

Case No. SCSL-2004-15-T  
THE PROSECUTOR OF  
THE SPECIAL COURT  
V.  
ISSA SESAY  
MORRIS KALLON  
AUGUSTINE GBAO

FRIDAY, 02 DECEMBER 2005  
10.27 A.M.  
TRIAL

TRIAL CHAMBER I

Before the Judges:	Pierre Boutet, Presiding Bankole Thompson Benjamin Mutanga Itoe
For Chambers:	Mr Matteo Crippa Ms Samantha Davies
For the Registry:	Mr Geoff Walker
For the Prosecution:	Mr Peter Harrison Ms Shyamala Alagendra Mr Mohamed Bangura Mr Mark Wallbridge(Case Manager) Ms Martine Durocher (intern)
For the Principal Defender:	NO APPEARANCE
For the accused Issa Sesay:	Mr Wayne Jordash Ms Chantal Refahi Ms Salwa Chowdhury Mr Jared Kneitel
For the accused Morris Kallon:	Mr Shekou Touray Mr Charles Taku
For the accused Augustine Gbao:	Mr John Cammegh

1 [RUF02DEC05A - CR]

2 Friday, 02 December 2005

3 [Open session]

4 [The accused Sesay and Kallon present]

10:12:22 5 [The accused Gbao not present]

6 [Upon commencing at 10.27 a.m.]

7 PRESIDING JUDGE: Yes, Mr Cammegh, we are back in open  
8 session scenario because we had been informed that you would like  
9 to address the Court and you had a special application to make.

10:28:02 10 That's why we are in open session at this particular moment. We  
11 were quite prepared to hear what you had to say, but we had to be  
12 ready for it.

13 Just for the record, we are starting at 10.30 this morning  
14 because there was a failure with the whole system of support to  
10:28:18 15 the Court. The power was off and it is back with some normalcy  
16 with power, as we speak. This is life in this environment; it  
17 does happen. I know it may have affected your time of departure,  
18 because you're planning to fly out today.

19 MR CAMMEGH: No, not at all. I think we have been very  
10:28:38 20 lucky. I don't remember this happening for more than a year. I  
21 think we have been very fortunate. It is just out of courtesy,  
22 Your Honour, to inform the Court that I really need to leave this  
23 room in about one hour from now, and just to formally ask Your  
24 Honours' permission to do that and also to thank Your Honours for  
10:29:00 25 Your Honours' indulgence. I know this has been a fairly  
26 extraordinary position that I've been in having to leave early,  
27 and I want to once again thank the Bench for allowing me to leave  
28 three days early. And also to Mr Harrison for accommodating me  
29 in the way that he has.



1           PRESIDING JUDGE: Mr Cammegh, before you sit down, just for  
2 the record as well, I know we're not finished yet with this  
3 particular witness and we haven't been to you yet for  
4 cross-examination. Mr Jordash has indicated that he had 10  
10:29:30 5 minutes, so we'll hold him to his 10 minutes this morning. But  
6 still we have Mr Touray between you and your cross-examination.

7           MR CAMMEGH: Yes.

8           PRESIDING JUDGE: I don't know if you intend to  
9 cross-examine this witness or not, but I just want to make sure  
10:29:45 10 for the record that you also clearly indicate that you have -- I  
11 don't know who is next in the scenario of the witnesses to be  
12 called by the Prosecution, but I take it that you have discussed  
13 that with the Prosecution. You're very much aware of who is to  
14 be next and you feel that your client's situation will not be  
10:30:07 15 affected at all by your not being present in Court. That's what  
16 I want to hear from you.

17           MR CAMMEGH: I can categorically state that Mr Harrison,  
18 having given me a copy of his batting order the other day, I've  
19 been able to assess the remaining witnesses who are likely to be  
10:30:25 20 called this session, and I can assure the Court that my client  
21 will not be disadvantaged by my not being here because none of  
22 them impinge on my case. As far as this morning is concerned, I  
23 think it is Mr Taku who will be cross-examining on behalf of  
24 Morris Kallon this morning. I, as we stand now, have absolutely  
10:30:45 25 no expectation that Mr Gbao will require any cross-examination.  
26 I'm going to leave it, if I may, to Mr Jordash, just to keep an  
27 eye on how things go, if they don't complete by 11.30, should  
28 anything arise wherein Mr Gbao's name is mentioned. But I don't  
29 expect that to happen and I think I can leave Court as sure as I



1 can be that Mr Gbao's position --

2 PRESIDING JUDGE: You're satisfied that your client's  
3 position will be not be prejudiced by you not being present in  
4 Court. For the forthcoming witnesses, that's all I mean.

10:31:21 5 MR CAMMEGH: I am satisfied, yes.

6 PRESIDING JUDGE: That's fine. For the record,  
7 Mr Harrison, can you just state what are the next witnesses that  
8 you intend to call. I know it is difficult to plan ahead with  
9 certainty, but as you know, the session is to end on 8th December  
10:31:37 10 next week, Thursday. How many witnesses are you intending to  
11 call, making it the worst or the best possible -- in other words,  
12 if we go with the maximum witnesses?

13 MR HARRISON: The list that was distributed would have  
14 Witness 184 as the next witness. And by way of general guidance,  
10:31:59 15 the Prosecution has an estimate of roughly three-quarters of a  
16 day for the direct examination. That will then be followed by  
17 three relatively brief witnesses: TF1-004, and the estimate for  
18 the evidence-in-chief is 60 to 90 minutes; followed by TF1-008,  
19 with an estimate of 60 to 90 minutes again for the  
10:32:31 20 evidence-in-chief; and then TF1-213, and there is a time estimate  
21 of 90 minutes for the evidence-in-chief.

22 PRESIDING JUDGE: That's good, thank you. These are the  
23 witnesses you're aware of, Mr Cammegh?

24 MR CAMMEGH: They are, yes. I reiterate what I just said.  
10:32:53 25 I've no fears.

26 PRESIDING JUDGE: Thank you very much. So, that being  
27 said, we will go back into closed session --

28 MR JORDASH: Can I just very briefly introduce  
29 Mr Jared Kneitel, who has been assisting us on issues outside of



1 Court. He is attending today to assist in Court.

2 PRESIDING JUDGE: He has been part of your team?

3 MR JORDASH: Yes.

4 PRESIDING JUDGE: Or he's a new addition?

10:33:17 5 MR JORDASH: He has been part of the team for the last  
6 month.

7 PRESIDING JUDGE: Good morning, welcome. What's the name  
8 again, Mr Jordash?

9 MR JORDASH: Mr Jared Kneitel, J-A-R-E-D K-N-E-I-T-E-L.  
10:33:34 10 Called to the American Bar.

11 PRESIDING JUDGE: Thank you.

12 JUDGE ITOE: Called when?

13 MR JORDASH: Earlier this month.

14 PRESIDING JUDGE: He's a legal assistant in your team?

10:33:53 15 MR JORDASH: He is, yes.

16 PRESIDING JUDGE: That being done, we will go back into  
17 closed session, Mr Court Officer, please. Mr Jordash, as I said,  
18 you'd mentioned 10 minutes. We hope that you will be no more  
19 than. And we'll come back to you if you do go over that time.

10:34:21 20 MR JORDASH: I'm pretty confident this time.

21 PRESIDING JUDGE: Thank you.

22 [At this point in the proceedings, a portion of the  
23 transcript, pages 6 to 92, was extracted and sealed under  
24 separate cover, as the session was heard in camera.]

25

26

27

28

29





1 [Open session]

2 JUDGE THOMPSON: This is a brief ruling by the Chamber for  
3 the purposes of resumption of this open session after the closed  
4 session testimony of Witness TF1-093.

17:01:34 5 [Ruling]

6 JUDGE THOMPSON: Consistent with the general requirement  
7 that criminal trials are to be held in public as mandated by  
8 Rule 78 of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence of the Special  
9 Court for Sierra Leone, but that exceptionally as authorised by  
17:01:57 10 Rule 79(a)(ii) of the said Rules, and taking into the account the  
11 need to protect witnesses as provided for by Rule 75, this  
12 Chamber in response to an earlier application by counsel for the  
13 Prosecution for the entire testimony of Witness TF1-093 to be  
14 heard in closed session did grant the said application by way of  
17:02:23 15 an exceptional procedure.

16 PRESIDING JUDGE: Mr Jordash.

17 MR JORDASH: I have applied, but if I can formally exhibit  
18 TF1-097, TF1-093 and TF1-054 [sic].

19 PRESIDING JUDGE: Run that by me again, please.

17:02:50 20 MR JORDASH: TF1-097, TF1-093 and TF1-045, all of which  
21 have been shown to the Prosecution and I think it is not opposed.

22 PRESIDING JUDGE: What you have there is they are all  
23 marked for those portions that you are alleging inconsistencies  
24 or contradiction by the respective witnesses.

17:03:24 25 MR JORDASH: Yes, all underlined by blue pen.

26 PRESIDING JUDGE: Blue pen is fine when we have the  
27 originals, but as you know we tend to have copies, so it is all  
28 black as far as we are concerned.

29 MR JORDASH: Underlined.



1           PRESIDING JUDGE: Any objection or any comment to --  
2           MS ALAGENDRA: There is no objection. We have seen the  
3 document and we're comfortable with it.  
4           PRESIDING JUDGE: Okay. Let me finish, Mr Taku, with  
17:03:54 5 Mr Jordash and then we will come to you after that.  
6           MR TAKU: Thank you, Your Honour.  
7           PRESIDING JUDGE: Mr Jordash, we need to give them numbers  
8 now for these exhibits.  
9           MR JORDASH: Could I just have a moment with Mr Touray.  
17:04:06 10           PRESIDING JUDGE: Yes.  
11           MR JORDASH: I think one of them might be a joint document.  
12           PRESIDING JUDGE: Yes. Maybe that is what Mr Taku was  
13 about to say. I say maybe this was what Mr Taku was about to  
14 say.  
17:04:24 15           MR TAKU: Not really, Your Honour.  
16           MR JORDASH: I think it must be TF1-097. It's the witness  
17 that counsel for the second accused didn't cross-examine, but  
18 adopted.  
19           PRESIDING JUDGE: I remember that, yes.  
17:04:57 20           MR JORDASH: It is a joint submission.  
21           PRESIDING JUDGE: This is for TF1-097?  
22           MR JORDASH: Your Honour, yes.  
23           PRESIDING JUDGE: Can you pass them up to the court  
24 officer? Can I see these documents so we can describe them?  
17:05:44 25 Mr Jordash, so I can follow you, the first one is TF1-097. This  
26 is one statement of 5 March 2003, which is which are pages -- it  
27 is a document of six pages.  
28           MR JORDASH: Yes. I'm just wondering if we should be doing  
29 it in the order that the witnesses appeared in order to ensure



1 that the exhibits follow --

2 PRESIDING JUDGE: Yes, maybe that would be more logical.

3 MR JORDASH: I think it was 045, 097, and then -- 097, then  
4 045, and then the last witness.

17:06:30 5 PRESIDING JUDGE: 093.

6 MR JORDASH: Yes.

7 PRESIDING JUDGE: So this is 097 the one I am talking  
8 about. So this is the first in line. What exhibit number are we  
9 at?

17:06:44 10 MS EDMONDS: Exhibit 62 will be the next one.

11 PRESIDING JUDGE: 62, very well. So that document of  
12 witness statement given on 5 March 2005 by Witness TF1-097 is  
13 marked as Exhibit 62 for the limited purpose already stated. And  
14 045 --

17:07:01 15 [Exhibit No. 62 was admitted]

16 MR JORDASH: Yellow flags indicating the pages.

17 JUDGE ITOE: 054?

18 PRESIDING JUDGE: Is it 045 or 054?

19 MR JORDASH: 045. Yes, 045, definite. The recent big  
17:07:42 20 witness.

21 PRESIDING JUDGE: All those marked with the yellow  
22 stickers.

23 MR JORDASH: Your Honour, yes.

24 PRESIDING JUDGE: This will be marked as Exhibit 63, but it  
17:08:00 25 will be 63 with many sub numbers. A will be statement of  
26 31/1/2003, which goes from 12972 to 12978. After that this is a  
27 transcript of interview of 26 February 2003, and this is pages  
28 12979 to 13067. No, pardon me, it has one more page, up to page  
29 13126.



1 MR JORDASH: Yes.

2 PRESIDING JUDGE: Then 1 March 2003, which is another  
3 interview.

4 MR JORDASH: I am sorry to interrupt, Your Honour. I am  
17:09:35 5 getting a message from your learned legal officer and the  
6 Prosecution that it is 054, but I think I'm right and Mr Harrison  
7 does too. I think we may continue with --

8 MR HARRISON: There is no doubt there was an 054, but that  
9 is not the witness that either one of us are reflecting upon. If  
17:09:58 10 I'm not mistaken, Mr Taku stood up, because he has also some  
11 underlining for 045. In fact, all three counsel are going to be  
12 tendering certain underlined portions with respect to 045.  
13 Unless I have misunderstood, no-one is interested in 054.

14 PRESIDING JUDGE: What I'm describing now is 045.

17:10:31 15 MR JORDASH: That must be right; I didn't cross-examine on  
16 054. So, I'm sorry to disturb.

17 PRESIDING JUDGE: That is okay. So the next statement, as  
18 I was saying, is witness statement of 1 March 2003, which is an  
19 interview, pages 13127 to 13183. The other statement is a  
17:11:06 20 statement called "Additional information provided by Witness  
21 TF1-045 on 14, 15, 26, 28 April 2005 and 7 May 2005", and these  
22 are pages 13184 to 13187. The next one is "Additional  
23 information provided by witness on 13 May 2005", one page, 13188.  
24 The next one is not marked. So that is information provided on  
17:11:59 25 16, 20 and 23 June 2005.

26 MR JORDASH: Yes, I agree. Strictly speaking, I suppose it  
27 doesn't need to be in there.

28 PRESIDING JUDGE: No, we'll take it out. It may be  
29 produced by somebody else. After that, these are the transcripts





1 of evidence in Trial Chamber II on 19 July 2005 and the pages you  
2 are interested -- I will mention the pages where you have a  
3 yellow sticker.

4 MR JORDASH: Could I raise something that has just occurred  
17:12:39 5 to me? I suppose on a strict interpretation of Your Honour's  
6 ruling, those transcripts represent oral evidence. So  
7 inconsistencies this Court from oral testimony with those  
8 transcripts are inconsistencies between oral testimony in two  
9 different places rather than oral and written statements. So  
17:13:01 10 they are marked but, strictly speaking, I would submit --

11 PRESIDING JUDGE: But they are still statements.

12 MR JORDASH: I'm in Your Honour's hands. But I'm thinking  
13 of saving paper and strict interpretation of Your Honour's  
14 ruling.

17:13:23 15 JUDGE THOMPSON: The prior inconsistent statement,  
16 according to the rubric, is usually restricted to a kind of  
17 comparison between an out-of-court statement and also the  
18 testimony given under oath in court. Whether we in the  
19 international tribunals have the latitude to say that even though  
17:13:55 20 the prior statement was not an out-of-court statement, but it is  
21 a statement made elsewhere, whether the comparison between that  
22 and a statement given in another court can qualify under the  
23 rubric of prior inconsistent is something for us to determine.

24 MR JORDASH: I don't suggest that it couldn't be an  
17:14:23 25 inconsistent statement. I'm just wondering if it was covered by  
26 Your Honour's ruling that the inconsistencies between oral  
27 testimony and prior written statements ought to be --

28 PRESIDING JUDGE: Did we say prior "written"?

29 JUDGE THOMPSON: We just said "prior statement".



1 MR JORDASH: I'm not seeking to persuade Your Honours one  
2 way or the other. I am simply raising it as an issue.

3 PRESIDING JUDGE: For the time being, in that transcript  
4 you have stickers or indication of underlining in only two pages.

17:15:02 5 Page 13271 -- I am talking here of the transcript of 19 July  
6 2005, Brima and others in Trial Chamber II -- page 13295. That  
7 is all there is. So that concludes --

8 [Exhibit No. 63A was admitted]

9 [Exhibit No. 63B was admitted]

10 [Exhibit No. 63C was admitted]

11 [Exhibit No. 63D was admitted]

12 [Exhibit No. 63E was admitted]

13 [Exhibit No. 63F was admitted]

14 MR JORDASH: 045.

17:15:23 15 PRESIDING JUDGE: Mr Taku, you had something about 045 as  
16 well.

17 MR TAKU: Yes, Your Honour.

18 PRESIDING JUDGE: Was it why you were standing up before?

19 MR TAKU: Yes, Your Honour.

17:15:40 20 PRESIDING JUDGE: Fine. We'll hear you now.

21 MR TAKU: Yes, Your Honour, we did submit the prior  
22 inconsistent statements to Mr Harrison and he looked through them  
23 and he has no objection to us tendering these copies here, Your  
24 Honour.

17:15:56 25 PRESIDING JUDGE: Can you describe what copy you have and  
26 which date. Because as we know there are many statements here.

27 MR TAKU: Yes, Your Honour. We have the transcripts  
28 between 1st November 2005, page 39 - that is open session - lines  
29 18 to 20, which are underlined in green.



1 PRESIDING JUDGE: Yes.

2 MR TAKU: We have the transcript of 21st November 2005,  
3 closed session, line 15, underlined in green. Then we have  
4 page 75 from the same transcript, line 15. We did underline the  
17:17:02 5 area.

6 MR HARRISON: I had thought there was some agreement that  
7 it wasn't to be the practice that transcripts from this trial be  
8 tendered as exhibits.

9 MR TAKU: We have certain pages from the trial and certain  
17:17:31 10 pages from the additional information provided by the witness and  
11 he selected these, that he was not opposed to these. So it is  
12 not just the transcripts per se. It is the statements that were  
13 made here and the prior inconsistent as found in the additional  
14 information provided by the witness on 16th, 20th, 23rd  
17:17:59 15 June 2005.

16 PRESIDING JUDGE: I will come to you, Mr Harrison. Not to  
17 confuse issues, when we're talking here of prior inconsistent  
18 statements, we're talking of statements outside of this court  
19 room by this particular witness. If what you're giving us now on  
17:18:15 20 21 November, you're talking of this particular witness, 045,  
21 testifying here in front of this Court, well, that is part of  
22 record that we have. So we need not to have over and above. I  
23 mean, you may refer to that, but I don't think we should admit  
24 that as an exhibit. This is already the evidence we have in  
17:18:33 25 front of us. So you can direct the Court's attention to these  
26 portions and that's fine. But why should we admit an exhibit of  
27 evidence that is already in front of us.

28 MR TAKU: That being the case, Your Honour, I will now  
29 tender the additional information provided by Witness TF1-045



1 dated 16, 20, and 23 June 2005.

2 PRESIDING JUDGE: What's the page at the top?

3 MR TAKU: Page 13190. We did underline.

4 PRESIDING JUDGE: So this is the additional information  
17:19:23 5 provided on 13 May 2005?

6 MR TAKU: I have here 16, 20 and 23rd June 2005.

7 PRESIDING JUDGE: 16, 20 and 23 June 2005.

8 MR TAKU: Yes, Your Honour.

9 PRESIDING JUDGE: Three pages.

17:19:44 10 MR TAKU: We included the first page and the last page,  
11 just to identify to make it easy --

12 PRESIDING JUDGE: That statement is a statement of three  
13 pages?

14 MR TAKU: Yes, Your Honour.

17:19:53 15 PRESIDING JUDGE: But your part has to do with page 2?

16 MR TAKU: Yes, page 2.

17 PRESIDING JUDGE: 13190.

18 MR TAKU: Yes, Your Honour.

19 PRESIDING JUDGE: Okay.

17:19:58 20 MR TAKU: It is underlined in green, and in black we  
21 underlined the name of the third accused which, on the  
22 application of his counsel, we consider should be expunged from  
23 our question. So we underlined that in black.

24 PRESIDING JUDGE: I'm not sure I understand what you mean  
17:20:26 25 about that.

26 MR TAKU: In error we mentioned the name of the third  
27 accused. Counsel objected and we conceded to the objection.  
28 Your Honour said that -- Your Honour ruled in his favour because  
29 we didn't oppose to his application. There was an error that we





1 mentioned his name. However, we underlined his name in black to  
2 draw your attention to that fact, that his name ought not to have  
3 been mentioned.

4 PRESIDING JUDGE: Mr Harrison, you have seen that one and  
17:20:53 5 you have no objection?

6 MR HARRISON: Correct.

7 PRESIDING JUDGE: So this statement will be marked as I  
8 think we are now at Exhibit 64.

9 [Exhibit No. 64 was admitted]

17:21:30 10 PRESIDING JUDGE: So this statement, as I say, related  
11 again to Witness TF1-045 is marked as Exhibit 64. And finally,  
12 the next one is TF1-097. Yes, Mr Harrison?

13 MR HARRISON: Sorry to interrupt, but the Prosecution also  
14 has underlining with respect to 045.

17:22:05 15 PRESIDING JUDGE: We may as well produce that now.

16 MR HARRISON: I have distributed copies to Defence counsel  
17 and I have extra copies in my hand if Court Management wishes to  
18 take them at this point.

19 PRESIDING JUDGE: So these will be Exhibit 65, Mr Jordash,  
17:23:14 20 and counsel for second accused, any comment?

21 MR JORDASH: No comments.

22 PRESIDING JUDGE: Mr Taku?

23 MR TAKU: No comment, Your Honour.

24 PRESIDING JUDGE: So it's many copies of the same thing.

17:23:34 25 MR HARRISON: I can tell the Court what it is. The first  
26 page is page 13045, which is from the witness interview of 26  
27 February 2003.

28 PRESIDING JUDGE: Yes.

29 MR HARRISON: That might be 65A.



1 [Exhibit No. 65A was admitted]

2 PRESIDING JUDGE: Yes.

3 MR HARRISON: The Court may wish to make as 65B the  
4 additional information from 14, 15, 26, 28 April 2005 and 7  
17:24:13 5 May 2005, which commences at court numbering 13184 and continues  
6 to 13187.

7 [Exhibit No. 65B was admitted]

8 PRESIDING JUDGE: And your part, Mr Harrison, of all of  
9 those portions are underlined in these pages?

10 MR HARRISON: Yes, that's correct. Part C, the final part  
11 of that exhibit might be the additional information on 13, 14,  
12 15, 21, 24 and 25 October 2005, commencing at court numbering  
13 system 16853 and continuing to 16859.

14 PRESIDING JUDGE: That's fine. So these are your  
17:25:04 15 documents?

16 MR HARRISON: That's correct.

17 PRESIDING JUDGE: Thank you. This last part will be marked  
18 as 65C.

19 [Exhibit No. 65C was admitted]

17:25:27 20 PRESIDING JUDGE: So the next one is TF1-093 and we are now  
21 at Exhibit 66, so this is being exhibited by counsel for the  
22 first accused. So the first statement which will be A, is 29  
23 November 2002, these are pages 13834, to 13837 -- 13838, pardon  
24 me.

17:26:26 25 MR JORDASH: Yes.

26 [Exhibit No. 66A was admitted]

27 PRESIDING JUDGE: Second statement will be 66B, will be  
28 statement of 26 March 2003, pages 13844 to 13848.

29 [Exhibit No. 66B was admitted]



1 MR JORDASH: Yes.

2 PRESIDING JUDGE: And the next statement is -- you have the  
3 handwritten one, Mr Jordash, and you need it of the same, I  
4 guess.

17:26:59 5 MR JORDASH: The handwritten one is not needed.

6 PRESIDING JUDGE: The handwritten in the document that you  
7 have produced is the same as --

8 MR JORDASH: It is of no value, I don't think.

9 PRESIDING JUDGE: The next document will be 66C, additional  
17:27:28 10 information amendment to statement of January 7, 2004. That's  
11 one page, 13849.

12 [Exhibit No. 66C was admitted]

13 MR JORDASH: Yes.

14 PRESIDING JUDGE: And the next one is a transcript of  
17:27:48 15 interview of the witness, pages 16815 to 16831.

16 MR JORDASH: 16830, I think I've got.

17 PRESIDING JUDGE: Well, there is an 831. I don't know if  
18 you want it or not. And this is an interview of 14 January 2004.  
19 So this is 66D.

17:28:27 20 [Exhibit No. 66D was admitted]

21 MR JORDASH: Is the last -- is the one 1683 --

22 PRESIDING JUDGE: Because you have two pages 16831.

23 MR JORDASH: Is 16831 blank, because mine finishes 16830  
24 which is page 16.

17:28:45 25 PRESIDING JUDGE: Page 16, you have marked it up as 16831.

26 MR JORDASH: Oh, I know why.

27 PRESIDING JUDGE: I see what happened. You jumped over  
28 16829.

29 MR JORDASH: I beg you pardon. I think it should be then



1 16815 to 16830. I apologise.

2 PRESIDING JUDGE: The next document is a document of 14  
3 January 2004 which will be 66E, which is 16831 to 16846.

4 [Exhibit No. 66E was admitted].

17:29:39 5 MR JORDASH: Yes.

6 PRESIDING JUDGE: The last one is additional information by  
7 witness TF1-093 of 8, 9, 10, 11 November 2005 and these are pages  
8 17047 to 17050. So that would be 66E.

9 [Exhibit No. 66F was admitted]

17:30:06 10 MR JORDASH: Yes.

11 MS ALAGENDRA: Your Honour, I think the last one is F.

12 PRESIDING JUDGE: Did I say twice E?

13 MS ALAGENDRA: Yes, Your Honour.

14 PRESIDING JUDGE: Yes, it is F. So 17047 to 17050 is F.

17:30:33 15 All of these documents are now admitted as exhibits and again, as  
16 stated in the past, they are admitted for the limited purpose of  
17 showing alleged inconsistencies between what the witness may have  
18 said in court and in some other statements. We said we would  
19 adjourn at 5.30. It is 5.30. It is time to close the

17:30:55 20 proceedings this afternoon. So we will adjourn until Monday  
21 morning at 9.30. Mr Prosecutor, the witness on Monday, you have  
22 more than one as you planned?

23 MR HARRISON: Frankly, we'll be lucky to get through 184 on  
24 Monday, but there will be backup witnesses.

17:31:20 25 PRESIDING JUDGE: Thank you. Court is adjourned.

26 JUDGE ITOE: Just a minute. You have four left in the  
27 line-up.

28 MR HARRISON: Yes.

29 JUDGE ITOE: I hope there is none -- shall we be involved





1 in any closed session?

2 MR HARRISON: No.

3 JUDGE ITOE: For the four?

4 MR HARRISON: The Prosecution is not asking for a closed  
17:31:42 5 session.

6 JUDGE ITOE: Yes, I just want to know before we rise for  
7 the weekend. For the purpose of planning for the audience --  
8 well, there is no-one there. It would be nice for them to know  
9 there is no likelihood, unless something surfaces unexpectedly.

17:31:58 10 Thanks.

11 PRESIDING JUDGE: Thank you.

12 [Whereupon the hearing adjourned at 5.31 p.m.  
13 to be reconvened on Monday, the 5th day of  
14 December, 2005, at 9.30 a.m.]

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29



EXHIBITS:

Exhibit No. 62	95
Exhibit No. 63A	98
Exhibit No. 63B	98
Exhibit No. 63C	98
Exhibit No. 63D	98
Exhibit No. 63E	98
Exhibit No. 63F	98
Exhibit No. 64	101
Exhibit No. 65A	102
Exhibit No. 65B	102
Exhibit No. 65C	102
Exhibit No. 66A	102
Exhibit No. 66B	102
Exhibit No. 66C	103
Exhibit No. 66D	103
Exhibit No. 66E	104
Exhibit No. 66F	104

WITNESSES FOR THE PROSECUTION:

WITNESS: TF1-093	6
CROSS-EXAMINED BY MR JORDASH	6