

Case No. SCSL-2003-01-T

THE PROSECUTOR OF THE SPECIAL COURT V.

CHARLES GHANKAY TAYLOR

MONDAY, 8 NOVEMBER 2010 2.00 P.M. TRI AL

TRIAL CHAMBER II

Before the Judges: Justice Richard Lussick, Presiding Justice Teresa Doherty Justice El Hadji Malick Sow, Alternate

For Chambers:

For the Registry:

For the Prosecution:

Mr Artur Appazov

Ms Rachel Irura Mr Alhassan Fornah

Mr Nicholas Koumjian Mr Mohamed A Bangura Ms Kathryn Howarth Ms Maja Dimitrova

For	the	accused	Charl es	Ghankay	Mr	Terry I	Munyard
Tayl	or:			-	Mr	Morris	Anyah
					Ms	Logan I	Hambrick

1 Monday, 8 November 2010 2 [Open session] [The accused present] 3 4 [Upon commencing at 2.02 p.m.] PRESIDING JUDGE: Good afternoon. For those of you who 14:02:33 5 haven't seen the Rule 16 order which has been filed, I'd advise 6 7 that Justice Sebutinde is not able to come to court today and won't be able to come to court tomorrow either so far as I know. 8 9 I'll be presiding in her absence. We will take appearances first, please. 14:02:59 10 MR KOUMJIAN: Good afternoon, your Honours. 11 Good 12 afternoon, counsel. For the Prosecution this afternoon, Mohamed 13 A Bangura, Kathryn Howarth, Maja Dimitrova and we're joined by a 14 new intern with our office, David Tait, the spelling is with the 14:03:29 15 Court reporter. PRESIDING JUDGE: 16 Thank you. Yes, Mr Munyard. 17 MR MUNYARD: Good afternoon, Mr President, your Honours, counsel opposite. This afternoon for the Defence, myself Terry 18 19 Munyard, Morris Anyah, and Logan Hambrick. And good afternoon, 14:03:52 20 Mr Kolleh. 21 PRESIDING JUDGE: Before we begin I'll just clear up our 22 programme for this afternoon. We normally sit two hours in the afternoon. That's what we will do today, notwithstanding that we 23 24 started half an hour earlier than we normally start, that means 14:04:09 25 we'll finish half an hour earlier than we normally finish. 26 Now, Mr Kolleh, I'll remind you that you've taken an oath 27 to tell the truth and that oath is still binding upon you. 28 THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honour. 29 PRESIDING JUDGE: Thank you. Yes, you're continuing your

1 cross-examination, Mr Koumjian. 2 WITNESS: DCT-102 [On former oath] CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR KOUMJIAN: [Continued] 3 4 MR KOUMJIAN: I'd like to begin by distributing two documents and having one copy shown to the witness. Just so 14:04:36 5 everyone is clear, these documents include the photographs that 6 7 As you'll see, 7C is a photograph with a were MFI-7C and MFI-7G. caption and 7G is an entire page of an article that includes the 8 9 photograph with a caption. Perhaps the witness could also be given 7C and 7G. 14:05:46 10 Mr Court Officer, if we could start by putting on the 11 12 overhead the photograph, this colour photograph, and at the top 13 it indicates a website, washingtonpost.com, "Sierra Leone rebels' 14 gallery" with the full web address at the bottom. And it 14:06:51 15 indicates this is 4 of 16. Mr Witness, Mr Kolleh, do you recall last week I showed you 16 Q. 17 this photograph without the caption? Do you recall seeing this photograph last week? That's for you, sir, Mr Witness. 18 19 Α. Yes. 14:07:29 20 Q. And do you recall you recognised Johnny, a bodyguard for 21 Sam Bockarie, as being the person in camouflage? 22 Α. I said I recognised him. I did not say for Sam Bockarie. 23 I said I recognised him as RUF. 24 Q. Well, Mr Kolleh, your answer is not clear because we don't 14:07:52 25 know who you mean by "him". Did you say you recognised Johnny, 26 the person in camouflage, as an RUF? 27 Α. Yes, I said I recognised the man in the camouflage. 28 Q. And you said he was a bodyguard for Sam Bockarie, correct? 29 Yes, I knew him to be a bodyguard to Sam Bockarie. Α.

	1	Q. Mr Kolleh, you recognise Sam Bockarie as being the man in
	2	the suit, in the double-breasted suit, isn't that true?
	3	A. No, sir.
	4	Q. Do you see here it indicates in the caption: "Dressed in a
14:08:28	5	double-breasted suit, Mosquito admits he is a 'big showman'."
	6	Mr Witness, you would agree with that description, wouldn't you?
	7	A. No, sir.
	8	Q. That he was a big showman?
	9	A. No, sir.
14:08:45	10	Q. Didn't you tell us that Sam Bockarie was a braggart, he's a
	11	person that liked to talk about himself?
	12	A. I said he followed news from flamboyance, he was flamboyant
	13	or full of flamboyancy.
	14	Q. And, sir, you were the person, the CSO for Sam Bockarie,
14:09:08	15	you told us, and you were responsible for leading his convoy for
	16	a period of time, correct?
	17	A. Yes, in Freetown.
	18	Q. And you don't recognise the military leader of the RUF in
	19	this photograph as Sam Bockarie?
14:09:24	20	A. No, sir.
	21	Q. Thank you. If we could now put up the part of the article,
	22	it's two pages, and we see part 8 entitled - of an article
	23	entitled "The other war, part 8: Washington, the meanings of
	24	Sierra Leone." The first page just indicates where this is from
14:09:51	25	and now if we can just turn to the second page. Again, sir, you
	26	were shown this photograph last week and we see in the top
	27	photograph next to the second full paragraph, the caption reads
	28	"General Mosquito is dressed for a family portrait." Sam
	29	Bockarie was known as General Mosquito, correct?

1 Α. Yes, we used to call him general. 2 Q. And, sir, the truth is you recognise Sam Bockarie in this 3 photograph. Isn't that the truth? 4 Α. No, sir. And the truth is you recognise Daniel Tamba, Jungle Kissi, 14:10:35 5 0. as the man next to him, isn't that true? 6 7 Α. No. MR KOUMJIAN: Your Honour, may these two photographs be 8 marked for identification. 9 My suggestion for these two documents is because they are tied to the photographs previously shown, the 14:10:51 10 colour photograph was MFI-7C, perhaps this could be marked 11 MFI-7C.2 or something to indicate that it is related. 12 PRESIDING JUDGE: Well, it's actually just a smaller 13 14 version of MFI-7C. 14:11:31 15 MR KOUMJIAN: But it also includes the caption that came along with the photograph. 16 17 PRESIDING JUDGE: You're interested in the caption. I see. MR KOUMJIAN: Yes, sir. 18 19 PRESIDING JUDGE: We'll mark it, unless you have anything 14:11:52 20 else to say, Mr Koumjian, we'll mark the latest photograph 21 MFI-7C(1). 22 MR KOUMJIAN: Thank you. I'd ask that the two-page 23 article - section of an article, chapter 8 of "The other war", 24 that includes the photograph on page 2 that was originally a 14:12:19 25 photograph that was marked 7G, MFI-7G, be marked similarly, this 26 two-page article, MFI-7G(1). MR MUNYARD: Can I inquire through you, Mr President, if 27 28 Mr Koumjian is proposing making any use of the words in the 29 article or is it simply the photograph? The title page to show

1 where it's come from and the photograph?

2 MR KOUMJIAN: Thank you. I am simply interested in the 3 photograph, the caption, and the title page to show where it came 4 from.

14:13:00 5 PRESIDING JUDGE: All right. Well that second document
6 then, the title page and the photograph on page 2 will be marked
7 for identification MFI-7G(1) in brackets.

8 MR KOUMJIAN:

9 Q. Mr Witness, do you recall telling us last week that you 14:13:27 10 never told the Defence, is this correct, that the RUF and the 11 Armed Forces of Liberia combined forces to neutralise a common 12 threat in the attack on Guinea? Mr Court Officer. Sir, did you 13 hear my question?

14 A. No, sir.

14:13:54 15 Q. Did you tell the Defence before you came here to The Hague
that the RUF and the Armed Forces of Liberia combined forces in
an attack on Guinea?

18 A. I told you last week, I said no. I said the RUF attacked
19 Guinea and in the process I also heard a gun sound again from
14:14:21 20 Liberia side into Guinea and rocket was flying from Guinea into
21 Liberia and Sierra Leone.

22 Q. So, sir, I have a document --

JUDGE DOHERTY: Mr Witness, that doesn't really answer the
 question to my clarification. Did you tell the Defence this or
 14:14:46 25 did you not tell the Defence this?

THE WITNESS: I said that the RUF attacked Guinea. In the process attack too from Liberia into Guinea. This is what I said earlier. But to go and directly combine to plan the war together to enter into Guinea, I did not say that.

1 MR KOUMJIAN: 2 Q. Mr Witness, I'd like you now to look at another document 3 and this is entitled "Defence Rule 73 ter witness list and summaries, version V, 12 May 2010." And we see the witness in 4 box number 55 is DCT-102. Mr Witness, you're aware, aren't you, 14:15:30 5 that that is your witness number for the Defence? Are you aware 6 7 of that? Please repeat. 8 Α. 9 0. DCT-102, are you aware that that's your witness number? Α. 14:15:52 10 No. Okay, sir. I want to direct your attention to the third 11 Q. 12 page, page 42 of 103, in the second full paragraph. It indicates 13 he, that's being you, Mr Witness, it talks about you giving 14 evidence on the departure of Sam Bockarie to Liberia and his 14:16:15 15 subsequent death and then the next sentence says: "He will also give evidence on the attacks in Guinea by the 16 17 RUF and the Armed Forces of Liberia. The witness will say that the two combined forces to neutralise a common threat." 18 19 Mr Witness, you told the Defence - did you tell the Defence 14:16:38 20 what's written in this paragraph? I kept telling you that the RUF did attack Guinea and 21 Α. 22 fighting from Liberia into Guinea. But I believe - I mean, the 23 both party were attacking common enemies because the both attacks 24 was taking place in Guinea and Guineans too were shelling into 14:17:00 25 Liberia and into Sierra Leone. Yes, into Liberia and Sierra 26 So I believe that they had a common goal, but we did not Leone. 27 go together to plan a war to say, yes, we are going to move into 28 Guinea. This is what I'm saying. But the attack was on the line of Guinea and the Guinean attacks both parties, the shelling into 29

1 Liberia and shelling into Sierra Leone. This is what I said 2 earlier. PRESIDING JUDGE: Mr Witness, you still haven't answered 3 4 the question. Will you ask that question again, Mr Koumjian. MR KOUMJIAN: Yes. 14:17:30 5 Sir I've read to you from your witness summary that the Q. 6 7 Defence provided us. It said that you will give evidence on the attacks in Guinea and it says that you will say "that the two 8 9 combined forces." Did you tell the Defence that the RUF and the Armed Forces of Liberia combined forces? 14:17:52 10 Α. No. 11 12 Q. Mr Witness, do you recall you also told us about the death 13 of Sam Bockarie and the death of Superman, both of those were 14 told to you by Pa Moriba, correct? 14:18:15 15 Α. Yes. And both of those he told you the deaths were done by 16 Q. 17 Benjamin Yeaten. That Benjamin Yeaten was involved in both the deaths, correct? 18 19 Α. Yes. 14:18:29 20 0. And not only the death of Sam Bockarie, but the death of 21 his wife and his children. The killing of his wife and his 22 children. Correct? 23 He told me about it, yes. Α. 24 Q. Sir, but before you told us that I want to direct your 14:18:46 25 attention if we could have the transcript of Friday, 5 November, 26 page 48859. While that's coming up I'll just give you the 27 context. Mr Witness, you may remember that I read to you from 28 several - from the testimony of several witnesses who have 29 testified in this case about the RUF and the Liberian forces

1 combining forces for the attack on Guinea. When I was reading 2 one of those to you at page 48859 when we had the exchange that 3 I'm about to read. And I read beginning at his next, about 10 4 lines down from the witness, line 8, I told you that the next the witness's next answer is: 14:20:02 5 "We moved to Kono. From there Issa provided transport for 6 7 every one of us and we moved to Liberia and we were in Foya where we met Colonel Benjamin Yeaten and he said, 'Guys, everyone 8 9 should get ready for the operation.'" And then skipping down a couple lines, I then asked you: 14:20:22 10 "Q. First before I leave that, Mr Witness, who's Benjamin 11 Yeaten? 12 Α. I don't know. 13 14 Q. You were RUF all throughout the war and you tell us 14:20:37 15 that you don't know who Benjamin Yeaten is? No. " 16 Α. 17 Mr Witness, you did know who Benjamin Yeaten was because you had talked about him with Pa Moriba. He had told you that 18 19 Benjamin Yeaten had killed your former leader, Sam Bockarie. 14:20:56 20 Isn't that true? I don't know Benjamin Yeaten. The time Pa Moriba talked to 21 Α. 22 me was not a wartime. This time round was after the election in 23 liberia. 24 Q. Well, when Pa Moriba talked to you about Benjamin Yeaten 14:21:16 25 bringing Sam Bockarie's family to him, killing Sam Bockarie, 26 telling Pa Moriba a story about how in order to rid a village of 27 a crab you have to kill the crab, and then when Pa Moriba told 28 you a story about how Benjamin Yeaten killed Superman by stopping the car at a place where he said he shot animals, you knew who -29

	1	did you ask Pa Moriba who is Benjamin Yeaten?
	2	A. I told you last week, I said no.
	3	Q. You didn't know who was the top security man, the leader of
	4	the Armed Forces of Liberia, the combined militias, Benjamin
14:21:57	5	Yeaten?
	6	A. No, sir.
	7	Q. You hadn't heard when you testified Friday when I asked you
	8	this question about an arrest warrant for Benjamin Yeaten for the
	9	killing of Sam Dokie?
14:22:11	10	A. You did not ask me.
	11	Q. Well, I'm asking you now. You've heard about that then, is
	12	that right?
	13	A. No, sir.
	14	Q. Well, you've heard that Sam Dokie, his wife and other
14:22:22	15	family members were killed shortly after President
	16	Charles Taylor's election, correct?
	17	A. I told you last week I said I am far from that information.
	18	Last week, I did mention this. I am not aware of Liberia
	19	incident. I did not fight war in Liberia.
14:22:38	20	Q. Well
	21	A. I am far from that information, sir.
	22	Q. Mr Witness, the arrest warrant came out while you were in
	23	Liberia, after 2005. Did you hear about that arrest warrant for
	24	Benjamin Yeaten?
14:22:52	25	A. I told you entered Lofa County 2005. I took part in the
	26	election, 2006 in Monrovia on the inauguration day of Ellen
	27	Johnson. I was not there, sir. I'm far from that information.
	28	Q. You're a Liberian and you've been in Liberia, you say,
	29	since October 2005, five years, and you've never heard of

	1	Benjamin Yeaten, of who he was?
	2	A. No, sir.
	3	MR KOUMJIAN: Could I please have the transcript of 10 July
	4	2008, page 13442.
14:23:49	5	Q. Mr Witness, did you know Jabaty Jaward? You know Jabaty
	6	Jaward, correct?
	7	A. The name you are calling is strange to me. I don't know
	8	that name you are calling. Perhaps you are mispronouncing it.
	9	Q. Did you know a Jabaty?
14:24:10	10	A. No, I don't know Jabaty.
	11	Q. Sir, Jabaty Jaward spoke to us about Pa Moriba and I want
	12	to read some of that testimony. So starting at the bottom of
	13	page 13442, the last four lines. Jabaty Jaward testified:
	14	"Q. Why did you some of you stay at Voinjama whilst
14:24:53	15	Bockarie and others went to Monrovia?
	16	A. Our understanding - I mean my understanding about the
	17	instruction given to us at that time to stay there, from
	18	Sam Bockarie, was that Charles Taylor said that the group
	19	entering into Liberia was too large and that Foday Sankoh
14:25:08	20	had come to Monrovia to have discussions to settle the
	21	misunderstanding between him and Sam Bockarie."
	22	He was asked how he knew that information, and he said it
	23	came directly from Sam Bockarie. And then he was asked:
	24	"Q. Who was in the group that Bockarie went with to
14:25:31	25	Monrovi a?
	26	A. Officers at that time, like Eddie Kanneh, he was among
	27	that group. When we came to Foya we also met Jungle and
	28	others who had left Monrovia and they went to Sierra Leone
	29	and they returned with Sam Bockarie and all of them drove

1	back to Monrovia. One Kaisuku was also another senior
2	officer in the RUF, he too was among that group. One
3	Papay, Pa Moriba, was also among that group and all of them
4	travelled to Monrovia, and even Dr Magona."
14:26:10 5	Mr Witness, this is correct - it's correct, isn't it, that
6	Pa Moriba, the man that told you about Bockarie's death, left
7	with Sam Bockarie in December 1999, left Sierra Leone for
8	Li beri a?
9	A. Excuse me, sir, you are asking me about a statement quoted
14:26:31 10	to you from Foya and then you are asking me about Pa Moriba.
11	Which one, sir, please.
12	Q. Well, let me read back the question I asked you to you,
13	sir?
14	A. Yes, sir.
14:26:42 15	Q. Pa Moriba, the man that told you about Bockarie's death, he
16	left with Sam Bockarie, he left Sierra Leone in December 1999.
17	Isn't that true?
18	A. They all left. I was not present when Mosquito was
19	leaving. I was across the Moa River to Manowa area, but across
14:27:01 20	the river. That incident between Sankoh and Mosquito, he left
21	December 16th. So I can't tell who all went on that day, but Pa
22	Moriba went to Liberia.
23	Q. Pa Moriba became a member of Charles Taylor's SSS, Special
24	Security Service, isn't that true?
14:27:21 25	A. I told you if you ask any question about Liberia I am far
26	from that place. I did not go to Liberia, so I can't give you
27	any information about Liberia. Inside Sierra Leone, I can answer
28	you, sir. I am far from that information.
29	Q. Sir, you did go to Liberia you told us in 2005, and you

1 talked to Pa Moriba, correct? 2 I went to Liberia 2005 but that was not SSS time. Α. And, sir, you also told us you went to Liberia in 2003. 3 Q. 4 After meeting with the Prosecution you went to Liberia and told John Vincent about that in 2003, isn't that correct? 14:28:00 5 I did not go to Liberia 2003. Anyone from Kailahun tell Α. 6 7 you I was out of Kailahun 2005, then it's a false. I was there up to 2005 October before going to Liberia, please. 8 9 MR MUNYARD: I'd be grateful for a reference from 14:28:17 10 Mr Koumjian when he says you told us that you went to Liberia in 2003. Can we have that reference, please, because I don't recall 11 12 it and I'm not claiming perfect memory but I suspect that it's a 13 misquote. I'll be happy to be corrected if I am wrong. 14 MR KOUMJIAN: If I can just have one moment I will find that. 14:29:26 15 We'll come back to that in a moment, sir. And let me 16 Q. 17 complete where I was. Sir, if we look at the transcript for 10 July, the same date, page 13467. So I'm waiting for the 18 19 transcript to come up. I'm just waiting for the transcript to 14:30:57 20 come up, I guess there's a little problem. 21 PRESIDING JUDGE: It's on the screen now. 22 MR KOUMJIAN: Thank you. 23 Q. Sir, starting from line approximately 6. The same witness, 24 Jabaty Jaward was asked: 14:31:14 25 "Q. Now apart from you and others who were with you in the 26 ATU, do you know whether Sierra Leoneans - RUF Sierra 27 Leoneans who were with Sam Bockarie got into any other 28 units in Liberia apart from the ATU. A. Yes, sir." 29

	1	He was asked which ones did he remember, and he answered:
	2	"The people I can remember that joined the SSS at that
:	3 time,	one of them was Salami. He was later with Benjamin Yeaten.
2	4 Then	one Martin who crossed with us from Sierra Leone to Liberia,
14:31:46	5 he wa	s also in the SSS. Pa Moriba I mentioned earlier was also
ć	6 in th	e SSS. "
-	7	Mr Witness, Martin Koker was in the SSS, you know that,
8	8 don't	you?
Q	9 A.	No, sir.
14:32:02 1 0	0 Q.	Salami, former bodyguard for Sam Bockarie
11	1 A.	Please, I told you
12	2 Q.	You know him, don't you?
1:	3 A.	I told you earlier sir, I said I'm far from information
14	4 about	Liberia. I don't know.
14:32:13 1	5 Q.	Sir, you were one of Sam Bockarie's convoy security - chief
16	6 secur	ity officers. Did you know his bodyguard Salami?
17	7 A.	I did not know Salami as bodyguard to Sam Bockarie. I was
18	8 chi ef	security officers - I mean chief security officer in
19	9 Kaila	hun during the time of Sam, Bockarie of course, and also I
14:32:37 2 0	0 moved	with him when we were in Freetown, not the time we
2	1 retre	ated, I was no longer in Buedu.
22	2 Q.	And Pa Moriba who you talked to when you went to Monrovia,
23	3 you k	new he was an SSS during Taylor's time, isn't that true?
24	4 A.	Not aware, sir.
14:33:04 25	5 Q.	Now, sir, I want to compare what Pa Moriba told you to what
20	6 he to	ld another witness, TF1-585. If we could have the
27	7 trans	cript for 9 September 2008, page 15767. Actually we can
28	8 just	start at 15768. I'll just try to make it a little shorter,
29	9 what	I read. Starting from the first line, the witness said:

	1	"We arrived in Monrovia at night so in the morning Papay
	2 Mor	iba went to Benjamin Yeaten's house in Congo Town, Monrovia.
	3 As	he went he did not take long and he came back and he asked
	4 me,	" and there's some issue about interpretation and the witness
14:34:18	5 is	then asked to continue their evidence and the witness answers:
	6	"So he told me that if I knew that Sam Bockarie and his
	7	family had been killed.
	8	Q. Did he tell you or was he asking you?
	9	A. He told me.
14:34:33	10	Q. Were you able to confirm this news, this information,
	11	that Papay Moriba gave to you?
	12	A. Yes, I confirmed it later.
	13	Q. How did you confirm this information?
	14	A. Ma Toasty told me.
14:34:51	15	Q. Could you give us the name again of the person who told
	16	you?"
	17	And the name Toasty is spelled.
	18	"Q. Can you tell the Court how you got to learn from
	19	Toasty or how Toasty confirmed this information to you
14:35:09	20	about the killing of Sam Bockarie and his family?
	21	A. He met me because at that time I was - I was now in
	22	hiding, so he too was hiding. They were in the hiding
	23	place and they left there because they lacked some things
	24	where they were, so he came to me where I was hiding and he
14:35:28	25	told me that, do you know that Master and every other
	26	person has been killed. And then he said, 'Even myself,
	27	I'm hiding with my family.' He said those pick-ups which
	28	were full with manpower, our brothers were in those
	29	vehicles that were taken, he said all of them had been

	1	killed. He said even Master had been killed. He said,
	2	'l'm in a hiding place.'"
	3	Then if we can skip down to the bottom of the page, he was
	4	asked in the last six lines:
14:36:11	5	"Q. When you spoke to Toasty and confirmed this
	6	information, did Toasty tell you who killed Sam Bockarie
	7	and his family as well as all the other fighters that he
	8	had been told you had been killed.
	9	A. Yes, he told that it was 50, that that was
14:36:26	10	Charles Taylor's order".
	11	Mr Witness, do you know that Benjamin Yeaten was known as
	12	"50"?
	13	A. I know Benjamin Yeaten to be Benjamin Yeaten, the name I
	14	heard, Benjamin Yeaten.
14:36:39	15	Q. Okay. And the witness is then asked who Toasty was and he
	16	says:
	17	"Toasty was one of Sam Bockarie's big fighters and he was a
	18	bodyguard to him also."
	19	And then the witness was asked about Bockarie's family and
14:36:53	20	the witness answered:
	21	"Well, they took the entire family. Benjamin Yeaten's
	22	wife at that time was Baby Girl. He took Sam Bockarie's
	23	wife from Monrovia, his children, Sam Bockarie's mother and
	24	everybody to that village where Sam Bockarie was put."
14:37:11	25	Mr Witness, this evidence coincides with your information
	26	that Benjamin Yeaten took Sam Bockarie's wife and family out of
	27	Monrovia and killed them along with Sam Bockarie. That's what Pa
	28	Moriba told you, correct?
	29	A. Yes.

	1	Q. Now let's go to whether you ever went to Monrovia in 2003.
	2	If we could have the transcript for 4 November, please, page
	3	48699. Starting about line 17. We can go up one line, please,
	4	line 15. Okay. Line 17 the witness said, "I know Vincent," and
14:38:44	5	then you said - you were asked:
	6	"Q. You know him, he's in Monrovia now, correct?"
	7	And the witness answered - excuse me I'm having a little
	8	trouble with the monitor. You said that you live almost in the
	9	same environment. And then you were asked at line 23:
14:39:21	10	"Q. Okay, what does that mean almost in the same
	11	environment."
	12	And you said you lived a few miles - "I think we have about
	13	a few miles between us. That's what I'm saying."
	14	"Q. Did you ever talk to him about the Charles Taylor
14:39:36	15	trial, this case?
	16	A. Yes, I first talked to him when the Prosecution met me.
	17	I met him.
	18	Q. In 2003?
	19	A. Yes.
14:39:50	20	Q. And where did you meet him then?
	21	A. When they talked to me in 2003 is what I'm saying.
	22	When the Prosecution talked to me.
	23	Q. Thank you. Perhaps I wasn't clear. What I'm asking
	24	you is where were you when you met him; in Sierra Leone?
14:40:10	25	A. In Monrovia.
	26	Q. So in 2003 you were in Monrovia?
	27	A. No, I said when the Prosecution talked to me 2003, I
	28	met Vincent in Monrovia when I went to Liberia.
	29	Q. That's very interesting. So you went to Liberia and

	1	spoke about this case to John Vincent after you were
	2	interviewed by the Prosecution, correct?
	3	A. Yes."
	4	So, Mr Witness, you were the person when I asked you if
14:40:53	5	you'd spoke to anyone about the case, you said you spoke to John
	6	Vincent when you were interviewed by the Prosecution and you
	7	spoke to him in Monrovia. So you went to Monrovia in 2003 and
	8	reported your contacts with the Prosecution. Isn't that true?
	9	A. I did not go to Liberia 2003, sir.
14:41:12	10	Q. And you realised after saying that that was a mistake
	11	because now you're exposing the fact that you went and reported
	12	to Charles Taylor's people, John Vincent was SSS, about meeting
	13	the Prosecution and that's why you're changing your testimony.
	14	Isn't that true?
14:41:31	15	A. You did not get me clear, sir. That's why I repeated it.
	16	I say when the Prosecution talked to me 2003. I did not go
	17	Liberia 2003. I was still in Kailahun, 2004, 2005.
	18	Q. Well, sir, if you didn't mean that you spoke to John
	19	Vincent in 2003, you would have said I spoke to Vincent when I
14:41:53	20	went to Monrovia. You wouldn't say I spoke to Vincent when I
	21	spoke to the Prosecution in 2003?
	22	A. You asked me if I ever talked to Vincent, I said yes I
	23	talked to him after the Prosecution talked to me in 2003. I did
	24	not say I went to Liberia 2003, please.
14:42:24	25	Q. Sir, I want to move on and talk to you about Freetown, the
	26	invasion of Freetown in January 1999. Now you've told us that
	27	that day was a very important day, correct?
	28	A. Yes, sir.
	29	Q. And, sir, on that day there was a sacrifice, did you hear

	1	about SAJ Musa's forces doing a sacrifice?
	2	A. No, sir.
	3	Q. Did you ever tell anyone about a sacrifice?
	4	A. I said King Perry said the man made a sacrifice. I'm not
14:43:07	5	saying the forces. He, he made a sacrifice before heading for
	6	Freetown. I did not talk about his forces made sacrifice.
	7	Q. Who made a sacrifice?
	8	A. It was SAJ according to King Perry, sir. SAJ Musa.
	9	Q. When you say a "sacrifice", what do you mean by a
14:43:26	10	"sacri fi ce"?
	11	A. You could pray. You could do anything like a ceremony
	12	before you take off.
	13	Q. Sir, do you know about the sacrifice of Alice Pyne's baby?
	14	A. Come again?
14:43:42	15	Q. Do you know about Sam Bockarie burying alive the child of
	16	Alice Pyne?
	17	A. A boy Pyne found or what? I'm not getting the end of your
	18	statement, sir.
	19	Q. Okay. First of all, sir, you told us you knew a radio
14:44:02	20	operator and you pronounced it perhaps a little differently but
	21	you knew a Liberian radio operator, didn't you, named Nya?
	22	A. Yes, Nyan. Not Nya, Nyan.
	23	Q. And he had a women, a marriage, whatever you want to call
	24	it with another RUF radio operator, correct?
14:44:23	25	A. Yes, sir.
	26	Q. They had a child that Sam Bockarie buried alive. Isn't
	27	that true?
	28	A. I am not aware of any child being buried alive, sir.
	29	Q. Mr Witness, one Defence witness in this case and I'm

	1	referring to DOT 0/0 or 11 March of this ware goes 27120
	1	referring to DCT-068 on 11 March of this year, page 37129, I
	2	asked him did the RUF intend at all times after the intervention
	3	to get back to Freetown? And the witness said yes. You would
	4	agree with that, wouldn't you?
14:45:00	5	A. I can't tell what that person was saying. I was not here.
	6	What I'm saying is what I am aware of, sir, please.
	7	Q. Sir, my question is whether you agree that the RUF at all
	8	times intended to get to Freetown?
	9	A. No, not all times.
14:45:15	10	Q. What was the goal of the war?
	11	A. It's to change the government from power.
	12	Q. Where was the government?
	13	A. The government was in Sierra Leone, capital city Freetown.
	14	Q. So at all times in order to gain power the objective was to
14:45:36	15	take Freetown, correct?
	16	A. Yes. But you are saying somebody said all the time and
	17	that's why I said he said what he knew. What I knew is what I'm
	18	saying to you, sir.
	19	Q. Mr Witness, you testified that you were listening to the
14:45:56	20	radio, to the internal communications, in January 1999 when the
	21	events of Freetown took place, correct?
	22	A. Yes, sir.
	23	Q. And the troops were in Freetown for several weeks. Isn't
	24	that correct? About two weeks?
14:46:14	25	A. Which troop?
	26	Q. The troops that invaded Freetown led by Gullit. They were
	27	in Freetown for about two weeks, correct?
	28	A. I don't know how long they were there. I was not too
	29	concerned because RUF did not enter Freetown, so we were not too

	1	conce	rned of what was happening in Freetown.
	2	Q.	Did you care about Foday Sankoh at all?
	3	Α.	Come again.
	4	Q.	Were you interested in the fate of Foday Sankoh at all?
14:46:38	5	Α.	In the fate or fail?
	6	Q.	Fate. That means how he was, where he was. Foday Sankoh.
	7	You k	now Foday Sankoh?
	8	Α.	I knew him.
	9	Q.	Where did you think he was in January 1999?
14:46:53	10	Α.	Foday Sankoh was in - at what time are you asking for?
	11	Q.	The time of the invasion of Freetown, where did you
	12	under	stand him to be?
	13	Α.	Foday Sankoh was not in Freetown. I think he was in
	14	Ni ger	ia.
14:47:14	15	Q.	Sir, the RUF believed that Foday Sankoh correctly was being
	16	hel d	in Freetown. Isn't that true?
	17	Α.	1999?
	18	Q.	Yes, sir.
	19	Α.	No.
14:47:27	20	Q.	Sir, did you ever hear an operation entitled "Free the
	21	Leade	r"?
	22	Α.	No.
	23	Q.	When the troops of Gullit entered Freetown did they contact
	24	Sam B	ockarie either before or after they entered Freetown?
14:47:51	25	Α.	Come again?
	26	Q.	You were listening to the radio?
	27	Α.	Yes, sir.
	28	Q.	Did you hear of Gullit contact Sam Bockarie before or after
	29	he en	tered Freetown?

	1	A. A	fter he entered Freetown.
	2	Q. Y	'ou didn't hear him call before he entered Freetown asking
	3	Bockari	e for reinforcements?
	4	A. N	lo, sir.
14:48:12	5	Q. A	fter he entered Freetown did you hear conversations about
	6	freei ng	gGibril Massaquoi from the jail?
	7	A. G	Sibril Massaquoi in jail? No, sir.
	8	Q. Y	'ou didn't know that Gibril Massaquoi was in Pademba Road
	9	Pri son?	
14:48:30	10	A. N	lo.
	11	Q. M	Ir Witness, you really don't know much about what happened
	12	in Janu	ary 1999. That is what you're saying?
	13	A. N	lo, sir.
	14	Q. S	Sir, the RUF attacked Port Loko once Gullit contacted Sam
14:48:45	15	Bockari	e. Isn't that true?
	16	A. P	Please repeat.
	17	Q. T	he RUF attacked Port Loko. Once Gullit contacted
	18	Bockari	e, the RUF attacked Port Loko, correct?
	19	A. I	'm not aware, sir.
14:49:05	20	Q. A	nd the RUF then attacked Waterloo. Isn't that correct?
	21	Α. Υ	'ou mean the 1999?
	22	Q. Y	es, in January 1999 the RUF attacked Waterloo, isn't that
	23	true?	
	24	A. Y	es, the RUF forces I believe they stopped at Waterloo, but
14:49:29	25	there w	as - they stopped at Waterloo.
	26	Q. Y	ou were there manning the big machine gun, weren't you?
	27	A. N	lo, sir. I told you I was a wounded somebody so I was not
	28	acti ve	again. So I would not go nowhere. I was not there, sir.
	29	Q. S	Sir, if we could have the transcript of 19 August, please,

	1	page 46779.
	2	MR MUNYARD: Which year, please?
	3	MR KOUMJIAN: For 2010, page 46,779, thank you, 2010.
	4	Q. And then if we go to the very bottom of the page beginning
14:50:47	5	about five lines up, this is the testimony of Issa Sesay. Issa
	6	Sesay said, he was asked:
	7	"Q. Well, you said that the RUF took Waterloo. Is that
	8	right? Rambo captured Waterloo.
	9	A. Yes. Rambo and Superman were able to capture Waterloo,
14:51:09	10	but a heavy reinforcement came from Port Loko that came to
	11	reinforce their Guinean brothers, so they bulldozed their
	12	way to Port Loko.
	13	The next page.
	14	Q. And again this is why Port Loko was so important,
14:51:24	15	because if you had been able to take Port Loko those
	16	reinforcements never would have been able to come, correct?
	17	A. Well, yes, because the reinforcements that came to
	18	reinforce Waterloo came from the Guineans who were based in
	19	Port Loko."
14:51:42	20	And then if we can go to 23 August, please, page 46835.
	21	First before I leave that, Mr Witness, is that true? Did you
	22	hear that the RUF took Port Loko and then were attacked by
	23	Guinean troops coming from - the RUF took Waterloo and then were
	24	attacked by Guinean troops coming from Port Loko in January 1999.
14:52:11	25	A. No, sir.
	26	Q. And, Mr Witness, who knows better about these events in
	27	your opinion, you or Issa Sesay? Who was in a better position
	28	according to you to know about the events?
	29	A. I can't tell. I was not there. Whether Issa was present

	1	to see everything that went on, I can't tell you, so I can't tell
	2	you whether Issa should know much than I do though he was my
	3	commander, so I can't tell you whether he was present on the
	4	scene. So I can't tell you. He was speaking his own capacity.
14:52:46	5	What I know is what I'm telling you, sir, please.
	6	Q. Where was Issa Sesay in January 1999?
	7	A. I did not know his actual position but he was my commander.
	8	Q. How can you not know the actual position of your commander?
	9	A. Not every step your commander will take in the war as he
14:53:06 1	10	take a step you should monitor, or as he take a step you should
1	1	know. Perhaps he might be here, in the second, he's in another
1	12	place. That was a wartime. not every step your commander takes
1	13	you know, please.
1	14	Q. We have the transcript of 23 August, page 46835. Beginning
14:53:38 1	15	at line 3 the witness said. Excuse me, it must be the bottom.
1	16	It's the last two lines of the page. The witness was asked:
1	17	"Q. And that's true, isn't it. When Sam Bockarie found
1	8	out that the Gullit forces had entered Freetown and taken
1	19	the State House he didn't respond negatively. He
14:54:18 2	20	immediately made orders for further attacks to reinforce
2	21	them. Isn't that true?
2	22	A. No, at the moment he did not give further orders to
2	23	attack. At that moment Bockarie said since Gullit and
2	24	others have entered Freetown, we too - the RUF too should
14:54:36 2	25	move through Port Loko to get to Lungi. But we were not
2	26	able to go through because ECOMOG resistance was strong in
2	27	Lungi . "
2	28	Sir, is that true, you were listening to the radio, that
2	29	when Bockarie heard that Gullit was in Freetown he ordered an

1 attack on Lungi.

	1	attack on Lungi.
	2	A. I did not hear that, sir.
	3	MR MUNYARD: I don't think it's right for Mr Koumjian just
	4	to leave it there. He's asking about Bockarie and Gullit and
14:55:07	5	orders between them and where he broke off in that transcript
	6	answer, he broke off just before the following part of the same
	7	repl y:
	8	"As far as what I knew Gullit was not under Bockarie's
	9	instructions and even when Bockarie gave him instruction he did
14:55:24	10	not obey them."
	11	I think if the witness is going to be asked about this
	12	particular answer he should be asked about the whole of it to put
	13	it properly in context.
	14	MR KOUMJIAN: I was asking specifically about an attack on
14:55:35	15	Lungi and counsel's arguing the case that he could do - try to
	16	put in re-direct. It's not my obligation to give - to go beyond
	17	the question that I have for the witness.
	18	PRESIDING JUDGE: Yes, you can handle that in re-direct,
	19	Mr Munyard.
14:55:57	20	MR KOUMJIAN: Then if we go to 4 August 2010, page 45432.
	21	Q. While that's coming up, Mr Witness, you don't know that
	22	Gibril Massaquoi was with Gullit's forces in Freetown, freed from
	23	Pademba Road Prison?
	24	A. No, sir.
14:56:29	25	Q. And, sir, you don't know that King Perry and Alfred Brown
	26	were in Freetown with the forces?
	27	A. No.
	28	Q. Do you know Rambo Red Goat, Mr Witness?
	29	A. Rambo who?

	1	Q.	Rambo Red Goat, Idrissa Kamara, an SLA?
	2	Α.	You are saying Rambo who, please.
	3	Q.	Rambo Red Goat?
	4	Α.	No, I did not know any Rambo Red Goat. I knew normal Rambo
14:57:06	5	in the	e RUF.
	6	Q.	Okay. 4 August, page 45432. The witness was asked at the
	7	very l	pottom of the page by the Presiding Judge, beginning four
	8	lines	up:
	9		"The question simply asked of you was why did Rambo's
14:57:42	10		forces go to Waterloo? Now in everything that you have
	11		said I haven't seen an answer to that question. Why did
	12		Rambo's forces go to Waterloo?
	13		THE WITNESS: Your Honour, the answer is within what I have
	14		said. I said Freetown was the seat of power. That is
14:57:58	15		Freetown. So if Rambo went to Waterloo"
	16		And the Defence counsel asked him to go on, continue, and
	17	he ans	swered:
	18		"That is RUF's move but that doesn't mean that RUF went
	19		there to Waterloo to connect with the AFRC.
14:58:19	20		PRESIDING JUDGE: Yes, but what do you mean by 'that was
	21		RUF's move'? What is your answer? Why did Rambo's forces
	22		go to Waterloo? What is your answer?
	23		THE WITNESS: My Lord, I said Rambo was an RUF group. His
	24		going to Waterloo, RUF was fighting to go to Freetown also,
14:58:37	25		because Freetown was the seat of power, the seat of
	26		government."
	27		So, Mr Witness, aren't you aware, as Issa Sesay told this
	28	Court	that the RUF attacked Waterloo when Gullit's forces were
	29	i nsi de	e the city to go to Freetown also?

	1	A. I can't tell what Issa say here, but he spoke in his own
	2	capacity. What I know is what I'm telling you.
	3	Q. And what
	4	A. [Overlapping speakers] he answer because he was around
14:59:11	5	there, but I was not there. I can't tell you whether the RUF
	6	activities that went on at Waterloo, I saw it. I don't, sir,
	7	pl ease.
	8	Q. So in fact what's your knowledge based on? Your knowledge
	9	of the events in Freetown is based on what?
14:59:29	10	A. On the communication, whatever information I give you is
	11	what I monitored on the radio myself.
	12	Q. Well then, sir, did you hear, as other witnesses have
	13	testified to, Sam Bockarie on the radio ordering Gullit "to burn
	14	the fucking place"?
14:59:45	15	A. No, I'm not aware.
	16	Q. Now, you told us that Sam Bockarie was a friend of Gullit,
	17	correct?
	18	A. I told you Gullit told me that what happened to them in
	19	Kailahun, he was going to return same. Meaning that that day
15:00:15	20	Q. Mr Witness, that's not my question. My question was you
	21	told us that Sam Bockarie was a friend of Gullit and that's
	22	right, you said that, didn't you?
	23	A. He was not a friend of him. We all operated together and
	24	we retreated to Kailahun. Then something sparked and the
15:00:32	25	relationship begin sour. He was not a direct or personal friend
	26	to him that they were together.
	27	Q. Mr Witness, Issa Sesay was a friend of Gullit, correct?
	28	A. Yes, we all were friends to the AFRC and they themselves,
	29	they were friends to us, when we entered Freetown.

1 Q. And Alfred Brown was a friend of Gullit, correct? 2 Α. That I can't tell, sir. 3 Sir, tell us about the attack on Tombo in February. You're Q. 4 aware, aren't you, that after Gullit's forces were pushed out of Freetown in mid to late January, late January '99, there was an 15:01:23 5 attack, an attempt to get to Freetown by attacking Tombo. You' re 6 7 aware of that, aren't you? No, sir. 8 Α. 9 0. Sir, you were listening to the radio and monitoring it but you're not aware that there was an attack on Tombo in February? 15:01:40 10 Α. No, sir, I did not monitor that. 11 12 MR KOUMJIAN: If we could have the transcript for 23 August 13 2010, page 46851. 14 MS I RURA: Could counsel please repeat the page reference 15:02:30 15 because I'm not getting that page on this transcript. MR KOUMJIAN: Yes. 46851 of 23 August 2010. 16 17 Q. Mr Witness, at that point beginning on line 4 I was reading to Mr Sesay from his testimony in his own trial and in his own 18 19 trial Mr Sesay's lawyer asked: 15:03:17 20 "0. Let's not lead to March. Let's stay with February. 21 I'm trying to work out what the state of your knowledge is 22 about Gullit in February so, if you can't say, you can't say, but don't lead to March. What's he doing in February, 23 24 do you know? 15:03:33 25 And Mr Sesay under oath in his own trial said: 26 "A. Well, they were in, he was in - he was in Benguema. He 27 was in Benguema and he, Rambo and Superman, to attack 28 Tombo, when they attacked ECOMOG at Tombo, and they were unabl e. " 29

	1	I asked Mr Sesay:
	2	"Q. Did you tell the truth in your own trial about this
	3	attack by Rambo, Superman and Gullit on Tombo?
	4	A. Yes, I did say that.
15:04:02	5	Q. So, Mr Sesay, in February 1999, when the RUF knew about
	6	what had happened in Freetown, the city had been burnt,
	7	people had been killed and amputated, the RUF continued to
	8	work with Gullit and made a second attempt to get back to
	9	Freetown in a coordinated attack with the AFRC forces,
15:04:21	10	correct?
	11	A. Well, yes, that did happen but it was a failed attack,
	12	the attack was not successful."
	13	Mr Witness, aren't you aware that just soon after Gullit's
	14	forces were pushed out of Freetown in February the RUF combined
15:04:48	15	with him again to try to go back to Freetown through Tombo?
	16	A. No, sir, I'm not aware of that.
	17	Q. Well, knowing that, Mr Witness, that shows you, since you
	18	weren't there in Freetown, but that's pretty clear to you then,
	19	isn't it, that the RUF and the AFRC were combining forces and
15:05:07	20	working together to take Freetown. Isn't that true?
	21	A. No, sir.
	22	Q. So the fact that they combined for an attack in February
	23	according to Issa Sesay, that does not mean to you that they were
	24	working together?
15:05:22	25	A. I can't tell you. What Issa was saying he said it in his
	26	own capacity, sir. What I know is what I'm saying.
	27	Q. Mr Witness, I want to talk to you about your contacts with
	28	the Defence in this case, beginning from your very first contacts
	29	with the Defence. Are you willing to do that? Will you answer

	1	my questions truthfully?
	2	A. No, sir.
	3	Q. Okay. And one of the things I want to establish, sir, is
	4	that you've already lied to us even about something that actually
15:06:06	5	is innocuous about your contacts with the Defence here in The
	6	Hague. So I'm going to ask you that question again. Since you
	7	arrived here in The Hague how many times have you spoken to
	8	members of the Defence team?
	9	A. I told you on the second day I spoke with them.
15:06:30	10	Q. And then you said you arrived on Wednesday, is that
	11	correct?
	12	A. Yes.
	13	Q. So you're saying you spoke to them on Thursday?
	14	A. Yes, sir.
15:06:40	15	Q. And when did you speak to them after that?
	16	A. No, sir. After that, no.
	17	Q. Sir, you spoke to them over the weekend, didn't you?
	18	A. No, sir.
	19	Q. Not this weekend, last weekend. Before you began your
15:06:56	20	testimony you spoke to the Defence, correct?
	21	A. I said no, sir.
	22	Q. You didn't see the Defence that weekend?
	23	A. No, sir.
	24	Q. Mr Kolleh, without giving me the location or a name, are
15:07:12	25	you staying at a hotel?
	26	A. Yes, I am staying at a hotel.
	27	Q. Did you see the Defence at the hotel this weekend?
	28	A. No.
	29	Q. Were you transported to another location to see the

	1	Defence?
	2	MR MUNYARD: I think there might be a confusion again about
	3	which weekend because Mr Koumjian has just mentioned this
	4	weekend.
15:07:37	5	MR KOUMJIAN: Thank you.
	6	Q. Mr Kolleh, I'm speaking about the weekend before you began
	7	your testimony.
	8	A. Yes, sir.
	9	Q. Did you see the Defence at your hotel?
15:07:51	10	A. Not at the hotel, sir.
	11	Q. You were taken to another location to see them, correct?
	12	A. No, sir.
	13	Q. Did you see them at all?
	14	A. I saw them.
15:08:00	15	Q. Where? Without giving us an exact location, you did see
	16	them?
	17	A. Yes, I said after two days I saw them.
	18	Q. I'm asking about the weekend now, not Thursday?
	19	A. I said no. I kept saying no, sir.
15:08:27	20	MR KOUMJIAN: I have a document to distribute.
	21	Q. Now, sir, this is an email that's been redacted to remove
	22	addresses and phone numbers from a member of the Defence,
	23	Ms Logan Hambrick, and you know her, correct?
	24	A. Yes.
15:10:07	25	Q. And you've spoken to her about your evidence, correct?
	26	A. Yes, sir.
	27	Q. And there's nothing wrong with that, let me make that
	28	clear, for a lawyer to speak to a witness about the evidence
	29	before they take the witness stand. Now, sir, this is an email

1 we received Friday from the Defence, Ms Hambrick, indicating some
2 areas that you would be testifying about that were not in your
3 original summary. And then if we look at the last sentence, it
4 says: "We will also be proofing the witness over the weekend,
15:10:45
5 and any further information will be provided as necessary." So,
6 Mr Kolleh, tell us a truth. You spoke to the Defence over the
7 weekend before you testified, correct?

8 A. No, sir.

9 Q. Mr Kolleh, if we have to contact the section that takes
15:11:12 10 care of you, the WVS, and ask them if they transported you or
11 arranged for you to meet with the Defence over the weekend, are
12 you saying that they will say you met no one over the weekend
13 before you testified?

14 A. I said I met them but you're talking about over this 15:11:30 15 weekend, no.

Q. No, sir, I'm quite clear. I think I've been quite clear.
I'm talking about the weekend before you testified you met the
Defence, correct?

19 A. I said yes. I told you earlier, I said yes.

15:11:43 20 Q. No, you didn't, sir. So you didn't speak to the Defence
21 once, you spoke to the Defence twice about the case. They
22 interviewed you about your evidence twice, correct? There's
23 nothing wrong with them doing that. Isn't that true?
24 A. Twice where, sir, please?

15:12:05 25 Q. In The Hague. Anywhere in this country?

A. No, not twice.

Q. Well, why did you ask - did you speak to them the weekendbefore you testified?

29 A. I met with the Defence but not over this weekend.

1 Q. Mr Kolleh, you keep avoiding the question. You met with 2 them on Thursday, the second day after your arrival, and you met 3 them again over the weekend, correct? 4 Α. No, sir. PRESIDING JUDGE: Mr Kolleh, which weekend are you talking 15:12:37 5 about? 6 7 THE WITNESS: Not a weekend. I said when I arrived here I met with them. But over the weekend he talking about, I don't 8 9 know which weekend, sir, that's why I kept asking which weekend. I did not meet them over the weekend. 15:12:49 10 PRESIDING JUDGE: The question is the weekend - the first 11 12 weekend after you arrived did you meet with the Defence? 13 THE WITNESS: Yes. 14 MR KOUMJIAN: 15:13:12 15 0. Mr Kolleh, now that we've established how open you are about your contacts with the Defence, tell us again when was the 16 17 first time you spoke to any representative of the Defence about 18 this case? 19 In The Hague here? Α. 15:13:27 20 Q. No, sir. Any representative of the Defence or of Charles Taylor, when did you first have contact with them about 21 22 giving evidence in this case? 23 My first contact was in Monrovia, I have forgotten the Α. 24 time, sir. 15:13:54 25 Q. Who was that first contact with? 26 Α. I told you it was Gray and Gus, the first person I met, I 27 met with Gus, and Gray was with Prince Taylor. 28 Q. Prince Taylor is the former G5 of the RUF, correct? 29 Not that Prince Taylor, sir. Α.

1 Q. Who's the Prince Taylor that you met with? 2 Α. Prince Taylor is in Freetown. 3 MR KOUMJIAN: Could we have the transcript of 19 April 4 2010, please, page 39286. If we go to the bottom of the page - I'll skip this. It's 15:14:55 5 0. too ambiguous. I'm going to move on. Mr Witness --6 7 JUDGE DOHERTY: Mr Koumjian, can I clarify with the witness because I'd like to be clear, are you saying, Mr Witness, that 8 9 there are two people called Prince Taylor, one of whom was a G5 for the RUF -15:15:42 10 THE WITNESS: Yes. 11 12 JUDGE DOHERTY: - and another one was a man also called 13 Gray as well as being called Prince Taylor? 14 THE WITNESS: No, Gray is different. Prince Taylor the G5 15:15:58 15 was RUF vanguard. Gray is different. He's in Monrovia on the Gray is different from Prince Taylor, sir, ma'am. 16 Defence team. 17 JUDGE DOHERTY: Why did you say I met with Gus and Gray was Prince Taylor. 18 19 THE WITNESS: I said with Prince Taylor. But I talked to 15:16:21 20 Gus and Gray. I met - they were three persons, the people I met, 21 that's what I'm saying. He asked me when was my first contact 22 with the Defence and who were those people. MR MUNYARD: May I, Mr President, through you indicate that 23 24 although I see that's on the LiveNote, that is not what I 15:16:47 25 actually - the word was wasn't what I actually heard the witness 26 say and it has actually been asked before about the people he met 27 last week in his cross-examination and he specifically mentioned 28 three separate people, those three names. So I do think this is a case of the LiveNote not being entirely accurate in terms of 29

1 picking up everything the witness said. PRESIDING JUDGE: Yes, thank you, Mr Munyard. I must say 2 3 I'm not clear on this at all. You said you met three people, who 4 were those people again, Mr Kolleh? THE WITNESS: I said Gus, John Gray and one Prince Taylor. 15:17:30 5 Those were the three persons I met. 6 7 PRESIDING JUDGE: And who was this Prince Taylor you met? 8 THE WITNESS: I met all of them together to the office in 9 Monrovia too, the Defence. PRESIDING JUDGE: I didn't ask you that. I asked you who 15:17:50 10 was the Prince Taylor you met. Was this the person that 11 12 Mr Koumjian referred to as the former G5? 13 THE WITNESS: No, sir. The G5 he talk about, I'm saying we 14 had another Prince Taylor called - I mean another senior officer 15:18:09 15 called Prince Taylor. He was a G5. I am telling him that he was not the one I met with Gray and Gus. I just tried to make things 16 17 clear to him, sir. PRESIDING JUDGE: All right. Thank you. 18 19 MR KOUMJIAN: Perhaps we can go then to the transcript of 15:18:23 20 19 April 2010, page 39286 at the bottom. I take it back. Rereading it, it can be read two ways, so I will not bother with 21 22 thi s. I apologise. Sir, when you were with the Defence any time since 2003, 23 0. 24 have you done any work for the Defence of Charles Taylor? 15:19:20 25 Α. Please repeat your question, sir. 26 Q. Sir, have you recruited any witnesses for Charles Taylor's 27 Defence? 28 Α. I did not work for Defence. I did not recruit people, sir. 29 Did you refer any witnesses to speak to the Defence Q.

	1	investigators?
	2	A. I don't remember, sir.
	3	Q. You don't remember whether you did or not?
	4	A. No.
15:19:46	5	Q. Did you refer John Vincent to speak to the Defence?
	6	A. No, I never.
	7	Q. You've mentioned a Stephen Jusu Moriba who you said was
	8	your former adjutant. When was he your adjutant?
	9	A. When I was a chief security officer in Kailahun District,
15:20:16	10	he was my adjutant.
	11	Q. What years were you - was he your adjutant?
	12	A. 2000 - 1999 ending to 2000 up to disarmament.
	13	Q. So you were the chief security officer in Kailahun at the
	14	time the peacekeepers were taken. Is that right?
15:20:44	15	A. Which peacekeepers, sir?
	16	Q. The peacekeepers from Makeni and the peacekeepers that
	17	Martin George captured in Kailahun?
	18	A. No, I don't want you to combine that information or that
	19	point because Kailahun was a separate case and Makeni was a
15:20:58	20	separate case. Kailahun, Martin George was in charge, I told you
	21	earlier that I was not in Kailahun.
	22	Q. Sir, the question is were you the chief security officer
	23	for Kailahun?
	24	A. Yes, sir.
15:21:10	25	Q. When Martin George took the peacekeepers in Kailahun?
	26	A. No, Martin George was a chief security officer, by then I
	27	was not at that position, sir.
	28	Q. That was in May 2000, so were you the chief security
	29	officer in Kailahun in May 2000?
1 [Overlapping speakers] you asked me when Stephen Jusu Α. 2 Moriba was my adjutant, I say from 2009 [sic] ending into 2000 and up to disarmament. That's what I tried to say, sir. 3 4 Q. Sir, you said he works as a statement taker for the Court. Who does he work for? 15:21:48 5 I can't tell. We only used to converse on mobile phone Α. 6 7 when he was working in Freetown, so I can't tell who specifically he worked for. 8 9 0. You said you didn't refer John Vincent to the Defence. Is 15:22:05 10 that right? No, sir. 11 Α. 12 MR KOUMJIAN: Can we have the transcript of 1 April, 13 please, of this year, page 38483. This is closed session, so I'm 14 going to read paraphrasing, or private session. 15:22:36 15 Q. So the witness, John Vincent, said beginning on the third 16 line: 17 "When I put the photos in my album it was later that I met Sam Kolleh and he told me he had gotten in touch with the Defence 18 19 team, so he elaborated to me and straightaway I informed him" 15:22:56 20 what someone said. I'm not going to tell you what that was. 21 "And he said but then if that is the case then the Defence team 22 will need you also. That was kind of introduced to one Gus, like I told you." 23 24 So, Mr Kolleh, did John Vincent lie when he said you 15:23:18 25 introduced him to Gus and told him that he needed to - he should 26 contact the Defence team? 27 I don't know what John Vincent said here. What I know is Α. 28 what I'm telling you. I did not, please. 29 Well, then is that a lie? I read to you that John Vincent Q.

1 said you told him that the Defence team -

2 A. I am saying I did not -

Q. Sir, let me finish the question - will need to talk to him.
Is that true or is that a lie?

15:23:45 5 A. I am saying I did not talk to John Vincent. I don't know
6 what he said here. You are quoting him. He said what he knew he
7 would say. But I'm telling you that I did not.

8 Q. Okay, thank you. I want to ask you some other questions 9 about the Defence and any association you have with the Defence. 15:24:20 10 Before I was asking you about, and I didn't finish, Stephen Jusu 11 Moriba, while the document is being distributed, if we could have 12 a document distributed, please, and you said he was your 13 adjutant. You also said he was a statement taker. Who did he 14 take statements for?

15:24:38 15 Α. I did not see him working. I mean we conversed on the telephone. He told me was now working with the Special Court in 16 17 By then I'm already a student now in Liberia. We used Freetown. to talk, I was leaving to the house in Kailahun, I went to 18 19 Monrovia, so we used to talk over telephone and I told you he did 15:25:01 20 not specify which department he was taking statement from or for, 21 but he told me he was now working with the Special Court. That's 22 what I said earlier when I came here.

Q. Well, you said he was working in Monrovia, as I understoodyou, is that correct?

- 15:25:15 25 A. Who was working in Monrovia?
 - 26 Q. Stephen Jusu Moriba.
 - 27 A. I did not talk about Monrovia.

28 MR MUNYARD: Again I would ask for a reference. If 29 Mr Koumjian says he did speak about Monrovia, I'd like to see it.

1 MR KOUMJIAN: Okay, we'll come back to that in a moment. 2 We're looking to see exactly, but it's spoken about on 3 November and I'll look to see whether Monrovia's there or not. 3 4 Q. Sir, I've handed out a document that consists of two 15:26:41 5 pages --Before we move off that other point I've just MR MUNYARD: 6 7 looked up 3 November, page 48554, line 9: Stephen Jusu Moriba was my adjutant. He was with me 8 "A. 9 in Kailahun but later worked for the Special Court in Sierra Leone as a statement taker." 15:26:59 10 Now I have no recollection, again I'm happy to be corrected 11 12 if I'm wrong, I have no recollection of him saying anything other 13 than Moriba working in Sierra Leone. If that's the reference 14 then let's clear this point up for once and for all. 15:27:19 15 PRESIDING JUDGE: I understood Mr Koumjian to be saying he's going to get the appropriate reference for his contention. 16 17 MR KOUMJIAN: It may be a matter of interpretation. What I have is page 48557. And what the witness said at line 5, he 18 19 said, "I said Stephen Jusu Moriba, my former adjutant, when I 15:27:49 20 went to Liberia now later he was working for the Special Court in 21 Freetown later." 22 And then he says on line 12: "He worked as a statement taker. He used to talk to me 23 24 while in Monrovia. He said, 'I'm now working with the Special 15:28:06 25 Court as a statement taker.' This is in 2006, 2007, up to 2008 26 maybe." 27 So, Mr Witness, you told the Court that when you saw Q. 28 Stephen Jusu Moriba, your former adjutant, you said, "He used to 29 talk to me while in Monrovia. He said, 'I'm now working with the

1 Special Court as a statement taker.' This is 2006, 2007, up to 2008. " 2 So my question is who was he working for? 3 4 Α. I don't know. He told me was working with the Special Court. That was the communication. And you are reading 15:28:43 5 something there, sir, you mentioned about Stephen Jusu Moriba 6 7 working in Liberia. I did not mention that. Please go over that statement. 8 9 0. Let me read it. These are your words, sir. "He used to 15:28:57 10 talk to me while in Monrovia. He said I'm now working with the Special Court as statement taker." That's what you said? 11 12 Α. No, I heard you talking about working in Monrovia. That's 13 what I tried to find out, sir. 14 Q. Sir, is Monrovia in Liberia? 15:29:12 15 Α. Yes, sir. So if he was talking to you in Monrovia you said and he 16 Q. 17 said I'm now working for the Special Court, that means he was 18 talking to you while you were in Monrovia? 19 Yeah, I was in Monrovia, he was talking to me. He talked Α. 15:29:28 20 to me on the phone. Let's move on. Sir, I want to ask you about an 21 0. 22 organisation and any knowledge you have of that organisation 23 because it is a mystery to me. It is called the Association For 24 the Legal Defence of Charles G Taylor and we see a two-page 15:29:57 25 document before us. And if we look at the document and it's from 26 a web page, www.fortaylor.net, that previously has come up and we 27 also have a document in evidence regarding contributions to that 28 organisation, I believe that's exhibit P-406. 29 Sir, according to the document in front of you it talks

	1	about the function of this association is to and it says:
	2	"Number 5, recruit witnesses for the trial. And number 6,
	3	coordinate investigations. 7, mobilise resources and other
	4	logi sti cs. "
15:30:48	5	And it says in the next paragraph that the association has
	6	three sections, legal, Press and Public Affairs, finance and
	7	administration. Sir, do you have any knowledge of this
	8	organi sati on?
	9	A. Which organisation, sir.
15:31:10	10	Q. The Association For the Legal Defence of Charles Taylor,
	11	Charles G Taylor?
	12	A. I have a knowledge, yes.
	13	Q. Thank you. Who is involved in that organisation?
	14	A. You mean the Defence team, please?
15:31:40	15	Q. Sir, this isn't the Defence team that's paid for from the
	16	public funds. This is a separate organisation that solicited
	17	funds on its own.
	18	A. I'm not aware. I thought you were talking about the
	19	Defence team, sorry.
15:31:53	20	Q. Well, sir, did anyone other than the Defence team, the
	21	official Defence team, recruit you for this trial?
	22	A. No, sir.
	23	Q. Sir, do you know what the funds that were collected for
	24	this organisation, what they were spent on?
15:32:09	25	A. I'm not aware of that.
	26	Q. Sir, I want to ask you about an affidavit that you signed
	27	and that is the Defence bundle, tab 1. Excuse me, may that
	28	document be marked for identification, please, the two-page
	29	document. Yes, and I believe I also have not marked the

1 redacted --

	I	reuacieu
	2	PRESIDING JUDGE: Just a moment. I'll have to locate that
	3	document again. I think the next MFI number is 13. I'll mark
	4	that for identification 13.
15:33:42	5	MR KOUMJIAN: Just to be clear, I believe I failed to mark
	6	one other document. I'm not sure which document your Honour's
	7	referring to. There was the redacted email and then there's a
	8	two-page document from a website fortaylor.net. So I'd ask that
	9	each be marked. The website document is two pages, although I
15:34:05	10	only referred to the first page. I really only need the first
	11	page. My colleagues remind me I also did not mark the summary,
	12	so I apologi se.
	13	PRESIDING JUDGE: You're getting too far ahead of me.
	14	What's the two-page document? What's the title of it? I've got
15:34:36	15	a bundle here, Mr Koumjian.
	16	MR KOUMJIAN: This was not in the Defence bundle. This was
	17	handed out just a few moments ago, it's called "The Association
	18	For the Legal Defence of Charles G Taylor: About us." Page 1 of
	19	2.
15:34:49	20	PRESIDING JUDGE: That's the one I just marked MFI-13.
	21	MR KOUMJIAN: Thank you. And then there's the witness
	22	summary which was handed out, it's actually an official document
	23	of the Court, but Taylor Defence Rule 73 ter witness list,
	24	version V and the summary for this witness consists of pages 40
15:35:09	25	through 42. The only page I referred to was page 42 which refers
	26	to the combined forces. But I believe it's necessary to have it
	27	all to understand that this all relates to DCT-102 because the
	28	number doesn't otherwise appear.
	29	PRESIDING JUDGE: All right. That witness summary in

	1	lation to the current witness is marked for identification	۱,
	2	I -14.	
	3	MR KOUMJIAN: Thank you. And then the email indicatir	ng the
	4	fence will be proofing the witness over the weekend, the e	email
15:36:01	5	ted 29 October 2010, redacted to remove addresses, may tha	it be
	6	rked MFI-15.	
	7	PRESIDING JUDGE: Yes, that document is so marked.	
	8	Now, sir, there's a document before you that on the fo	ourth
	9	ge has a signature. First, look at the signature and tell	us
15:36:27	10	that is your signature.	
	11	Yes.	
	12	And did you prepare - well, you signed this document.	ls
	13	at correct?	
	14	Yes.	
15:36:37	15	Who wrote it? Mr Witness, I've been waiting about 30	
	16	conds and you haven't answered the question. Who wrote th	ıe
	17	cument, if you know?	
	18	It was taken from me by the Defence people.	
	19	Well, who wrote this document, if you know?	
15:37:06	20	I told you I was interviewed by Gray and Gus.	
	21	When did you sign the document?	
	22	I am forgetting the date.	
	23	Was it a long time ago? Was it years ago, months ago,	
	24	eks ago?	
15:37:34	25	I believe it was 2010.	
	26	And, sir, who gave it to you to sign?	
	27	It was given to me by the Defence to sign.	
	28	Who, sir? Who gave it to you to sign?	
	29	It was given to me by Logan to sign.	

	1	Q.	And did you sign it the same day she gave it to you?
	2	Α.	I signed it, I think, the next day.
	3	Q.	Did you read it?
	4	Α.	Yeah, I went through.
15:38:39	5	Q.	Was everything correct?
	6	Α.	Yes.
	7	Q.	So did you sign this, swear that it was the truth and sign
	8	it kn	owing that you were signing a document under penalty of
	9	perj u	iry?
15:39:02	10	Α.	Under what?
	11	Q.	That you could be punished if it was false? Did you sign
	12	it kn	iowing that?
	13	Α.	Well, yes, if you sign a document, you agree with it.
	14	Q.	Okay. You signed it affirming, excuse me, that everything
15:39:22	15	was t	rue to the best of your knowledge and belief. Is that
	16	corre	ect?
	17	Α.	Yes.
	18	Q.	Sir, who's Mr Supuwood?
	19	Α.	He's with the Defence. I don't know his actual position,
15:39:42	20	si r.	
	21	Q.	What was his role in this affidavit?
	22	Α.	I did not understand his actual role. He was there also.
	23	Q.	Where? Where was it that you saw Mr Supuwood?
	24	Α.	In Monrovia.
15:40:01	25	Q.	Yes, sir, but where? Unless it's your house, don't tell us
	26	the a	ddress. But tell us what place it was at. Was it an
	27	offi c	e? Someone's house?
	28	Α.	I met him at his office, sir, around Sinkor in Monrovia.
	29	Q.	Now we see on the side of the document it's written

	1	"reference" and it appears to be to say, "CIIr. JL Supuwood". Is
	2	that correct? Do you know who wrote that?
	3	A. Yes.
	4	Q. Who wrote it?
15:40:54	5	A. I'm seeing "CIIr. JL" - it's a signature. The spelling is
	6	giving me hard time.
	7	Q. Well, how many times did you meet with Mr Supuwood?
	8	A. I met him once.
	9	Q. How many times did you speak to him on the phone?
15:41:27	10	A. On the mobile phone?
	11	Q. Yes.
	12	A. I remember only one time.
	13	Q. And you said on the mobile phone. Did you speak to him on
	14	any other phone?
15:41:50	15	A. No, sir.
	16	Q. There's another two documents I would like handed out, but
	17	while they're being handed out I'll continue. Just one moment,
	18	please. I'll come back to that in a moment. Sir, let's go
	19	through this for a moment. Going to paragraph 3, in this
15:42:49	20	affidavit you say that David Crane who you understood was the
	21	Chief Prosecutor for the Court and Chris Bomford deliberately
	22	intimidated, harassed and threatened you into coop erating with
	23	them. Is that correct?
	24	A. Yes, I said it.
15:43:08	25	Q. And you said, "The Prosecution would also use the local
	26	Sierra Leone police to exert indirect pressure on me." Is that
	27	correct?
	28	A. Yes, if I refused to talk to them.
	29	Q. Sir, in your testimony you didn't say anything about the

	1	Prosecution threatening to use the police against you, did you?
	2	A. The Prosecution used the police to have me taken to the
	3	police headquarter in Kailahun and it is after I talked to them
	4	for the first day I have to go to the Interpol to confirm this
15:43:42	5	and they told me you have to talk to these people.
	6	Q. Sir, you didn't say anything in your testimony up to now
	7	about Interpol?
	8	A. The MILOBs, the military observers, they were the
	9	international police that we call Interpol, they were the MILOBs
15:43:58	10	as well, military police, in Kailahun.
	11	Q. Well, Interpol and military observers, MILOBs, are two
	12	different things. Did you know that?
	13	A. They are the same people, I consider.
	14	Q. Actually, sir, you don't know any Interpol, do you?
15:44:13	15	A. Come again.
	16	Q. You don't know anyone in Interpol, do you?
	17	A. You mean at their office?
	18	Q. Interpol is an international organisation dealing with
	19	police cooperation. You don't know anyone with Interpol, do you?
15:44:34	20	A. I knew their headquarter and we all worked together during
	21	disarmament. I did not know their name particularly, but I knew
	22	them.
	23	Q. The people you're talking about are the civilian police or
	24	military observers. Which one are you talking about?
15:44:54	25	A. During that time
	26	Q. UN civilian police?
	27	A. During that time the Interpol, the military observer, they
	28	stay in the same compound in Kailahun Town, so I considered them
	29	to be military observers, sorry, or international police.

1 Q. I want - some documents have been distributed and I want to 2 go with them - go over them briefly. I believe the first one, if 3 I could see it again on the screen, push it down a little bit, 4 please, is dated - this is the second one but this one is fine. Dated 16 July 2010. Mr Witness, you've talked about speaking on 15:46:05 5 the phone to Mr Supuwood. Mr Supuwood, were you aware, and first 6 7 of all please take the document off for a moment and I wish to make the Court aware that the document the registrar has marked 8 9 confidential. I don't see any reason for it to be confidential 15:46:35 10 but I wanted the Court to be aware of that in case anyone wants to make any such application. 11 12 MR MUNYARD: I would ask that the document remain 13 confidential for two reasons at the moment. First of all, because it was issued confidentially. Secondly, your Honours 14 15:46:57 15 will see who it is issued to. There is no suggestion in any part of this document that it was ever issued to this witness or had 16 17 anything to do with this witness. So A, it's a confidential document, and B, its use at the moment is, on the face of it, 18 19 highly speculative in relation to this witness. And so for both those reasons, one because it's so marked, and two out of an 15:47:23 20 21 abundance of caution I would ask that it not be put on the screen 22 for public display and I really do think at this stage 23 Mr Koumjian is under a duty to explain why - what use he intends 24 to make of this document with this witness. 15:47:47 25 PRESIDING JUDGE: Yes, do you want to reply to that, 26 Mr Koumjian? 27 MR KOUMJIAN: Yes. Your Honour, the witness has spoken 28 about giving an affidavit and has spoken about that the affidavit 29 was actually given - he indicated in the office of Mr Supuwood if

1 I'm correct. He said he was involved. And we see on the side of 2 the affidavit is written Mr Supuwood. We further have testimony 3 from this witness that he's spoken on the phone to Mr Supuwood. 4 The question that it's relevant for, the Prosecution believes, is the integrity of the Defence evidence, given that the information 15:48:24 5 in the proposed document would indicate that counsel, this 6 7 counsel specifically Mr Supuwood, and I want to make it clear I'm not accusing anyone else, has violated his duties to the Special 8 9 Court and misused his confidential privileges with Mr Taylor and 15:48:50 10 we believe that that affects the integrity of the evidence, including this witness's evidence. 11 12 MR MUNYARD: Actually that misstates what this document in 13 fact says. Although in the first paragraph it starts, and I'm 14 looking at line 4 of the first paragraph, "On the basis of 15:49:10 15 information collected it was established" and it goes on to say that there was a misuse of attorney-client privilege and the 16 17 Registrar had reasonable grounds to believe that such communications could prejudice the proceedings, it goes on to say 18 19 in paragraph 2, "On 2 July 2010 counsel for Mr Taylor provided 15:49:33 20 information to the registrar regarding the content of Mr Taylor's 21 privileged communications. The Registrar accepted the 22 explanation provided by counsel and decided that the suspension of privileged communications between the accused and 23 24 Mr Supuwood" - I'm only mentioning him - "was no longer 15:49:54 25 necessary." 26 In other words, a prima facie case established paragraph 1, 27 an explanation then provided by Defence counsel paragraph 2, 28 which resulted in the suspension being lifted. PRESIDING JUDGE: All right, look, let's hark back to the 29

question of confidentiality first. I really don't know why this 1 2 has been marked confidential and it seems with this number of 3 addressees it's rather not practical to say it's a confidential 4 memo, but there may be something in it that the Registrar does not wish to know about the proceedings they adopt in relation to 15:50:33 5 And as you said, Mr Munyard, I think seeing that these matters. 6 7 it is marked confidential and there's nothing to say that that classification should be changed, I think we ought to deal with 8 9 it as a confidential document and not display it to the public. In relation to what Mr Munyard has just said, Mr Koumjian, 15:51:08 10 did you have any reply to that? 11 12 MR KOUMJIAN: Well, my reply would be that if a privileged 13 non-monitored line is misused by counsel then simply there's no 14 way for us to further explore what was said because it's not 15:51:35 **15** monitored and so we are not in a position unfortunately to provide further information, but the Court will know that, of 16 17 course, and there was a filing about a year before that this was not the first suspension, that this was a repeated occurrence. 18 19 PRESIDING JUDGE: What's the connection with this witness? 15:52:03 20 Are you going to ask him something on this document? 21 MR KOUMJIAN: I'll just ask him one question not 22 specifically on the document but related to the document. If your Honour allows? 23 PRESIDING JUDGE: Well, I'd like to hear the question 24 15:52:24 25 before I make any ruling. 26 MR KOUMJIAN: Certainly. 27 Q. Mr Witness, did Mr Supuwood get you in contact with 28 Mr Taylor? Did he forward your call to Mr Taylor at any time? 29 I don't know, sir. Α.

1 Q. You don't know whether or not you spoke to Mr Taylor? 2 Α. No, sir, I never. I said I never. 3 MR MUNYARD: Please, never what? 4 THE WITNESS: I never spoke with Mr Taylor. MR KOUMJIAN: 15:53:03 5 Let me continue with your affidavit, if we can go back to Q. 6 7 that. Now you say in paragraph 7 that your Interpol connections 8 assured you that in relation to the Special Court you should not 9 be afraid. Who again are you talking about when you talk about your Interpol connections? 15:53:36 10 I said I went to the MILOBS. I told them what happened. 11 Α. 12 They told me you have to talk to these people. I said that's 13 what the officer told me. And what did he tell you would happen if you didn't talk to 14 Q. 15:54:02 15 the people? He told me if you fail to talk to them they have a system 16 Α. 17 called sweeping, it will be applied, when the local police will have you arrested and you will be forced to talk to them. 18 19 So what did he say you should do? 0. 15:54:21 20 Α. He told me to talk to them and I did. 21 0. Did you tell you to tell them the truth? 22 He did not talk about talking true or not. He told me you Α. 23 have to talk to these people. 24 Q. Well, is that all he said? Just that you have to talk to 15:54:38 25 the people? 26 Α. He told me to talk to them. If I failed to talk to them 27 that system would be applied, and I did. 28 Q. And he didn't tell you anything about whether you should 29 tell the truth or not?

1 It's David Crane that told me to talk the truth. Α. 2 Okay. What it says in paragraph 7 of your affidavit is you Q. said that your "Interpol connections assured me that in relation 3 4 to the Special Court I should not be afraid and should cooperate." First of all, do you have names for the people that 15:55:06 5 you're talking about, the Interpol connections? 6 7 No, they were the one that were responsible to disarm us, Α. so I knew their office and I knew them by faces. I did not 8 9 actually know their names. 15:55:22 10 And skipping a couple of sentences it says: "They said Q. that if I agreed to talk to the Prosecution, even if all I spoke 11 12 was foolishness then they did not have any power to use this 13 sweeping to arrest me." 14 Are you saying that a military observer or a civilian 15:55:43 15 police officer told you that as long as you said anything, even if it was foolishness and a lie, then you wouldn't be arrested, 16 17 there was no power to arrest you? Yes, the man was escorting me into the police station, we 18 Α. 19 were walking, he was telling me that once you talk with these 15:56:02 20 people they won't arrest you, but if you fail to talk to them, 21 meaning that you are hiding something from them you need to talk 22 to them. So once you talk to them they have no power to have you arrested. But if you fail, it will not be fine. I walked to the 23 24 police station. 15:56:18 25 Q. Now --26 PRESIDING JUDGE: I'm sorry to interrupt, Mr Koumjian. 27 Relating to that document marked confidential that was mentioned 28 earlier, Madam Court Manager has pointed out that the document was displayed to the public. Madam Court Manager, is there a 29

1 delay in that so that it can be redacted? 2 MS IRURA: Your Honour, there is a delay and pursuant to an 3 order for redaction that can be effected. 4 PRESIDING JUDGE: All right. I'll make that order that the document be redacted so as not to be on public display. Please 15:56:50 5 continue, Mr Koumjian. 6 7 MR KOUMJIAN: 8 0. Sir, let's go to paragraph 11 on the next page. You talk 9 about being - in paragraph 10 you said you were flown to 15:57:13 10 Freetown. In paragraph 11 you say: "Upon arrival at the Special Court a security contacted 11 12 someone, who I later learned was David Crane, by walkie-talkie 13 and informed him that Sam is here." 14 First of all, how was it you later learned that the person 15:57:33 **15** the security guard was talking to on a walkie-talkie was David Crane? 16 17 The security man, the receptionist that brought me in Α. handed me over to a security personnel. It is this security 18 19 personnel that asked the next man to the door, he say, "Is the 15:57:50 20 chiefin?" He said, "Yes." He said, "Okay. Well then tell him 21 that the man is here." He went in and then I heard on the radio, 22 he said, "Bring in the perpetrators." When the security man says, "Sam is here", he said, "Bring in the perpetrators." I 23 24 heard it on the handset from the security that I was standing 15:58:08 25 with and that's how he took me in, sir. 26 Are you saying, sir, that David Crane was talking on a Q. 27 wal ki e-tal ki e? 28 Α. Yes, he responded on the walkie-talkie when the message is given that Sam is here. 29

1 Q. How did you know that that was David Crane? 2 Α. They carried me straight to the office to him. When I entered they were about six in the office where - where I saw 3 4 him. 0. Mr Witness, the Prosecutor of the Special Court doesn't 15:58:32 5 walk around with a walkie-talkie. You never saw David Crane with 6 7 a walkie-talkie, did you? I am not saying I saw David Crane with walkie-talkie. 8 Α. - I 9 said the security who held me in his possession, about to take me inside. I am not saying I saw David Crane outside with 15:58:49 10 walkie-talkie. The security man took me in upon instruction from 11 12 David Crane. That's how I was taken into the reception room. 13 Well, you're saying that the security guard spoke on a Q. 14 walkie-talkie and the person at the other end was David Crane 15:59:07 15 that answered back to the walkie-talkie. Is that right? Yes, he said, "Sam is here", and David Crane said, "Bring 16 Α. 17 in the perpetrator." That's how I was taken into the conference 18 room. 19 And that got you very, very frightened. Is that right? Q. 15:59:22 20 Α. Seriously. 21 0. Why is that, sir? Do you believe you are a perpetrator? 22 The language - no, no, but the language used on me, I mean Α. 23 it was a threatened remark. 24 Q. How many years were you in the war? 15:59:40 25 Α. I was in the war from the beginning to the end, sir. 26 Q. Now going to paragraph 14 - I don't know if your Honour 27 wants me to stop here; I see it's 4 o'clock. 28 JUDGE DOHERTY: Before we do leave that point, Mr Koumjian. 29 Mr Witness, why do you say because somebody was addressed as

chief that you automatically take it that it was David Crane thatwas being spoken to?

3 THE WITNESS: After he spoke on the handset I was taken 4 directly to him in the conference room, where I was seated in the 16:00:16 5 middle and he told me to spin the chair around to see their faces 6 one by one.

JUDGE DOHERTY: Yes, that's not an answer, because you said
there were six people in that room.

9 THE WI TNESS: Yes.

16:00:2710JUDGE DOHERTY: So why do you say the person who is chief11was Crane?

THE WITNESS: He was Crane. The security man, they were 12 13 talking to one another. I was strange at the Special Court. 14 When I was carried in there, I was handed over to somebody and 16:00:40 15 they were conversing. I listened. I understand English, ma'am. I was taken in, I was placed in the middle and he told me to spin 16 17 the chair. I spin the chair around; I saw each of them face. Then from there he told, "You know who is talking to you?" I 18 19 said, "No." He said, "This is David Crane." He said, "I need 16:01:00 20 nothing from you but the truth. In fact, do you want to see 21 Issa?" I said, "I did not come to see Issa, sir, but if you want 22 me to see him I don't have power here."

That's the time he sent me the card. Behind the card I
read it and he told me, "Are you now ready to cooperate?" I
said, "Yes, sir." That's the time he ordered the security to
take me to another room where they were going to take statement
from me. That is how I knew. He was sitting in the chair with
white spectacles on the face.

29 PRESIDING JUDGE: I think the tape would be close to being

	1	finished by now, so we'll adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9
	2	o'clock. And, Mr Kolleh, as you're told every day, you're not
	3	permitted to discuss the evidence in this case with anybody.
	4	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
16:01:44	5	PRESIDING JUDGE: Thank you. We'll adjourn.
	6	[Whereupon the hearing adjourned at 4.01 p.m.
	7	to be reconvened on Tuesday, 9 November 2010 at
	8	9.00 a.m.]
	9	
	10	
	11	
	12	
	13	
	14	
	15	
	16	
	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	
	26	
	27	
	28	
	29	

INDEX

WITNESSES FOR THE DEFENCE:	
DCT-102	48923
CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR KOUMJIAN	48923