposed to myself. I felt Mr Gbao was quite firm in his position in our first meeting. However, unfortunately, I 22 did feel that there was a glimmer of hope. And yet when I 23 24 subsequently discussed matters with the Defence office as to the 09:56:35 25 reasons why I thought Mr Gbao wished to dispense with my 26 services, those matters, or my conversations with the Defence office, were immediately reported or misreported back to the 27 28 client. The result was that my subsequent meeting with Mr Gbao I 29 could say that things broke down practically irretrievably

Page 7

1 between us.

2	That's essentially the history of the matter.
3	PRESIDING JUDGE: Mr O'Shea, I am sorry to interrupt you at
4	this particular stage. I am just informed that the recording
09:57:12 5	system has broken down and nothing is being recorded at this
6	particular time. So it is suggested we adjourn shortly. It is
7	judged ten minutes and we'll take it from there. This is one of
8	those technical difficulties that is beyond our control. We need
9	to have what you say on record.
09:57:35 10	MR O'SHEA: Yes, I will repeat myself.
11	PRESIDING JUDGE: This is very important to you and to us
12	as well. As I say, we apologise. We will break for this matter
13	to be rectified and as soon as it is fixed we will come back and
14	hear the remaining of your application.
09:57:53 15	MR O'SHEA: Thank you very much.
16	[Break taken at 10.52 a.m.]
17	[Upon resuming at 10.07 a.m.]
18	PRESIDING JUDGE: Mr O'Shea, we have been informed that the
19	problem for the time being is fixed. Don't be surprised if we
10:13:12 20	have to intervene again to say we still have problems, because
21	apparently the diagnosis is an ongoing process and it may break
22	down in two minutes. This is the nature of some of the
23	difficulties we are having. But having said that, apparently it
24	is working now. So we will hear you again and please take it
10:13:34 25	where you were, if you recall where you were in the midst of your
26	application. Thank you.
27	MR O'SHEA: Thank you, Your Honour. It is always the most
28	painful submissions that one has to repeat.
29	PRESIDING JUDGE: You can just give us the essence of your

1 introduction and we will take it from there.

2 MR O'SHEA: Yes, I will do so.

3 Your Honour, this is an application under Rule 45(E) of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence. It is an application that I be 4 10:14:04 5 permitted to withdraw as assigned counsel in this case. Let me say right at the outset that it is an application which I make 6 7 with no disrespect whatsoever to you, Mr President, or 8 Your Honours. I believe that Your Honours have acted in this 9 matter prudently, correctly and with the utmost sensitivity, and 10:14:33 10 having regard to the best interests of the client. I also have 11 no intention of going behind any rulings that Your Honours have 12 made thus far.

I would indicate that this application is distinct from the
application of Mr Gbao, first of all, because it comes from
counsel and not from the accused. And secondly, because my
reasons for wishing to withdraw are different from Mr Gbao's
justifications for wishing to dismiss me. It is an application I
make with sadness, having been the first assigned counsel before
the Special Court, appointed in April 2003.

10:15:19 20 The history of Mr Gbao's wishing to dispense with counsel 21 is a fairly long one in the context. Mr Gbao first made such an application on 6th July 2004, where he requested his counsel be 22 withdrawn. That was an application which this Chamber refused on 23 24 the basis that the reason why Mr Gbao wished to do so was because 10:15:50 25 he did not recognise the legitimacy of the Special Court, and that that was not a sufficient reason for the purposes of the 26 27 exceptional circumstances test under Rule 45(E). That matter 28 went to the Appeals Chamber and the Appeals Chamber decided on 23rd November 2004 that this Chamber was correct in its ruling 29

1 that myself and my legal team should continue to the finality of 2 the case. That is the legal framework within which we are today. 3 On or about 20th February 2006 Mr Gbao put in an application to this Chamber, again to replace his legal team but 4 10:16:25 5 this time for a different reason. This time because he wished to appoint a named Sierra Leonean lawyer onto the team of Mr Gbao. 6 7 PRESIDING JUDGE: I think to be more precise, I think it 8 was a bit more. It was not only to appoint a Sierra Leonean 9 lawyer, it was to have a Sierra Leonean lawyer to head his team, 10:16:48 10 which is a substantially different notion. 11 MR O'SHEA: Yes, to head his team and replace his existing 12 counsel. PRESIDING JUDGE: That's right. 13 MR O'SHEA: This is a matter which the Chamber considered 14 10:17:00 15 cautiously over time and you, Mr President, then wrote a letter to the Principal Defender with the decision that Mr Gbao would 16 17 not be permitted to dismiss his counsel, that the Chamber was 18 sympathetic to the idea of having a Sierra Leonean lawyer on the 19 Gbao team, and that a meeting should be accorded between the Gbao 10:17:24 20 team and the said Sierra Leonean lawyer, but that the Chamber did 21 not think it was a good idea that that lawyer should take the position of lead counsel or co-counsel or affect the hierarchy 22

23 within the team.

That is a decision which this Chamber re-emphasised orally on 24th March, after Mr Gbao made a public statement. It is Mr Gbao's public statement which is perhaps the turning point in my application. In that public statement Mr Gbao indicated that he had no trust and confidence in me; that he had no difficulty with Mr Cammegh, but that he wished to dismiss my services.

PRESIDING JUDGE: But you will remember that in that
 statement, not by Mr Gbao but by this Court, we clearly stated
 that we have full confidence in you and your ability to properly
 act on behalf of this accused, and that we felt that you had
 10:18:34 5 discharged your duty in a most professional way, while he the
 accused had decided not to show up in court.
 This is for the record. I think it is very important that

8 it be assessed in that perspective. You had acted to represent 9 him to the best of your ability in difficult circumstances when 10:18:58 10 it was impossible to get instructions. But based on the limited information you had at the time, both you and Mr Cammegh did the 11 12 utmost to defend him and represent him fairly and to make sure 13 that his rights at all times were properly protected and 14 defended. So I think it is important that it be known as well, 10:19:22 15 To throw in the air that I don't want my lawyer because whatever it is. That is why I say you need to give very, very cogent and 16 strong reason as to why, especially in the light of what we 17 18 observe to be your performance in this Court, which as I say we commended you and your colleague for having done your work in a 19 10:19:43 20 most professional way. But having said that, please proceed. 21 MR O'SHEA: I am very grateful and I am sure Mr Cammegh is

21 MR O SHEA: I am very grateful and I am sure Mr Cammegn IS
 22 very grateful for that indication, Your Honour.

JUDGE THOMPSON: As you continue along those lines in fact
it seems you're making an application to withdraw your
representation here as assigned counsel and you've alluded to the
fact of the public statement by the third accused of lack of
confidence in your professional competence and the
Presiding Judge in fact has just given sort of his own assessment
from the Bench perspective of that professional -- of that

1 statement. It would seem to me in trying to resolve the issue -2 in other words, determining whether there is merit in your 3 application as you go along - to satisfy me, and I'm speaking for myself, to what extent, based on the jurisprudence, would such a 4 10:21:06 5 public statement of an accused person as to lack of confidence in his lawyer be a criterion or valid or otherwise consideration in 6 7 assisting the Court to come to a resolution of the matter. If 8 you know of any jurisprudence elsewhere where that has been given 9 any weight. That's my own -- if you can, now or later, it would 10:21:35 10 be helpful to me, speaking for myself.

11 MR O'SHEA: Yes.

JUDGE THOMPSON: But I didn't really mean to interrupt therhythm of your application. Thank you.

MR O'SHEA: Yes. Your Honour, the position with regard to 14 10:21:48 15 the jurisprudence is that on a national level in my jurisdiction, the jurisdiction of the United Kingdom, these matters are usually 16 dealt with on the basis of the ethical code of conduct of counsel 17 18 and very rarely become a matter of judicial consideration. There 19 have been exceptional cases where that has happened but, 10:22:15 20 generally speaking, because the trials are not as long and 21 complicated as the trial we are dealing with here, these are matters which are usually dealt with outside the courtroom in 22 national jurisdictions, certainly in my own jurisdiction. It has 23 24 been generally understood that once a client has indicated very 10:22:36 25 clearly that he has no trust and confidence in this lawyer that it is the ethical duty of that lawyer to withdraw. 26

27 PRESIDING JUDGE: Indeed. That is quite standard practice.
28 I don't think it is disputed in national systems. But you will
29 concede that the post taken by international tribunals - I'm not

talking only of this Court - is in that respect substantially
 different. From the top of my head I know some cases, ICTR, for
 example, where courts have refused to agree to this because it
 could be a scheme, for example, to simply delay. I am not saying
 10:23:12 5 it is in this case here.

JUDGE ITOE: A scheme in fact to disrupt the proceedings
and to put a block, you know, on the expeditiousness that this
Tribunal wants to impact on these trials. We are on the 66th
witness and I feel very concerned about the departure of counsel
10:23:38 10 who has been defending an accused up to the 66th witness and the
impact it might have.

12 And let me say this, I want to say this and I want to go on 13 record, I had said it the other day: Even if Mr Shears Moses 14 were the most intelligent lawyer in the world, he would not at 10:23:58 15 this point in time be capable of taking over the defence of this client. He cannot. Even if we credited him with being the most 16 brilliant lawyer in the world. I wouldn't do that anyway. I'm a 17 18 lawyer, but I don't think I would arrogate myself with the competence of taking over the defence of a counsel when 66 19 10:24:21 20 witnesses of the Prosecution have been heard, examined and 21 disposed of. This is my worry and I'm sure it's the worry of this Chamber. 22

23 MR O'SHEA: Yes, and Your Honour makes a very fair point 24 and that's why I say that I have no criticism whatsoever of 25 Your Honours' ruling of 24th March. It's a very considered and 26 proper ruling in the circumstances. The jurisprudence on this 27 matter before the international tribunals supports what 28 Your Honours say. There is a decision, I believe, Barayagwiza in 29 the ICTR.

1 JUDGE THOMPSON: Yes, that's what I'm looking for. I'm 2 looking for some guidance. 3 MR O'SHEA: There is also the decision in the case of Nzirorera. Nzirorera was a case where the accused wished to 4 10:25:12 5 change his legal counsel. 6 JUDGE THOMPSON: In other words, what was the response of 7 the Tribunal? 8 MR O'SHEA: The response of the Tribunal was that there was 9 no proper basis upon which the counsel should --10:25:23 10 PRESIDING JUDGE: It's in the rarest of cases that courts 11 have ever agreed in mid-course of a trial to the replacement of a 12 counsel, as such. That's why the threshold is so high. 13 JUDGE THOMPSON: The reason I ask this is because you 14 yourself in the course of your application have said it was that 10:25:40 15 public statement that triggered off your application and that was why I pushed you to the position of saying if that was what 16 triggered it off, I need to be guided on the basis of the 17 18 jurisprudence as to which other tribunals have allowed such a factor to be considered a valid or overriding factor in 19 10:26:04 20 determining the issue that you have brought before us. For me 21 it's quite a neat legal question. MR O'SHEA: In international tribunals there is no case 22 where such a confirmation has been made. Probably the closest 23 24 case to the current situation, although the facts are different, 10:26:24 25 in my submission is the Milosevic case because the Milosevic case was a case where counsel himself, as opposed to the accused --26 there were a number of cases where the accused made the 27 28 application but in the Milosevic case the application came from 29 counsel, Mr Steven Kay, and he made the application on the basis

Page 13

1 that his professional code of conduct did not permit him to 2 continue with a client who had indicated that he did not wish to 3 be defended by him. In that case the Trial Chamber ruled against that application. 4 10:27:01 5 PRESIDING JUDGE: And appointed him as court appointed 6 counsel. 7 JUDGE THOMPSON: Precisely. 8 MR O'SHEA: And appointed him as amicus counsel and then, 9 later, as defence counsel when there were difficulties during the 10:27:11 10 course of the trial. PRESIDING JUDGE: Which supports our position. Obviously 11 12 at the stage where that application was being made the Milosevic 13 trial has progressed to a stage where it was felt that if he were 14 to be removed -- counsel were to be removed at that time or 10:27:25 15 replaced it would have caused certainly at that time a very, very important delay in the proceedings. But, Mr O'Shea, it is not to 16 take that part away from your presentation, but in your first 17 18 time when we experienced some technical difficulties you had indicated that you were feeling both professionally and 19 10:27:47 20 personally embarrassed by this situation. 21 MR O'SHEA: Yes. PRESIDING JUDGE: The situation being, in particular, this 22 public statement of Friday of last week. You expanded a bit on 23 24 this to say, to give to the Court -- and if you are still able to 10:28:02 25 and are prepared to restate that part. Simply because, as you know, the record, if in existence, is bleak. 26 MR O'SHEA: I will do so, Your Honour. I think it's an 27 28 important part of the history of my decision to make this application. But before I do so, let me just wrap up on the 29

Page 14

Page 15

1 jurisprudence issue by reminding Your Honours of what the Appeals 2 Chamber in the Special Court for Sierra Leone said on 8th 3 December 2005 --PRESIDING JUDGE: This is the AFRC decision? 4 10:28:38 5 MR O'SHEA: This is the AFRC decision. It is the decision 6 on Brima, Kamara Defence Appeal Motion Against Trial Chamber II 7 Majority Decision on Extremely Urgent Confidential Joint Motion 8 for the Reappointment of Kevin Metzger and Wilbert Harris as Lead 9 Counsel for Alex Tamba Brima and Brima Bazzy Kamara, decision of 10:28:58 10 8th December 2005. At paragraph 76 of that decision on page 43 of the transcripts the Appeals Chamber indicates, when reminding 11 12 itself of Rule 45(E), it says the following: 13 "The severity of this sub-rule reflects the gravity of 14 abandoning a client charged with a serious crime and facing 10:29:22 15 a lengthy prison sentence if convicted. It is not a rule that applies to only to war crimes courts. The most 16 17 exceptional circumstances test is found in many codes of 18 conduct for barristers in common law countries. Essentially it is a core professional duty imposed on all 19 10:29:38 20 who defend persons accused of serious crime. No matter how 21 inconvenient to their lives or how detestable their client, or how sick they are, or how threatened they feel, a 22 barrister must stick with a client to the end of the a 23 trial." 24 10:29:54 25 So I am well aware of the fact that the test --PRESIDING JUDGE: But you have to look at it in the 26 27 perspective of the factual background that led to that decision. 28 This is a decision where the lawyers in that case had submitted 29 that they had to resign because they'd been threatened. So this

is -- I don't think the facts in the AFRC and applying 45(E) are 1 2 to do with confidence or lack of confidence by the accused 3 themselves to his lawyer. I don't think that was the case. MR O'SHEA: That's absolutely correct, Your Honour. The 4 10:30:26 5 facts were very different. I only cite that passage, being a passage of the Appeals Chamber interpreting Rule 45(E), to 6 7 indicate how high the threshold has been set. 8 PRESIDING JUDGE: Indeed. Let it be said that we have no 9 doubt about that. 10:30:38 10 MR O'SHEA: Yes, and I am recognizant of the fact that I 11 have to meet a very high threshold in this matter. Your Honours 12 have indicated that you would like me to elaborate on why I feel 13 personally and professionally embarrassed in this matter. 14 PRESIDING JUDGE: Yes. 10:30:56 15 MR O'SHEA: Your Honours, leading up to this particular trial session my knowledge of the situation was as it had been 16 for a number of months previously. That Mr Gbao was taking his 17 18 position that he was not recognising the court, that he would not appear in the proceedings and that was essentially the basis upon 19 10:31:21 20 which he did not wish to have lawyers. I can indicate as a 21 development to that that Mr Gbao was not at all happy with the fact that after the Chamber had ruled against him I refused not 22 to appear in court subsequently, which led to a situation, 23 gradually, where Mr Gbao's wish not to have his lawyers became 24 10:31:47 25 more personal than it had been hitherto. So I did not -- I was not aware of the fact that there was 26

27 correspondence going on between the Principal Defender and the
28 Trial Chamber. I was not aware of the fact that there were
29 visits by a local lawyer to the detention centre of the

Page 16

Special Court which were taking place in my absence. In fact, it
 appears that there are two local lawyers that have visited
 Mr Gbao; one Mr Shears Moses and one Mr King. This is
 information that I have gathered by virtue of inquiries from the
 10:32:25 5 chief of the detention centre.
 PRESIDING JUDGE: Just to make sure, you say that there was

7 correspondence between the Principal Defender and the Chamber.

8 MR O'SHEA: Yes.

9 PRESIDING JUDGE: It all originated by a handwritten letter
10:32:39 10 by your client, Mr Gbao, to the Chamber. So that's how it
11 started off, and eventually the Principal Defender was asked to
12 look into the matter.

MR O'SHEA: Yes. I should say that that was the first 13 14 point at which I became aware that something was going on in that 10:33:01 15 I was sent a copy of the letter which Mr Gbao had written to Your Honours where he had indicated that he wished to replace his 16 legal team, myself and TNT Solicitors, who, as Your Honours will 17 18 know, have already -- or Mr Girish Thanki has already departed for reasons of personal health from the team. So that was the 19 10:33:31 20 first indication I received.

> I was not informed of the fact that anybody of legal qualification was visiting my client in the detention centre. I was not informed of that fact and I felt that I should have been informed.

10:33:49 25 When I came to Sierra Leone for the status conference the 26 first sort of indication I got as to what really had been going 27 on was a letter written by the Principal Defender to Mr Gbao 28 which was handed to me about 10 minutes before the matter of 29 Mr Gbao's legal representation was dealt with in the detention

1 centre and I read that letter before I got on my feet. And I 2 indicated to His Honour Judge Thompson that I had seen the 3 correspondence, but at that point I didn't realise that I in fact had not seen all the correspondence. 4 10:34:25 5 PRESIDING JUDGE: This was at the status conference. 6 MR O'SHEA: That was at the status conference where 7 Mr Nmehielle was present and he showed me a copy of the letter 8 which he sent to Mr Gbao in which he indicated he was against the 9 idea of the replacement of the legal team, but that perhaps 10:34:44 10 Mr Shears Moses could possibly be appointed as a co-lead counsel. 11 That was the letter which I saw. 12 PRESIDING JUDGE: As a co-lead counsel. 13 MR O'SHEA: Well, there was one line in that letter which 14 said possibly Mr Shears Moses could be appointed as a co-lead 10:35:00 15 counsel. PRESIDING JUDGE: And the letter you're making reference to 16 now is the letter by the Principal Defender to the Chamber? 17 18 MR O'SHEA: No, I did not see the letter by the Principal Defender to the Chamber. The only letter I saw which 19 10:35:11 20 was shown to me during the status conference was the letter from 21 the Principal Defender to Mr Gbao. PRESIDING JUDGE: You had mentioned, if I may direct you as 22 well, that in your professional embarrassment other than the fact 23 24 that two local lawyers had seen your client without your 10:35:31 25 knowledge, you mentioned something about the Principal Defender 26 at that stage when queried by my brother Justice Itoe about this 27 and you were asked to expand on that. Would you please repeat that again for the record. We just wanted that to be repeated. 28 MR O'SHEA: Certainly. First and foremost, I should 29

Page 18

indicate with regard to these visits that at the time of the
 status conference, and immediately thereafter, I still had no
 knowledge of these visits.

The point at which I learnt of these visits was by accident 4 10:36:07 5 in a car when I was speaking to Ms Haddi Kah-Jallow informally, something like a week or a week and a half, after the status 6 7 conference. She had indicated to me that a lawyer had gone down 8 to the detention centre and I asked, "Well, how did that come 9 about? How was it facilitated?" At which point it was indicated 10:36:36 10 to me that it was the defence office that had facilitated those 11 visits.

I think that is the point at which I became quite disturbed professionally in what was happening in relation to my team and my client. I began to feel that things were happening to the exclusion of my knowledge in a manner which never should have happened.

I had a meeting with the client on the first day or I think on the day of the status conference or immediately after the status conference. The client indicated to me his position that he'd set out in his letter very clearly, that he wished to replace his legal team and that he wished to have a Sierra Leonean lawyer. But he did also indicate to me that he had no difficulty with Mr Cammegh.

24 PRESIDING JUDGE: Mr O'Shea, again, I'm sorry to interrupt 10:37:36 25 you. It would appear we are still experiencing some other 26 technical difficulties. Let me just ascertain with the court 27 officer if it is the case. If that is the case, we may have to 28 stop again because of this. It is important we have you on 29 record. We do have a stenographer, as you know, but the system
of audio-visual is important because this is the exact recording of what you're saying and what is happening in court. Madam court officer?

4 MS EDMONDS: I don't know of any problems, Your Honour, but 10:38:12 5 I'll check.

PRESIDING JUDGE: If it is okay for now. Let us know what
is happening. Mr O'Shea, apparently we are still --

8 MR O'SHEA: We're all right. Thank you, Your Honour. I 9 can't, in any sense, say that that meeting with the client was a 10:38:29 10 positive meeting. It was quite clear that the client was quite adamant in his position. However, I did ask the client to 11 12 elaborate to me his reasons or his motivations or his 13 difficulties with me, which he did say, and urged him to reconcile for the sake of his case. His reaction to me was he 14 10:38:55 15 would see what the decision of the Trial Chamber was and take a position when he received that decision. I felt that while, as a 16 17 whole, the meeting was not that positive, there was at that stage 18 a glimmer of hope that I could get things back on track with the client, but I was not sure. That was only a personal perception. 19 10:39:17 20 Immediately after that meeting, Your Honours will know that 21 there is this novel concept in this Court of a defence office where there are lawyers who are there to assist in the process in 22 a role I can say slightly more than administrative and, in that 23 24 context, there were certain things which were conveyed to me by 10:39:39 25 the client which I felt it was important for the Defence office

26 to know.

27 PRESIDING JUDGE: What do you mean by this? I must say, I
28 would like to be enlightened of what you mean by this very last
29 statement.

1 MR O'SHEA: Yes. Well, Your Honours, I can't elaborate to 2 a full extent because I obviously do have a position of legal 3 privilege with the client. PRESIDING JUDGE: Absolutely, and we are not asking you --4 10:40:08 5 MR O'SHEA: I cannot report everything the client says to 6 me to the Chamber. What I can indicate was that I felt there 7 were certain things I did have a duty to say to the Defence 8 office and a professional duty. The Defence office, it would 9 appear, repeated my words or, I should say, from my perception of 10:40:28 10 my subsequent meeting with the client perhaps misreported my words to Mr Gbao. Because when I then had my second meeting with 11 12 Mr Gbao, Mr Gbao was I can only put it as completely furious with 13 me because of things that he said I had said to the Defence office or defence officers. At that point, I can say that the 14 10:40:56 15 relationship, in a sense, irretrievably broke down between me and Mr Gbao. 16 So that is the history of the matter and I felt a great 17 18 degree of personal and professional discomfort at the way things had unraveled. Then I decided to reserve my professional 19 10:41:19 20 position with regard to this case and the Chamber until the

21 decision of the Chamber came out and to see what would be the 22 reaction of Mr Gbao and how that reaction would develop. So I 23 didn't want to act precipitously.

As I have indicated, in my own jurisdiction, in my own code 10:41:51 25 of ethics, it is understood that in these kinds of circumstances 26 I have an ethical obligation to withdraw from the case. I think 27 in the context of this international tribunal it has to be put as 28 this: my national ethical obligation is to make this request to 29 the Chamber.

1 PRESIDING JUDGE: Indeed, and we do appreciate your 2 dilemma, if I can put it this way. 3 MR O'SHEA: Thank you, Mr President. 4 JUDGE ITOE: If I may, Mr O'Shea, I appreciate your 10:42:22 5 forthrightness with the Court and your professionalism to bring 6 forward this matter on the table of the Trial Chamber. I just 7 want to know, in the letters, in your interactions with the 8 accused and the Principal Defender, is there any accusation 9 against you of having been in breach of your professional 10:42:48 10 obligations against your client, or is there any mention or reference to a misconduct which may have motivated your client --11 12 I wouldn't say as per this stage because I think it would be 13 necessary for us to hear the Principal Defender because he 14 appears to be the principal actor on this issue, which is very 10:43:19 15 cloudy. It is very, very cloudy, I must say. But right now, were you told of any professional misconduct or any failure to 16 17 live up to your professional obligations, given your legal 18 services contract? MR O'SHEA: Well, the accused has views upon that. The 19 10:43:34 20 accused believes that I have not lived up to my professional 21 obligations. The accused believes he was misled by the first Principal Defender, Mr John Jones, who had essentially --22 JUDGE ITOE: The Chamber has said that it is not satisfied 23 24 that you have not lived up to your professional obligations. 10:43:53 25 This is our view. MR O'SHEA: Yes. Well, the accused holds the view that he 26 was misled by Mr Jones on the fact that I was sold to him as an 27

> 28 expert in the field of amnesty. He feels I did not live up to my 29 professional obligations with regard to dealing with that issue

Page 22

1	of amnesty and the Lome accord. The accused also feels I have
2	not lived up to my professional obligations with regards to the
3	indictment. The accused feels I should have done more to ensure
4	that he was re-arraigned on the whole indictment, which he feels
10:44:28 5	it was his right. So, from the accused's perspective, there are
6	matters that he is not happy about on my dealing with this case.
7	With regard to the Principal Defender, the
8	Principal Defender recorded in a response which he wrote to a
9	letter from me and Mr Cammegh, which was copied to Your Honours,
10:44:53 10	that it had never been suggested by the Defence office or the
11	Chamber that we had not I cannot remember the exact words now,
12	but that we had not acted properly or that we had in any way
13	acted below our professional expectations.
14	PRESIDING JUDGE: This letter you are making reference to
10:45:15 15	is a recent correspondence?
16	MR O'SHEA: Yes.
17	PRESIDING JUDGE: As a result of this application by the
18	third accused?
19	MR O'SHEA: There was a letter we wrote on 13 March,
10:45:22 20	Mr Cammegh and myself.
21	PRESIDING JUDGE: And it is a response to that letter.
22	MR O'SHEA: And there was a response to that letter. It is
23	in that response there is one line in the letter which
24	acknowledges the Defence office has never suggested that we have
10:45:37 25	not acted with the professionalism that we were supposed to.
26	PRESIDING JUDGE: In all of what you are saying, it is
27	clear, as alluded to by my learned brother Justice Itoe, that the
28	Principal Defender has played a role that we need to know more
29	about and it is probable we will call him to come here to this

OPEN SESSION

Page 24

1 Court to tell us a bit more of the background of this because we 2 were getting concerned on what the Principal Defender's office 3 seemed to be doing lately, as such, arrogating to himself or his office certain responsibilities that would appear to go well 4 10:46:21 5 beyond what we perceive to be his role and function. Having said that, in fairness to him and all his office, we may ask to have 6 7 him to come and explain to this Court the background and to give 8 the full picture for the record, if only for that, and to know 9 his position in these matters.

10:46:37 10 MR O'SHEA: In fairness to the Principal Defender, I can 11 say he has always indicated to me that he has done everything 12 within his power to try and reconcile me with Mr Gbao. Certainly 13 in terms of the correspondence I received from him and the 14 correspondence he sent to Mr Gbao, he has made it very clear he 10:47:03 15 is against the idea of replacement of legal counsel. However, I do have to say I have suffered a great deal of personal stress --16 JUDGE THOMPSON: Notwithstanding that the other palliating 17 18 comment by you in respect to the Principal Defender's position, it does not necessarily dispel some of the lingering doubts in 19 10:47:27 20 the minds of the Bench that perhaps some legal misconception 21 about the institutional role of the Principal Defender within the Court may well have been a contributory factor to the problems 22 you may have experienced. Therefore, notwithstanding your own 23 24 eagerness to diminish any possible responsibility here, I think the Court should be treated with candour. To say that perhaps 10:47:57 25 26 the misconception as to the institutional role of a 27 Principal Defender in such delicate matters where the assigned 28 counsel may well have been a contributory factor, and perhaps 29 need to be clarified by this Bench once and for all to avoid a

1 repetition of situations of this nature, which are really posing 2 this Court with a lot of difficulty in complying with the 3 mandates that we have and particularly having regard to the overriding norm to proceed expeditiously with these trials. 4 10:48:44 5 MR O'SHEA: Indeed, Your Honour. I myself was struck by what I perceived to be a misconception on the part of the Defence 6 7 Office as to the proper role in this kind of matter. This was a 8 matter which was clear to -- it was clear to everybody that there 9 was a strained relationship between the lawyers and Mr Gbao and 10:49:13 10 it required a high degree of sensitivity. A degree of 11 sensitivity which Your Honours have shown in the way you have 12 come to your ruling of 24th March, but a sensitivity which I have 13 felt has been lacking in other quarters. It has caused me, the 14 way in which I have been dealt with by various parties, not the 10:49:37 15 Chamber, but by various parties -- has caused me a great deal of 16 stress.

17 So my application to withdraw from this case is based on my 18 ethical position that I have a client who has indicated in no uncertain terms that he wants absolutely nothing to do with me. 19 10:50:02 20 He will not co-operate with me, he will not provide me with 21 instructions, he will not communicate with me. He wants to have absolutely nothing to do with me. I have indicated to the 22 Chamber some of the factors which may have contributed towards 23 that feeling for my part --24

10:50:25 25 JUDGE THOMPSON: Let me interject for my own enlightenment. 26 Now that you stress that aspect, do you know whether this 27 client's position, which really was canvassed earlier on in this 28 Court that he does not recognise the jurisdiction of this Court 29 is still the same? If you don't know, don't answer, but I'd be

1 interested to find out. 2 MR O'SHEA: Well, I personally haven't been in 3 communication with the client. The implication of the client's attendance in court would appear to suggest that there may have 4 10:51:04 5 been a modification in his position. I don't know to what 6 extent. 7 JUDGE THOMPSON: It may well be approbating and 8 reprobating. MR O'SHEA: Your Honour, I really don't know. It's a 9 10:51:16 10 matter that I have not had the opportunity to --JUDGE THOMPSON: Just out of curiosity I would like to know 11 12 because when you say that here is a client who is saying that he 13 absolutely doesn't want you to represent him, I would like to know also what is his locus, a court that he has said he does not 14 10:51:34 15 recognise at all. But I will just leave it at that. I merely thought I should raise what to me is a very important question 16 17 for me and I will let it rest and not put you to any further 18 inquiry in terms of --MR O'SHEA: Thank you. 19 10:52:06 20 JUDGE THOMPSON: But I thought it something worth thinking 21 about. MR O'SHEA: Mr Cammegh has indicated that he would like to 22 23 say a few words on this matter and when he does he may be able to enlighten the Court further. It is not something I have 24 10:52:18 25 specifically asked Mr Cammegh and I don't know if Mr Cammegh has specifically asked it to the client. I think for our part it's a 26 27 matter we felt best left alone probably. 28 JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.

29 MR O'SHEA: So, Your Honours, of course I am aware of the

1	very high threshold. I have whatever Mr Gbao may say, I have
2	always done what I felt not necessarily was in his wishes, which
3	is perhaps another matter he's not so happy about. I have not
4	always acted according to his wishes, but I have always done what
10:52:52 5	I have felt is in his best legal interests. Whatever decision
6	this Chamber reaches I will accept and if my application is
7	refused I will bear no grudges against anybody and I will
8	continue to act in his best interest. But it seems to me that in
9	circumstances where the client has picked out one individual on
10:53:22 10	his team who happens to be not only the assigned counsel but also
11	the lead counsel and said, "I wish nothing to do with that man, I
12	have no trust and confidence in him," and when it comes to the
13	point where it appears to be a position that it would take a
14	great deal to change, in all fairness I have no other option than
10:53:45 15	to make this application to the Court on ethical grounds.
16	PRESIDING JUDGE: We understand your position.
17	MR O'SHEA: Thank you.
18	PRESIDING JUDGE: Mr Cammegh, you wish to add a few words
19	as suggested by Mr O'Shea.
10:54:00 20	MR CAMMEGH: Just a few words, if I may.
21	PRESIDING JUDGE: It's in response to Justice Thompson's
22	question?
23	MR CAMMEGH: I will deal with that to a degree. I would
24	like to preface my comments with the following: I mean no
10:54:16 25	discourtesy and disrespect to the Chamber who, I agree with
26	entirely Mr O'Shea, have acted properly and sensitively. I
27	emphasise sensitively throughout, particularly during the last
28	few turbulent weeks. I would also like to say this: I think in
29	the manner of his exposition to you just now, Mr O'Shea has

1	demonstrated amply precisely what he has to offer in terms of the
2	defence of his client. I am referring in particular to his
3	ability to state legal argument in a concise way, drawn upon a
4	wide range of knowledge that I cannot possibly match. That is
10:55:08 5	why our team ascribes to largely different functions. I cannot,
6	in all fairness, claim to be an expert when it comes to putting
7	together, for example, a 98 bis motion or at the appeal stage.
8	It is for that reason that I am afraid and I don't want to
9	sound as if I'm be obstructive, but I want to make this
10:55:33 10	absolutely clear. There is no way in which I would be willing to
11	stand in Mr O'Shea's shoes as assigned counsel in this trial.
12	JUDGE ITOE: There is no way?
13	MR CAMMEGH: I would not wish to be considered as assigned
14	counsel in this trial should Mr O'Shea withdraw. But I have to
10:55:55 15	go further than that and say that I would also have to consider
16	my position in relation to further representation of Mr Gbao. It
17	is not something I could decide overnight. It is something that
18	I would have to give a great deal of thought to.
19	When I started this case myself I had no idea that I would
10:56:18 20	still be involved nearly two years later and, unlike both
21	Mr Jordash and Mr O'Shea, I have tried to juggle a practice at
22	home with a practice here. The financial and, I should say,
23	personal loss that I have had to suffer over the last two years
24	has been enormous. But I feel I have a responsibility here and
10:56:41 25	that is why I have continued. That is why Mr O'Shea and I are
26	the team that we are.
27	Now, it's perhaps for others to decide or for others to

Now, it's perhaps for others to decide or for others to
determine or investigate what has been going on. But I must echo
Mr O'Shea's comments and sentiments. I, for my part, also have

1	been under a tremendous amount of stress this session. I have
2	been in the embarrassing position of having to ask to leave court
3	which is something I would never have dreamt of doing at home and
4	nor would I have been allowed. So I am grateful to Your Honour
10:57:27 5	for your forbearance in order that I can take instructions from
6	my client. It has placed intolerable strain on the both of us.
7	I have to say that since I arrived on 27th February, which
8	was later on the day of the status conference, it appears that
9	events have unfolded in which our position has become
10:57:58 10	destabilised. I should say, to be fair to the Defence Office and
11	to the Principal Defender himself, there is no suggestion
12	whatsoever that they have gone out of their way to introduce
13	lawyers to Mr Gbao. My understanding is that Mr Shears Moses was
14	put forward by Mr Gbao. So I want to make that absolutely clear.
10:58:26 15	I really don't want to take up any more of this Court's
16	time. I echo what Mr O'Shea has said, I support his position.
17	But, with the greatest of respect to the Bench, I must repeat
18	that I do not wish to apply to become assigned counsel in this
19	case and if Mr O'Shea leaves I'm afraid to say there is a
10:58:46 20	possibility that I may feel compelled to do the same. I would be
21	in an invidious position were I to take over the reigns of a
22	trial which His Honour Justice Itoe has pointed out quite
23	rightly, 65 or so witnesses, nearly two years in, to educate and
24	instruct another lawyer as to where we are and where we go from
10:59:13 25	here. It is ironic indeed that Mr O'Shea had already secured the
26	services of a South African lawyer for the next session when I
27	know that I can't be here for any more than two, possibly three,
28	weeks and yet all this has blown up.

29 By the same token, I of course respect Mr Gbao's wishes.

	1	If he wants a named lawyer, that is something that has to be
	2	considered. But I am afraid, if you put all of these together in
	3	the mix, Mr O'Shea and to a large extent I have been placed
	4	between a rock and a hard place. There we are. Circumstances
10:59:50	5	have taken place which are deeply regrettable. I don't know if
	6	any other counsel want to say any words on this matter. I leave
	7	it to them.
	8	PRESIDING JUDGE: Mr Cammegh, before you sit down. You
	9	know our position as I stated on Friday as to an additional
11:00:12	10	resource to be added to your team as such, whether it is
	11	Mr Shears Moses or any other from Sierra Leone as such. We were
	12	quite open to that suggestion and we were prepared to support
	13	MR CAMMEGH: As are we.
	14	PRESIDING JUDGE: such a proposition.
11:00:32	15	MR CAMMEGH: As are we. I should make this clear: That
	16	neither Mr O'Shea nor I no, I will put it differently. We
	17	would welcome the addition of a competent local lawyer within the
	18	hierarchy as I think recommended by Your Honours.
	19	PRESIDING JUDGE: Yes.
11:00:47	20	MR CAMMEGH: Unfortunately, that doesn't meet with the
	21	approval of Mr Gbao who would like a named lawyer in a certain
	22	position at the very least in a tripartite hierarchy, if you
	23	like
	24	JUDGE ITOE: Please, talk to us clearly. When you say he
11:01:04	25	doesn't want that lawyer, he wants that lawyer in a certain
	26	position, what do you mean? What are you really saying,
	27	Mr Cammegh?
	28	MR CAMMEGH: I think I am right in saying that Mr Gbao
	29	would prefer that that lawyer operate with a similar degree of

authority with myself and indeed Mr O'Shea if Mr O'Shea remains.
 Of course one of the complications that we all have to wrestle
 with, and it's not one that is right for me to go into here but
 it's the budgetary considerations. I am sure Your Honours are
 all aware of what that means.

6 But I repeat neither Mr O'Shea nor I would have had any 7 difficulty at all in a third lawyer being added in a certain 8 position. As I have just indicated, that had been sorted out, 9 that had been arranged with a gentleman from South Africa. Now, 11:01:58 10 given Mr Gbao's preference, obviously we have to examine that. 11 But it cannot be that this team can operate efficiently and in 12 Mr Gbao's best interests if things are upset to the degree which 13 they have been.

14 PRESIDING JUDGE: I agree, but, as you know, our position 11:02:19 15 has been stated very, very clearly. On Friday on behalf of the 16 Chamber I responded to the Principal Defender as to what we were 17 prepared to approve and essentially saying that we were not 18 prepared to approve any change in the leadership of his defence 19 team. We are prepared to see some addition, but not to disturb 11:02:39 20 the existing team.

> 21 MR CAMMEGH: And I think Your Honours know we were very 22 grateful for that. Unfortunately, for want of a better word, 23 selling that is not easy at all.

JUDGE ITOE: I think somewhere along the line there was a 11:02:58 25 recommended meeting between you and Mr Shears Moses.

26 MR CAMMEGH: Yes.

JUDGE ITOE: Has that meeting taken place?
MR CAMMEGH: It hasn't taken place yet. I stated to the
Principal Defender on Friday evening before today's events took

place that we would be willing to have a meeting. Unfortunately 1 2 Mr Shears Moses has not troubled to contact us either personally 3 or through the Defence Office since our arrival in Sierra Leone. 4 PRESIDING JUDGE: Thank you. Thank you, Mr Cammegh. We 11:03:45 5 appreciate your comments. 6 MR JORDASH: Would Your Honours mind if I just add my 7 voice? Not to the merits of the application, but I think I can 8 speak on behalf of the whole of the Sesay team, myself and my 9 co-counsel, Sareta Ashraph, and our legal assistant, 11:04:03 10 Chantal Refahi, who have as colleagues been privy to discussions with Mr O'Shea and have observed his conduct through the trial 11 12 sessions, and especially during this trial session which for 13 reasons he has alluded to has been particularly difficult. We as 14 a team can offer our unqualified support to the way in which he 11:04:33 15 has conducted himself in relation to Mr Gbao. We have seen, through difficult circumstances, Mr O'Shea act with what we 16 consider integrity and honour, the present application being an 17 18 example of that, and we consider as a team that he has acted at all times with due regard to Mr Gbao's case and the best 19 11:05:02 20 interests of his case. 21 I would simply add to that that if the Court requires any assistance from our team as to the history of this matter as 22 we've seen it unfold, and also any assistance in relation to the 23 24 defence office and its institutional role, perceived or 11:05:26 25 otherwise, then we would be most happy to provide that 26 assistance. But in the short term, we simply offer our 27 unqualified support to the way in which Mr O'Shea has conducted himself. 28

29 PRESIDING JUDGE: We thank you very much for your comments.

1 It is very much appreciated, Mr Jordash, and indeed we may take 2 your offer as to submissions on the role of the 3 Principal Defender's office, but we will wait, we will not ask you that at this particular moment. Yes, Mr Nicol-Wilson? 4 11:06:02 5 MR NICOL-WILSON: Your Honour, we would also like to add 6 our voice to this discussion. I would not want to repeat what 7 Mr Jordash said about Professor O'Shea because I might be 8 speaking from a position of being one of his former students in 9 South Africa. So I would rather look at issues from a 11:06:21 10 professional perspective rather than that of a professor and a 11 student.

12 We on the Kallon defence team side are very concerned about 13 any issue or ruling which will have the effect of delaying the 14 proceedings. Our clients have been in detention for over three 11:06:39 15 years now and we are very much interested in seeing this process end as quickly as possible. In other words, we do not want a 16 17 delay in what appears to be an expeditious trial. We are 18 therefore very concerned. If any ruling has the effect of delaying the proceedings we will frown at that decision. 19

11:07:03 20 That is all I wish to say.

21 PRESIDING JUDGE: Thank you very much. What we would like to do at this particular stage, though, is we would like to hear 22 from the Principal Defender. I know he is not in court at this 23 24 particular moment but I think his role has been quite important 11:07:24 25 in this matter. It would be essential for us to know what it is that has taken place or has transpired. He has had some 26 27 correspondence with my office and I think it would be important for the completeness of the record that he be called here to 28 29 explain some of these actions and maybe to file with the Court

1 some of that correspondence so the Court has on record the full 2 picture what has transpired until this moment. 3 It is 11 o'clock. I don't know where the Principal Defender is and if he is available at this particular 4 11:08:07 5 moment, but we will adjourn and ask our legal officer to contact his office and see if he can come to this Court shortly. If we 6 7 can do that we'll hear him this morning. If not we'll do that 8 early this afternoon. But we will adjourn now and see if we 9 can - yes, Mr Harrison, we have not forgotten about you - and we 11:08:30 10 will see if we can have him come as soon as possible. So just 11 for your information. 12 Mr Harrison, we didn't ask you for -- are you standing up 13 to speak on the issue or to some other matters? 14 MR HARRISON: No, if the Court does not wish to hear from 11:08:45 15 the Prosecution we are not forcing ourself upon the Court. Does the Court deem it prudent to send the witness home, who is 16 17 waiting, today? It is the witness continuing from Friday. 18 PRESIDING JUDGE: I know. We certainly intend to hear this witness today. The way it is moving, it may be this afternoon 19 11:09:08 20 but we have not changed our mind. As you know this application 21 came unexpectedly this morning, but we haven't changed our mind. JUDGE ITOE: I think he should stay in place because it 22 could well be this morning. It is eleven, we rise at one. It's 23 24 two hours. I don't think we will spend two hours here with the 11:09:28 25 Principal Defender. PRESIDING JUDGE: Thank you. Mr Harrison, you wish to 26 27 speak to the matter which has been addressed to the court? We

> don't want to force it upon you but certainly any comment you may make on this issue would be welcome. 29

28

1	MR HARRISON: The only comment the Prosecution wishes to
2	make is that the Prosecution in general is somewhat taken aback
3	that members of the Bar would visit persons in a detention centre
4	knowing that that individual is already represented by counsel
11:10:01 5	and assigned counsel by the Court. That is the only comment the
6	Prosecution wishes to make and it is made as a general comment
7	about questions of professional responsibility.
8	As far as the motion goes, the Prosecution does not take a
9	position on the motion.
11:10:16 10	PRESIDING JUDGE: Thank you, Mr Prosecutor. So the Court
11	will recess and we may be back more quickly than expected. If
12	the Principal Defender is not available this morning we may have
13	to postpone his presentation, but in the meantime we will keep
14	you informed. Thank you.
11:10:42 15	[Break taken at 11.05 a.m.]
16	[RUF27MAR06 - CR]
17	[Upon resuming at 11.40 a.m.]
18	PRESIDING JUDGE: Good morning, Mr Principal Defender.
19	MR NMEHIELLE: Good morning, Your Honour.
11:41:15 20	PRESIDING JUDGE: We appreciate that you have responded
21	that quickly to our request. Unfortunately, we will not hear you
22	this morning because we feel it would not be to your advantage,
23	nor the advantage of the Court, that you address the Court
24	without knowing what has been said in Court. We have asked that
11:41:34 25	the transcript be prepared as quickly as possible of what has
26	been said in Court. You read the transcript and then you can
27	address the Court on these matters. Otherwise we may well not
28	have the full picture, and we would like to have your comments on
29	what has been raised especially in light of your role and the

OPEN SESSION

role of your office on this matter, as such. I indicated this
 morning as well that we would like to have as part of the record,
 correspondence between yourself and my office on this matter.
 All that to say that we will hear you and have your comments
 11:42:17 5 tomorrow morning at 9.30 when we resume the Court. Yes,
 Mr Principal Defender.

7 MR NMEHIELLE: Very well, Your Honour. I do appreciate 8 that. I just want to inform the Court about some possible 9 impediments on my way. I had to rush back from the work I was 11:42:39 10 attending as soon as I got the call. Very well I would love to 11 read the transcript and to note what transpired in Court. 12 However, I am billed to travel tomorrow on an official trip to 13 the United States and I have a meeting with the Management 14 Committee in the morning as they are visiting, which has been 11:43:08 15 arranged to accommodate my travelling later in the day. I will try the best I can to respond to the issues, but if it is 16 possible, if the transcript can be made available, I would not 17 18 mind this afternoon, if possible, to try to respond to the issues 19 as may have been raised.

11:43:35 20 PRESIDING JUDGE: I cannot answer that at this particular 21 moment, because we haven't seen the transcript either. But if 22 the transcript is available by noon, we might be prepared to make 23 some accommodation. I note that you are to brief the Management 24 Committee tomorrow morning at 11.15.

11:43:57 25 MR NMEHIELLE: Yes.

26 PRESIDING JUDGE: What we had in mind was to hear you first 27 thing in the morning at 9.30. We can certainly tell you that we 28 don't expect that your presence in Court will go beyond an hour. 29 If it goes beyond that, you and I are both in trouble. So we

	1	think that it should be less than that and you will have plenty
	2	of time to leave the Court and go and meet with the Management
	3	Committee. So it's just to alleviate some of your concerns about
	4	the morning.
11:44:24	5	It's still our preference that be 9.30 tomorrow morning, Mr
	6	Principal Defender, but we will see if the transcript because
	7	I don't know if the transcript will be ready soon enough for you
	8	to have the time to read it, digest it and then come
	9	well-informed to the Court. We will let you know if it possible
11:44:43	10	to do that by the end of the afternoon, but for the time being,
	11	we would prefer to see you at 9.30, tomorrow morning.
	12	MR NMEHIELLE: Very well. As the Court pleases.
	13	PRESIDING JUDGE: Thank you very much, Mr Principal
	14	Defender.
11:44:55	15	So, in those circumstances, we will go back to where we
	16	were with moving into a closed session scenario and proceed with
	17	the cross-examination and we thank you.
	18	MR NMEHIELLE: May I request my leave?
	19	PRESIDING JUDGE: Yes, certainly. We will go back into the
11:45:12	20	closed session and continue where we were with the completion of
	21	Mr Jordash's cross-examination of that witness.
	22	[At this point in the proceedings, a portion of the
	23	transcript, pages 38 to 114, was extracted and sealed under
	24	separate cover, as the session was heard in camera.]
11:48:23	25	
	26	
	27	
	28	
	29	

EXHIBITS:

Exhibit No.	88	75	

WITNESSES FOR THE PROSECUTION:	
WITNESS: TF1-174	38
CROSS-EXAMINED BY MR JORDASH	38
CROSS-EXAMINED BY MR NICOL-WILSON	76
CROSS-EXAMINED BY MR CAMMEGH	98